

Policies adopted by elected voting delegates of the Member County Farm Bureaus to the Oregon Farm Bureau Federation at the Annual Meeting, December, 2016, in Salem, Oregon.

2016 OFFICERS

Barry Bushue	President
Peggy Browne	First Vice President
Sharon Waterman	Second Vice President
Brian Glaser	Third Vice President
Mickey Killingsworth	Fourth Vice President/Women's Chair

REGIONAL DIRECTORS

Larry Lear, Condon	Region 1
Bill Browne, Baker City	Region 2
Shane Otley, Burns	Region 3
Lyndon Kerns, Klamath Falls	Region 4
Wade Flegel, Prineville	Region 5
Mike McCarthy, Hood River	Region 6
Pete Postlewait, Aurora	Region 7
Carol Marie Leuthold, Tillamook	Region 8
Dean Freeborn, Rickreall	Region 9
Peter Kenagy, Albany	Region 10
Ron Bjork, Eagle Point	Region 11
Kevin Westfall, Myrtle Point	Region 12
Dan Andersen, Ontario	Region 13
Larry Duyck, North Plains	Region 14
Bruce Chapin, Salem	Region 15
Rick Epp, Roseburg	Region 16
Jason Flowers, Keno	YF&R Chair

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE OF FARM BUREAU.....5
FARM BUREAU PHILOSOPHY.....7
I. EDUCATION..... 9
II. TAXATION..... 13
III. LAND USE..... 23
IV. TRANSPORTATION 39
V. FORESTRY 49
VI. ENVIRONMENT 53
VII. WATER..... 59
VIII. CHEMICALS 73
IX. FISH & WILDLIFE 83
X. COMMODITIES 95
XI. LABOR 109
XII. ENERGY..... 119
XIII. PUBLIC AFFAIRS..... 123
XIV. NATIONAL AFFAIRS 137
INDEX 146

Purpose of Farm Bureau

0.001

1 The primary purpose of Farm Bureau is to support the continuation
2 of agricultural and related industries, and prosperity for Oregon farmers
3 and ranchers.
4 Farm Bureau is an independent, nongovernmental, voluntary
5 organization of farm and ranch families united for the purpose of
6 analyzing their problems and formulation action to achieve educational
7 improvement, economic opportunity and social advancement and,
8 thereby, to promote the national well-being. Farm Bureau is local,
9 statewide, national and international in its scope and influence and is
10 nonpartisan, nonsectarian and non-secret in character. Farm Bureau is
11 the voice of agricultural producers at all levels. (00), (05), (08), (09)

Farm Bureau Philosophy

0.002

1 We believe in the traditional American family; in self-government; in
2 limitations upon governmental power; in maintenance of equal
3 opportunity; in the right of each individual to worship as he chooses; in
4 separation church and state; and in freedom of speech, press and
5 peaceful assembly.

6 Property rights are among the most basic human rights essential to
7 the preservation of individual freedom. We believe in the private
8 competitive enterprise system, and in privately owned farms and ranches
9 managed and operated for the benefit of farmers and ranchers.

10 We oppose any legislation that erodes the principle of private
11 property rights or the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

12 Individuals have a moral responsibility to help preserve freedom for
13 future generations by active participation in public affairs.

14 The U.S. Constitution is the basic law of the land. All elected and
15 appointed officials shall be expected not to falter from their oath to
16 uphold and protect it from all its enemies. (04) (11)

I. EDUCATION

General Education Statement 1.001

1 We recognize that education is of vital importance to the welfare of
2 the individual and society. We believe that every reasonable effort should
3 be made to develop the potential of each person. (04)

Basic Education 1.002

1 We recommend the legislature periodically review the basic
2 education program.

3 As Farm Bureau members, we favor a basic education program that
4 will:

- 5 1. Give precedence to thorough training in the fundamentals
6 (reading, writing, arithmetic and self discipline), while providing a
7 balanced curriculum needed for present day living, including
8 vocational opportunities.
- 9 2. Give greater emphasis to moral and spiritual values being taught
10 at home.
- 11 3. Give increased emphasis to the teaching of the fundamentals of
12 the American republic and citizens' responsibility and
13 involvement.
- 14 4. Give increased emphasis to the teaching of the fundamentals of
15 free enterprise in our economic system.
- 16 5. Give increased emphasis to the fact that agriculture is a major
17 contributor to the economic system.
- 18 6. Present an accurate and realistic view of the care, feeding and
19 use of domestic farm animals.
- 20 7. Present an accurate and realistic view of land stewardship and
21 the conservation of agricultural lands.
- 22 8. We believe drivers education is an essential part of road safety
23 and support drivers education as part of the school curriculum.
24 (13)

25
26 The annual legislative review process mandated by the Oregon
27 Educational Act should require that student performance data be
28 reported in a manner that allows timely evaluation of each of the specific
29 sets of teaching practices that are being initiated in the state.

30 We continue to support local control, cost effectiveness, and
31 measurable academic goals throughout all publicly funded schools of
32 learning. We support parental involvement over course content and
33 values instruction. We urge the dedication of school funds, resources
34 and personnel to attain the highest level of academic achievement by
35 people, including vocational training.

36 The use of a 12-month school should be at the option of the local
37 school district.

38 We believe local districts should have the option of providing
39 kindergarten, lunch programs, sports or other school activities outside of
40 the basic requirements for education.

Teacher Agricultural Education 1.003

1 We support the accurate presentation of the agricultural industry in
2 Oregon and beyond. Since a large part of Oregon's economy is
3 agriculture based, teachers should present the factual information on
4 Oregon's agricultural industry and disseminate it to their students.

5 All K-12 teachers should be required as a part of their continuing
6 education to take a course on the role that agriculture and the natural
7 resource industry plays in the well being of the citizens and economy of
8 Oregon.

9 Approved courses are those sponsored by agriculture and/or natural
10 resource associations and accredited by the state system of higher
11 education, such as the Summer Agriculture Institute. (01), (04), (06)

Elementary School Agricultural Education 1.104

1 We support the Oregon Department of Education creating a
2 requirement that all school districts include at least one unit on
3 agriculture as part of their K-5 educational curriculum to promote
4 agriculture literacy. (09)

College Terms 1.100

1 In order to provide students with the opportunity to earn money for
2 school expenses and provide needed farm labor, we favor the quarterly
3 system in Northwest colleges and universities.

Community Colleges and Higher Education 1.110

1 We urge continued emphasis of the community college program of
2 vocational, technical academic and/or adult training as the need is
3 determined by the local area. We ask that the community colleges place
4 more emphasis on technical training according to the needs of the local
5 community.

6 We support election rather than appointment for members of the
7 State Board of Higher Education. Members should be elected on a
8 nonpartisan basis by districts.

9 We recommend that out of state students pay the full cost of their
10 education in Oregon. (05)

Oregon State University 1.120

1 We strongly support adequate legislative funding for the Oregon
2 State University College of Agricultural Sciences, College of Forestry and
3 College of Veterinary Medicine.

4 We strongly support OSUs statewide public service programs of the
5 Agricultural Experiment Stations, the Extension Service and the Forestry
6 Research Lab.

7 We support the re-establishment of the Department of Entomology at
8 OSU, or one to be established in cooperation with another department at
9 OSU.

10 To assure that the needs of production agriculture in Oregon are met
11 we urge the OSU College of Agriculture to enact a hiring policy for all
12 professors that provides for continual 12 month salaried appointments.
13 (04), (05), (07), (08)

Policy No. 1.130, Internship Program, was deleted in 2004

ROTC 1.140

1 We support the continuation of the ROTC programs on university
2 and college campuses.

Teacher Continuing Education 1.200

1 Emphasis should be placed on teacher training through participation
2 in workshops and programs which enhance a teachers' teaching ability.
3 (06)

Sabbaticals 1.210

1 The university system should ensure that teaching, research and
2 other services provided by a university professor or extension agent on
3 sabbatical are continued during their absence. (04)

Teacher Tenure 1.220

1 We support the creation of a more meaningful teacher evaluation
2 system. (04)

Vocational Education 1.300

1 We support the concept and continued emphasis of vocational
2 education in Oregon Public Schools.
3 We believe instructional assistance from the community is a
4 resource that should be utilized for vocational education, instruction and
5 program evaluation. We support certification of qualified persons to teach
6 vocational courses. (06)

Policy No. 1.305, English Language Courses, was deleted in 2008

Student Work Experience 1.310

1 We strongly support work experience and internship programs on
2 and off campus. We are strongly opposed to any rules or limitations that
3 damage the opportunities of students to safely participate in work
4 experience and internship programs. (04)

Local Involvement **1.400**

1 We urge county Farm Bureaus to initiate programs which will result
2 in increased familiarity and cooperation with our schools through year-
3 round interest, regular attendance at school board and budget meetings,
4 and attendance at all times to the problems of education at state and
5 local levels.

6 We recommend that schools use lay membership advisory
7 committees to support and promote educational decisions and practices.
8 (04)

School District Reorganization **1.410**

1 We favor continued effort and encouragement towards voluntary
2 reorganization which will result in more effective and efficient education
3 programs.

4 We are unalterably opposed to any form of compulsory school
5 district reorganization or unification which overrides the wishes of the
6 majority of voters in each district concerned.

7 We strongly support the right of any existing school district, by
8 majority vote, to nullify a forced consolidation of their district into a unified
9 district.

Charter Schools **1.450**

1 We support charter schools and encourage their utilization in all
2 school districts. (07), (09)

Educational Vouchers **1.455**

1 We support education vouchers and encourage their utilization in all
2 school districts. (11)

School District Transportation Fee **1.500**

1 We oppose allowing a school district to charge parents of students
2 for transportation cost for primary and secondary education.

Policy No. 1.510, was moved to Policy No. 2.950 in 2004

Education Service Districts **1.600**

1 We oppose any forced consolidation of Education Service Districts
2 by the Oregon Education Department and/or the legislature. (09)

II. TAXATION

General Taxation Statement 2.001

1 The state tax structure must be built on a sound basis for the general
2 benefit of business and for encouraging individual enterprise.

3 In general, property taxes should be used to finance property related
4 services and a tax based on a person's ability to pay should be used to
5 finance services that are for the general benefit of society. (00)

Value Added Tax 2.075

1 We are opposed to a value added tax.

Sales Tax 2.100

1 We support the principle of repealing the federal income tax and
2 replacing it with a retail sales and use tax, such as the FairTax (HR25
3 2006), that is revenue neutral, broad based, holds individuals and
4 families harmless from the tax at 100 percent of the poverty level, and
5 eliminates capital gains tax, estate tax, all business expenses and real
6 and personal property taxes.

7 We do not support a state sales tax unless it also repeals the state
8 income tax and mirrors the federal sales tax proposed in (HR 25 2006).
9 Any rate increase must require a two-thirds majority of both legislative
10 chambers. Any stand-alone Oregon state sales tax must have the
11 following provisions.

- 12 1. The rate and agricultural exemptions are established in the
13 constitution.
- 14 2. Prescription drugs, food purchased for home preparation,
15 livestock, feed, seed, pesticides, processing or cleaning of
16 agricultural products, fees for agricultural business services
17 performed, farm equipment, parts and repairs for farm equipment
18 and any item that is consumed or used in the production of, or
19 becomes a part of, an agricultural product shall be
20 constitutionally exempt from the tax.
- 21 3. No county, city, district or other municipal corporation or political
22 subdivision may impose a general retail sales-and-use tax.

23 A listing of these provisions does not constitute an endorsement of a
24 state sales-and-use tax. (04), (06), (07)

Policy 2.205, Prison Property Tax, was deleted in 2007

Government and Other Ownership Property Taxes 2.209

1 Profit or non-profit land trusts, federal, state or local government or
2 other sovereign nations owning land for purposes other than farming in
3 an agriculture or a forest use zone should be required to pay, to the

4 county tax collector, the applicable tax penalty and pay annually an
5 amount in lieu of and equal to the property taxes that would have been
6 levied if the property were not in a resource zone.

7 While the land remains in farming, the owners of such land should be
8 required to pay an amount in lieu of taxes at its farm use value. (00), (07)

Homestead Exemptions 2.210

1 Homestead exemptions for property tax relief must be
2 constitutionally funded by State General Funds at a level of 100% and
3 only apply to levies assessed by districts providing public education. (00)

Tax Exemption-Personal Property 2.220

1 All farm personal property should be included in the personal
2 property tax exemption. The definition of farm personal property should
3 include all personal property and equipment used in on-farm processing
4 facilities.

5 We believe underground irrigation installations should, for
6 assessment purposes, be treated the same as above ground irrigation
7 pipe. (00)

Tax Exemption-Real Property 2.225

1 There should be a tax incentive to encourage the joining of any two
2 adjoining parcels, such as a reduced tax rate for some period. Such an
3 incentive could be a temporary property tax reduction granted on the
4 smaller of any two adjoining parcels, when one or more of which are
5 smaller than the minimum lot size, which are voluntarily combined by
6 amending the legal description to join both parcels. (10)

Tax Exemption-Condemnation 2.227

1 When private property is taken under the threat of eminent domain
2 for public benefit, all compensation received from an easement, lease, or
3 sale to any public, private, or government entity should be exempt from
4 state and federal income and capital gains taxes. (06)

Property Tax Administration 2.230

1 We favor administering the property tax assessment law at the
2 county level. (00)

Appeals on Assessment of Appraisals 2.240

1 We oppose any fee for appealing an appraisal and/or assessment to
2 the County Board of Property Tax Appeals. (04)

Farm Use Assessment Principles 2.250

1 Land in EFU zones should be assessed based on its ability to
2 produce farm income from crops and livestock typically grown in the

3 area. All land in EFU zones should be assessed at farm use value
4 except land that is being used for a non-farm use.

5 We believe the appraisal of timberland for all tax purposes should be
6 based on the ability of the land to produce timber. (00), (01)

Farm Building Assessed Values 2.255

1 The assessed value of farm buildings and farm dwellings should
2 reflect exclusively the value of the building for farm use and not a value
3 based on purchases of structures not located in farm zones.

Farm Use Assessment Criteria Land 2.260

1 We believe current employment of land for farm use should include
2 any land enrolled in a government related farm program.

3 The appraisal of agricultural and timber land for property tax
4 purposes should be based on the typical income from land used for farm
5 or forest production.

6 To determine the correct appraisal of farmland, the weighted
7 average use of a class of land for at least five years should be the criteria
8 on which values are based.

9 Farmland should be appraised for farm use valuation without regard
10 to individual enterprise incomes. No land should be assigned a farm use
11 value higher than the farm use value of the best soil class in the county.

12 Farm use land in EFU zones left idle on a temporary basis due to
13 economic conditions or the operator's ill health, should continue to be
14 assessed at farm use value.

15 All lands in EFU zones, except those being used for a use other than
16 farm use should be assessed at farm use value.

17 Homesites physically situated in conjunction with farm use not
18 located in an EFU zone should be included in the definition of farm use
19 when either gross income from agriculture exceeds \$20,000 per year or
20 half the adjusted gross income is derived from agricultural production.

21 The maximum value of qualifying homesites in EFU zones should be
22 the average per acre market value of the bare land comprising the parcel
23 or contiguous acres in which the homesite is located, plus a maximum of
24 \$4,000 or the depreciated replacement cost of land improvements
25 necessary to provide the domestic water supply and septic system,
26 whichever is least.

27 All homesites in an EFU zone containing an owner occupant and any
28 homesite where the owner can show involvement of the occupant in the
29 agricultural operation, should be deemed to be "customarily provided in
30 conjunction with farm use" for property assessment purposes.

31 Parcels containing more than one homesite that are subsequently
32 disqualified from special assessment should not be subject to an
33 additional tax liability, unless the disqualification is in conjunction with a
34 parcel separation.

35 No value should be added for an aesthetic view, lawn or shrubs,
36 access to roads, access to a communication system or an energy
37 source.

38 **Livestock**

39 The purchase price of livestock should be subtracted from the gross
40 income when computing the formula to determine if land outside of an
41 EFU zone qualifies for farm use assessment, except in the case of
42 livestock which has been held on the farm unit for four months or longer,
43 or the grazing season, whichever is least.

44 The breeding, boarding and training of horses for profit or the
45 feeding, breeding and management of horses primarily for profit by sale
46 or use should be defined as a farm use for taxation purposes. Horses
47 should be defined as livestock for the purpose of property taxation.

48 **Roads**

49 We believe farm and timber roads should be assessed only as bare
50 land and not as improvements to the land.

51 **Agricultural Nurseries**

52 Agricultural nurseries should be specifically included in the statutes
53 as a Farm Use.

54 **Recreation**

55 Land which meets the qualification for farm use assessment which is
56 also used for recreational purposes either by voluntary consent or for a
57 fee should not be disqualified from farm use assessment.

58 **Non-EFU Land Income Test**

59 One hundred percent of the value of farm products produced on a
60 parcel and used for personal use should be counted when computing the
61 income test for a parcel outside the EFU zone attempting to qualify for
62 farm use assessment.

63 **Government Actions**

64 Valuations for property taxes should reflect practices that were
65 implemented because of governmental actions. These practices may
66 include environmental restrictions such as mandated environmental
67 practices implemented on private property, wetlands, stream/riparian
68 habitat, and all endangered species habitat, all of which may decrease
69 production capabilities and/or property value for agriculture use. These
70 practices should reflect appropriate decreases of assessed values for
71 property tax purposes.

72 **Exemptions**

73 Lands in farm use should be exempt from assessments and taxes for
74 sewers, domestic water, street improvements and sidewalks.

75 **Disqualification**

76 We support clarifying legislation setting forth a time frame and a
77 better definition for disqualifying land from farm use assessment.

78 We oppose the use of mail surveys by County Assessors to
79 determine whether the land in EFU zones qualifies for farm use special
80 assessment.

81 Value Adjustments

82 Adjustment of January 1 assessed values should be made when real
83 or personal property is damaged or destroyed by acts of God. (00), (02),
84 (05)

Tax Court

2.270

1 In the event of a disagreement on farm use valuation between the
2 assessor and the Board of Review, the matter should go directly to the
3 tax court for final determination. When a private citizen prevails in a
4 contested property tax case, the county government should be obligated
5 for the taxpayer's legal costs through the Department of Revenue
6 hearing level and the state should be liable for the legal costs when the
7 taxpayer prevails in succeeding higher courts. In matters brought before
8 the Oregon Tax Court by an individual property owner, we believe that
9 the burden of proof should be on the County Assessor or Department of
10 Revenue.

Double Majority

2.271

1 We support the "double majority" voting requirement for property tax
2 measures. We believe at least 50 percent of the local voting body should
3 participate in local property tax decisions. We oppose any change to this
4 rule that would eliminate or decrease the "double majority." (07)

Local District Property Taxes

2.275

1 The Oregon Constitution should be amended to repeal the \$10 tax
2 rate limitation on taxing districts, other than schools, and each district
3 with the authority to levy property taxes should be given a TAX BASE for
4 operating costs equal to the average of the last two years. The allowable
5 tax base increase should be set at 2%. The district should be authorized
6 to ask the voters, one time annually, to increase or decrease the tax
7 base, approve a serial levy for special projects or emergencies, or ask
8 for capital construction levies.

9 We recommend that all levy elections be held not more than twice a
10 year. If the levy is rejected at the first election, a means should be
11 provided so voters in the district may petition for a special vote on special
12 items in the budget at the same time the levy is resubmitted. If a levy
13 fails twice, the district should revert to the previous year's levy or less.

14 We recommend that all elections that occur within a three month
15 period be consolidated into one election time and place.

16 We support legislation which would require that the calculation of a
17 property tax limit be based on the statutory provisions for determining the
18 assessed value of property. (00), (07)

Tax Penalties **2.280**

- 1 We support ten years as the number of years a tax penalty and
- 2 interest must be paid when farm lands receiving farm use assessment
- 3 are changed to a non-farm use.
- 4 There should be no tax penalty for temporarily changing a homesite
- 5 use from one in conjunction with farm use to one not in conjunction with
- 6 farm use unless the change involves a parcel separation. (05), (07)

Port Bonds **2.290**

- 1 We oppose Port Districts selling tax free bonds to finance business
- 2 projects on private property.

User Fees **2.300**

- 1 Fees imposed or increased by state agencies should only be used
- 2 as a resource to the agency assessing the fee. Government operations
- 3 funded by fees should directly benefit those paying the fee. (00)

System Development Charges **2.330**

- 1 We support the use of system development charges as an important
- 2 tool for sharing the cost of applicable infrastructure. (07)

Construction Tax Exemption **2.340**

- 1 We support an exemption from construction taxes on agriculture
- 2 buildings when such taxes are authorized by school districts for capital
- 3 construction projects. (07)

Mass Transit **2.350**

- 1 We support an agricultural exemption from all mass transit and
- 2 transportation district taxes. Non-highway fuel uses should be exempt
- 3 from fuel taxes levied by mass transit districts.

Budgets-Local Government **2.400**

- 1 We favor retaining the portion of the local budget law that requires
- 2 publication of municipal corporations' budgets in a newspaper of general
- 3 circulation, and all features of the local budget that inform and protect the
- 4 taxpayer.
- 5 We support legislation allowing local government to retain control of
- 6 all salaries subject to the local budget law.
- 7 Because of the difficulty of comparing one year's budget to the next,
- 8 we support line item budgeting. Salaries should be determined before
- 9 budgets are adopted. (00), (04)

Policy No. 2.410, Budget Expenditure Limitation Local, was deleted in 2004

Budget-State

2.430

1 The state budget should reflect a responsible fiscal attitude with an
2 emphasis on minimizing unnecessary or nonproductive programs and
3 strengthening those programs which will enhance the economics of the
4 state. (00)

Reserve Fund

2.450

1 We support the establishment of a constitutional Reserve Fund of
2 not more than 15 percent of the current General Fund budget. The funds
3 should come from any excess funds after providing for the current
4 budget.

5 The legislature should not use the money from the Reserve Fund
6 unless the actual revenue is more than 10 percent less than the current
7 budget. In no case should the legislature be allowed to use more than 50
8 percent of the dedicated fund in one biennium. A three-fifths vote of both
9 chambers should be required to access the Reserve Fund. (03)

State Income Tax

2.500

1 We recommend maintaining uniformity between the state income tax
2 code and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) tax code.

3 We believe any changes in the Oregon tax code made by the
4 legislature in response to a change of the IRS code should have a
5 revenue neutral effect. A revenue neutral effect should be accomplished
6 by adjusting the state tax rates on an equal proportional basis. (05)

Fee Increases

2.510

1 Any increase in state fees should require approval of the state
2 legislature. Increases determined by the Emergency Board should be
3 temporary until voted on at the next legislative session. All state fee
4 increases should require a two thirds majority vote to pass. (00)

Minimum Corporate Tax

2.515

1 The minimum corporate tax should be abolished. We are opposed to
2 any tax based on gross income. No one should be required to pay an
3 income-related tax when there is no net income. (07)

Capital Gains Tax

2.520

1 While we oppose capital gains taxes, we support a capital gains rule
2 that would adjust the capital gains for inflation from the date of ownership
3 change for real or personal property.

4 We support the establishment of a capital gain tax rate that is 50
5 percent of the maximum personal tax rate or 4.5 percent, whichever is
6 the lesser of. (3), (4), (11)

Federal Capital Gains Tax 2.521

1 We support eliminating the federal \$3,000 cap when claiming a loss
2 of capital gains on a federal tax return. (06), (07)

Two Percent Kicker Program 2.530

1 We support the Oregon Two Percent Kicker Program for
2 corporations and individuals. We support changing the formula for
3 calculating the refund of the 2% kicker money so that it is a refund based
4 on the overpayment of taxes which actually occurred during the
5 qualifying biennium. The kicker should be returned as a refund on the
6 next year's tax return. (06)

Indexing 2.540

1 We favor indexing of the Oregon State Income Tax dollar brackets
2 for inflation. (04)

Estimated Tax 2.550

1 A taxpayer should not be liable for the estimated tax payment when
2 the taxpayer's income cannot be reasonably predicted.

Tax on Interest Savings 2.560

1 The first \$1,000 of all interest and/or dividends received annually
2 should be free of Federal and State Income Taxes. (05)

1099 Reporting 2.570

1 The minimum amount required to be reported on the 1099 form
2 should be raised to \$2,000 and indexed for inflation. (07)

Inheritance Taxes 2.600

1 We support the phase out and eventual abolishment of all state and
2 federal gift, inheritance, and estate taxes.

3 Until such time as abolishment occurs, we support indexing
4 exemption levels for inflation. We also support connecting the state
5 inheritance tax law to the federal code if the federal code includes:

- 6 1. An exemption in 2010 dollars equal to or greater than \$5 million
7 for each spouse;
- 8 2. A permanent rate, that is not set to sunset or on a schedule;
- 9 3. A stepped-up basis; and
- 10 4. Indexed for inflation.

11 The value of all farm land for inheritance tax purposes should be
12 based on its farm use value.

13 Timber should be exempt from estate, inheritance and gift taxes,
14 recognizing that timber will not be harvested by each generation.

15 Valuations for estate taxes should reflect practices that were
16 implemented because of governmental actions. These practices may
17 include environmental restrictions such as mandated environmental
18 practices implemented on private property, wetlands, stream/riparian
19 habitat, and Spotted Owl habitat, all of which may decrease production
20 capabilities and/or property value for agriculture use.

21 We believe that the continuity of the operation of family farms is
22 desirable and important. The effect of estate taxes should not adversely
23 impact the ability to transfer family farms from generation to generation.
24 (00), (04), (05), (06), (07), (10)

Social Security Taxes 2.700

1 Self-employed persons should be able to deduct the employer's
2 share of their Social Security taxes as an expense.

3 We oppose means testing (reducing benefits for high income wage
4 earners) as a way to keep the Social Security system solvent.

5 The social security tax should be a dedicated fund, and used only for
6 the purpose for which it is collected.

Woodland Assessments 2.800

1 Lands in forest or small woodlands designations and managed in
2 accordance with the state Forest Practices Act should be assessed in
3 accordance with their productive value. Homesites up to one acre, which
4 are an integral part of these lands, should be assessed on the same
5 principle as is practiced on EFU designated lands.

Timber Taxes 2.810

1 We support abolishment of the severance tax for those who choose
2 to pay their property tax responsibility through property taxes rather than
3 the severance tax.

4 The value of small tracts of timber land should not be higher than the
5 farm use value of comparable classes of farm land.

6 Any taxes on timber should be for services related to protection,
7 reforestation, fire protection and management of timber and should only
8 be used for such service. (00)

Policy No. 2.820, Small Tracts Option Tax, was deleted in 2004.

Pollution Control Tax Credits 2.900

1 We support tax credits for agricultural producers for construction,
2 remodeling, purchasing, or leasing of facilities or equipment for air or
3 water pollution control. An option of credit on income taxes or property
4 taxes should be available.

5 We recommend that capital investment made in equipment or
6 facilities that reduce or eliminate nonpoint source pollution be eligible for
7 Oregon Pollution Control Tax Credit Certification by the Oregon
8 Environmental Quality Commission.

Energy Tax Credits

2.920

1 We support tax credits and similar tax incentives to promote private
2 development of new energy sources.

School Finance

2.950

1 If additional (replacement) revenue is necessary to fund the state's
2 increased role in funding schools, then that additional revenue should
3 come from an increase in the income tax rate, and such revenue must be
4 used only for financing schools.

5 Community colleges should be funded on the same basis as other
6 institutions of higher education.

III. LAND USE

Preamble to Land Use Policies 3.000

1 It is important to recognize that the protection of land use for
2 agriculture requires a series of policies, and that each of these policies is
3 inter-related.

4 The policies included in this section must be interpreted as pieces of
5 a whole, not applied independently. (00)

Land Use Planning 3.010

1 We support the principle of land use planning for the purpose of
2 protecting the resources and the agricultural environment and
3 infrastructure needed for farmers and ranchers to produce food and fiber
4 for current and future generations in a profitable manner. We are
5 philosophically opposed to efforts to remove economically productive
6 farm and forest land from farm or forest zones.

7 We are in favor of agricultural utilization of land by individual owners
8 who live on or lease their property.

Definition of Agricultural Land 3.015

1 Land that should be protected under Goal 3 includes all parcels of
2 predominantly tillable land, irrigated land, grazing land and rangeland
3 necessary to protect the agricultural environment and infrastructure
4 needed for farmers and ranchers to produce food and fiber in an
5 effective manner, and other land necessary to permit farm practices in
6 the area. These lands should be zoned EFU.

7 OFBF does not support redefining agricultural land and forest lands
8 by counties based on factors other than the statewide criteria identified in
9 Goal 3 and Goal 4. While different regions of the state may have varying
10 levels of non-farm development pressure, a standard definition of
11 agricultural land and forestlands under Goal 3 and Goal 4 is key to
12 maintaining the agricultural land base and to minimizing conflicts from
13 non-farm uses.

14 Local governments should be encouraged to use the Land
15 Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA) system developed by the Soil
16 Water and Conservation Society, as a tool to determine relative value of
17 parcels of land for agricultural use. (00)

18 Profitability should not be considered for the purposes of defining
19 'agricultural land' subject to the goal. (09), (11)

Land Use Planning Authority 3.020

1 We support state goals and guidelines combined with county zoning.
2 We believe it is the responsibility of local governments to formulate
3 and amend their own land use plan within the state goals and guidelines.

4 We favor community effort with landowner participation to develop an
5 orderly plan of the area and its resources and the use of zoning to
6 implement the plan.
7 LCDC Goals and Guidelines and administrative rules must be
8 subject to legislative authority and must insure that farmers and ranchers
9 can use all accepted farming practices to their best economic advantage
10 on land limited to and protected for farming under Goal 3.
11 We believe all lands, including state and federal lands, should be
12 subject to all provisions of local land use ordinances. (05)

Rural Community Stability Plans 3.022

1 We believe that each county should prepare a twenty-year land plan
2 for sustaining its agricultural economy.
3 The plan should include a statement of resources needed and
4 available to complete the inventories, and a method for reporting on
5 implementation of the plan and enforcement of the plan by the county.
6 (08)

Farmland Oversight Authority 3.024

1 The Oregon Department of Agriculture should be given the authority
2 to oversee any and all actions of agencies or interactions between state
3 agencies that may impact the use of agricultural land, including decisions
4 by the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development and
5 county land use planning. (00), (04), (05)

LUBA Appeals 3.025

1 The county government should be required to defend its local land
2 use decisions that are appealed to LUBA.

Right to Farm 3.030

1 Right to Farm is an essential part of farm and forest zoning. Laws
2 protecting all agricultural and forestry producers from legal and/or
3 legislative actions challenging agriculture and forestry activities that are a
4 generally accepted, reasonable and prudent method for the operation of
5 the farm to obtain a profit in resource zones are a necessary provision
6 that must be preserved and strengthened. (06), (08), (16)

Guard Dogs Under Right to Farm 3.031

1 Guard dogs that bark to protect property need to be protected under
2 the Right to Farm laws.

Private Property Rights 3.033

1 Private ownership of real property is the foundation of our economic
2 system. As such, it is in the interest of the people of the State of Oregon
3 to ensure that statutes and regulations are applied to private property in
4 the least restrictive manner possible. It is in the best interest of the State

5 of Oregon to maximize the incentive and cooperative programs in
6 accomplishing statewide land use policies.

7 Before any private land can be considered for public use or benefit,
8 the property owner must be notified by registered letter 60 days prior to
9 the beginning of the study.

10 The property owner should be notified by all parties involved
11 including individuals, private groups, organizations, elected officials and
12 government agencies.

13 We support legislation for full restitution to land owners for any loss
14 of the use or taking of their lands for public purpose.

15 No biodiversity or ecosystem shall be altered on private property
16 without the property owner's permission and just compensation as
17 determined by the property owner. (06)

Mandated Public Access 3.040

1 We oppose landowners being forced to allow public access onto
2 their land. However, if a landowner is mandated to allow an agency or
3 the general public the use of his titled and taxed property, he should be
4 fully compensated for that use.

5 Further, should harm occur to the landowner, his
6 friends/family/guests, livestock, structures or appurtenances, crops,
7 vegetation or any other private holdings, the law should require the
8 mandating agency to compensate the landowner in full for such damage,
9 including attorney fees and court costs.

10 Landowners should bear no liability for harm that might occur to the
11 public as a result of mandated access to, or use of, their land. (00), (03),
12 (04)

Liability Exemption for Public Access 3.045

1 We support liability exemptions for landowners who have authorized
2 and/or unauthorized recreation occurring on their land including, but not
3 limited to, hunting, fishing, swimming, boating, camping, picnicking,
4 hiking, outdoor and agricultural educational activities, waterskiing, winter
5 sports, viewing or enjoying historical archaeological, scenic or scientific
6 sites, volunteering for any public purpose project, aviation and
7 agritourism. (14)

Condemnation or Acquisition of Land by Public or Private Utilities 3.055

1 Full public hearings should be held before private lands are taken by
2 public entities in order to assess the effect on the local tax base and on
3 the interest of the landholders of the area.

4 Land acquisitions should be approved by the local governing body.
5 Public entities should be required to make payments in lieu of taxes
6 equal to the real and personal taxes paid by the previous owner with

7 future payments based on the value or use of the property at the local
8 tax rates.

9 We are strongly opposed to entities using the eminent domain law to
10 condemn privately owned property for the purpose of transferring
11 ownership for private economic development that will have only minimal
12 incidental benefits to the public. We are not opposed to voluntary sale.

13 When public entities purchase land that is a part of a sponsoring or
14 servicing district, the public entity should continue to pay a share of the
15 capital retirement and the operation and maintenance charges equivalent
16 to that which the landowner would have paid had it remained in private
17 ownership, or the public entity should pay a lump sum equal to the
18 capitalized amount of obligation based on the terms of the district's
19 charter.

20 We support legislation for full restitution to land owners for any loss
21 of the use or taking of their lands for a public purpose.

22 Owners of property subject to damage from action by public entities,
23 utilities or individuals, should be compensated.

24 Upon foreclosure of a farm or ranch, the foreclosed upon party
25 should have the right to repurchase that property in its entirety, without
26 loss of any portion thereof, such as wetland areas or subsurface rights.

27 We believe the power of condemnation by local municipalities,
28 counties, and other government entities, whether it be land or personal
29 property, should not extend beyond their own jurisdictional boundaries.

30 We oppose the purchase of resource lands outside of urban growth
31 boundaries for public ownership.

32 We oppose using the establishment of Urban Renewal Districts as
33 an easier path to eminent domain. The establishment of Urban Renewal
34 Districts should only occur inside the city limits within the Urban Growth
35 Boundary and should be prohibited in all other parts of a city's Urban
36 Growth boundary or urban reserve areas. (05), (06), (07)

DLCD Staffing East of the Cascades 3.105

1 We support the allocation of adequate DLCD staff for Eastern
2 Oregon counties. (03)

LCDC Goals and Guidelines 3.110

1 Agricultural practices conducted on land regulated under Goal 3 or
2 Goal 4 should be deemed to not be a conflict with any other land use
3 goal. Specifically, Goal 5 must not be used to restrict the production
4 activities on land regulated under Goal 3 or 4. We believe that since
5 aggregate is a Goal 5 resource that is consumed as a result of its
6 "protection" under Goal 5, aggregate should be removed from the
7 designation as a Goal 5 resource.

8 Until the time it is removed from Goal 5, aggregate removal should
9 remain a conditional use. (07)

LCDC Commission

3.120

1 Oregon statute should provide that at least one LCDC commissioner
2 position will be a farmer or retired farmer currently involved in agriculture
3 in some capacity.

4 Because of the complexity of land use planning laws, we recommend
5 that all persons appointed to the Land Conservation & Development
6 Commission receive a thorough annual training in agricultural land use
7 planning issues and the state's land use planning history and the laws
8 and rules as they exist. (08)

Restructure of LCDC

3.180

1 We support restructuring of LCDC so that it better represents all
2 areas of the state and the agriculture industry. Such restructuring may
3 include regionalization. (05)

High Value Farmland

3.200

1 The definition of High-value farmland in the ORS should be used in
2 conjunction with the provisions for farm dwellings, aggregate removal
3 and Lot of Record.

4 It is our position that there is no need for a definition of high-value
5 farmland for the purpose of general land use planning when the term
6 "agricultural land" is correctly defined (Policy # 3.015). All land in an EFU
7 zone is equally important to the preservation of the agricultural
8 community which makes up the zone. (00)

Minimum Lot Sizes

3.410

9 Each county comprehensive plan should be required to establish
10 minimum lot sizes appropriate for various areas of that county that would
11 protect the agricultural environment and infrastructure needed for
12 farmers and ranchers.

13 For counties with or considering lower minimum lot sizes in an
14 exclusive farm use or mixed farm-forest zone, analysis for designating
15 lands for non-agricultural use should first consider the impact to existing
16 agricultural operations in the area. (00) (09)

Farm Dwellings

3.500

1 We support a menu approach using three options to determine when
2 an initial dwelling is provided in conjunction with farm use. Such
3 dwellings should be allowed on any tract or tracts of land under the same
4 ownership and deed restrictions should be imposed on the tract or tracts
5 that were used to qualify the initial farm dwelling. The dwelling applicant
6 must be the owner of the tract or tracts of land. The first option is an
7 acreage test of 320 acres or more of zoned rangeland or 160 acres of
8 other land. A second option is a two year gross annual income history
9 test by the operator of \$80,000 on high value farmland or \$40,000 on any

10 other land. A third option for non-high-value farmland only is a "potential
11 gross sales test" that evaluates the farm size and income of all farm
12 operations that are capable of grossing more than \$10,000 annually,
13 which have parcels located wholly or partially within one mile of the tract
14 of the dwelling applicant.

15 We support a change in the dwelling criteria that would allow, at the
16 time of application, an initial farm dwelling if both the applicant and the
17 parcel can show a farm income history that meets or exceeds the
18 applicable farm dwelling income test.

19 We believe that before a non-farm dwelling can be sited in an EFU
20 zone with a Goal 3 exception zone change, the county must make sure
21 the applicant and county have tried to get the dwelling in conjunction with
22 the farm. (00), (01), (09)

Additional Farm Dwellings 3.510

1 Additional dwellings on the same parcel as the initial dwelling, for
2 persons with significant involvement in the farm or ranch operation,
3 should be allowed as needed.

4 Additional dwellings in the same farm ownership, when located on a
5 noncontiguous parcel that is smaller than the minimum lot size and
6 provided in conjunction with farm use, should be manufactured
7 dwellings. These should be subject to annual review and removal when
8 no longer provided in conjunction with farm use. (00)

Farm Labor Housing 3.515

1 Seasonal, temporary or migrant farm labor housing should be a
2 permitted use in an EFU zone. (07)

Replacement Dwellings 3.545

1 When an existing dwelling in an EFU zone is removed, the owner of
2 the parcel should be eligible for a replacement dwelling permit without an
3 expiration date.

4 The holder of this permit should be allowed to replace the dwelling at
5 their discretion without meeting additional land use criteria. A lawfully
6 established dwelling should be defined simply as an existing building
7 with evidence that it was a lawful dwelling intended as a dwelling at the
8 time of its construction so long as property taxes for the dwelling are paid
9 current. (00), (07), (09)

Non Farm Dwellings 3.550

1 We oppose the establishment of more non farm dwellings in EFU
2 zones on agricultural land as defined by OFBF policy. It is our position
3 that because land has been zoned as EFU for the exclusive purpose of
4 agricultural production, all dwellings established in that zone should be
5 farm dwellings. Statutes referring to or providing for non farm dwellings

6 should be repealed. Existing dwellings in EFU zones not provided in
7 conjunction with farm use should be identified as a non conforming use.
8 Non-farm dwellings and associated development such as roads and
9 accessory buildings should be sited on a lot or parcel where it will have
10 the least impact on farming practices. (08), (10)

Lot-of-Record

3.575

1 A sunset date to the lot-of-record provision should not be added. We
2 remain opposed to changing the lot-of-record date of January 1, 1985.
3 We support changes to the law that would clarify that the Lot-of-Record
4 provisions only apply to the person(s), or the legally authorized heirs of
5 such persons, who continuously owned the parcel since January 1,
6 1985. We support a study of the impacts of applying lot-of-record
7 provisions to high value farmland.
8 We believe that "lot-of-record" dwellings that are really non-farm
9 dwellings should lose the farm use assessment if the dwelling is not
10 approved using a farm dwelling standard. The applicable tax penalty for
11 change of use should also be applied. (08)

Farm Related Uses in EFU Zones

3.600

1 We are opposed to state or local government regulation of farm
2 structures or accepted farming practices that occur in farm, forest or
3 farm-forest zones.
4 Game ranching and fee hunting or fishing should be recognized as
5 farm activities which supplement regular farm income. Such activities
6 should not disqualify a farm from farm use assessment. Bed & Breakfast
7 and Dude Ranches should be a permitted use only when provided in
8 conjunction with farm use. We support the following additions to the list
9 of statutory permitted uses in all farm use zones:
10 1. Propagation or harvesting of a forest product; and
11 2. Water impoundments.
12 3. Farm use should include the breeding, management, and sale of
13 breeds of dogs commonly utilized in livestock management and
14 husbandry. (01), (08)

Farm Use

3.620

1 "Farm Use" should be considered a use of right in any zone used for
2 farming. (08)

Land Use Action Notification

3.625

1 Public notice of all land use applications outside the urban growth
2 boundary should be sent to all land owners within one-half mile of the
3 property on which any land use application is made. (07)

Commercial Activities in EFU Zones 3.630

1 We support the right of an agricultural producer to vertically integrate
2 the farm operation and to provide other producers with such services as
3 long as the owner's product is a significant portion of the product being
4 handled.

5 We support clearly defining the differences between "processing" a
6 crop and "preparing" a crop for market. Such definition would determine
7 to what extent a crop could be processed or prepared and still be
8 classified as a farm use under state standards and therefore permitted
9 on EFU land.

10 Non-production based commercial activities should be accessory
11 and auxiliary to the farm use on the subject farm and not the primary
12 use. (03), (09)

13
14

15 Application of Byproducts to Agricultural and Forestry Land 3.660

16 Biosolids: We support the use of treated or untreated biosolids and
17 reclaimed water for agricultural purposes that will not lower or degrade
18 the quality of farm land on which it was applied.

19

20 Food and agricultural byproducts: We support the application of food
21 waste, processing water, and other agricultural byproduct to agricultural
22 land at agronomic rates. Land Use Committee (16)

Non-Farm Activities 3.670

1 Because the limitation of non-farm activities is crucial to the integrity
2 of the exclusive farm use zone, we will vigorously oppose the inclusion of
3 any new non-farm uses on lands properly zoned for exclusive farm use.

4 Furthermore, we will actively seek reduction of the growing list of
5 permitted and conditional non-farm uses that have been added to the
6 zone since its inception.

7 Except for necessary utility transmission lines and facilities that serve
8 the rural land owners, public facilities should only be allowed in EFU
9 zones if there is no property outside the EFU zone on which the facility
10 could be physically located.

11 Activities in private parks should be passive and consistent with a
12 rural setting, including consistent with rural farm and forest operations.
13 Parks may not be on high-value farmland without an exception unless
14 they are within the urban growth boundary.

15 Weddings and other events on EFU land must be ancillary and
16 accessory to existing farm use as defined in ORS 215.203 and not a
17 commercial business separate from the farm activity. (00), (01), (06),
18 (08), (09)

Landfills in EFU Zones

3.671

1 We oppose the siting of new or the expansion of existing landfills on
2 high-value EFU land. (09)

Non-Resource Lands Zoning

3.674

1 We believe that a county should authorize new non-resource land
2 zoning by having the determination approved by the county
3 commissioners.

4 When a county rezones EFU lands that do not fall within the
5 definition of "agricultural land" under LCDC Goal 3, counties shall
6 establish non-resource zones for these areas, and allow other rural uses
7 to occur. The process to use would include the county obtaining the
8 expertise of a certified professional soil classifier registered and in good
9 standing with the DLCD. That expert's testimony and report to the DLCD
10 becomes public record. (03), (09), (10)

Utility Siting

3.675

1 We favor locating thermo nuclear power plants and other industrial
2 developments in areas that will enhance irrigation developments and not
3 take prime farm and forest land out of production.

4 Power transmission lines should be located to avoid losses of
5 present or potential agricultural and timber production activities need to
6 avoid valuable farm land. We support the principle of establishing utility
7 corridors to minimize avoid the loss of agricultural and timber lands.

8 Underground utility facilities crossing or utilizing farmlands should be
9 buried at a depth and in a manner that will not interfere with normally
10 accepted agricultural practices in the area. All new buried pipelines and
11 utilities in agricultural zones and on private land shall be placed no less
12 than six feet below the surface of the ground. Such facilities include
13 cables for communication and power transmission and pipelines for
14 transmission of water, petroleum products, natural or manufactured gas,
15 or other materials. All utility pipeline installations must be installed as
16 negotiated with the land owner. (14)

17 Farmers should not be held responsible for damage or disruption of
18 service. Utility operators shall be held responsible for repair,
19 maintenance, restoration of any damages or disruption of service the
20 farm operation. The operator shall fairly compensate the land owners, or
21 lease holders for any repair, maintenance or restoration of their property.
22 At the time of significant change of operation, the utility owner shall
23 renegotiate a right-of-way agreement and easement and compensate the
24 land owner or lease holder accordingly.

25 All agricultural tillage of less than 24 inches in depth should be
26 exempt from the requirement to notify any buried pipeline or utility before
27 work begins. (14)

28 All overhead utilities must be maintained at a height so as not to
29 interfere with agricultural activities. (07)

30 We support changing the law to provide that if a land owner does not
31 wish to have a utility on their property, then every effort would be made
32 to avoid the property and/or put the utility line in an existing road right-of-
33 way. No landowner should be required to accept an easement for a utility
34 unless no road right-of-way exists within a five mile corridor. The area
35 receiving the majority of the service should be the area that supplies the
36 utility corridor. If the majority of the service will be used in the urban
37 growth boundary then the utility corridor should be in the urban growth
38 boundary. The Oregon Department of Agriculture should be the agency
39 that oversees the mitigation on agricultural land. The Oregon Department
40 of Forestry should be the agency that oversees the mitigation on forest
41 land.

42 Every quarter mile section should be evaluated for location and
43 availability to any existing road right-of-way. In addition, the utility should
44 be required to:

- 45 1. Post a sufficient bond with the State of Oregon.
- 46 2. Locate its companion facilities in the road right-of-way.
- 47 3. Purchase a lease from a willing landowner for the property of a
48 utility not located in the road right-of-way. The utility and/or
49 companion facilities must be along the edge of the agricultural or
50 forest land next to the road right-of-way and the fee/rate should
51 be established based on commercial/industrial property rental
52 rates within the urban area receiving the majority of the service.
- 53 4. Have an annual fee with the landowner(s) that is adjusted at
54 least once every five years for inflation. The mitigation
55 agreement should be reviewed by the Oregon Department of
56 Agriculture upon request of the landowner at the time of the
57 Renewal of the lease.
- 58 5. Pay the mitigation costs including the lease, the costs to the
59 landowner for the life of the use, the cost of changing
60 management practices and the actual loss in value of the crop,
61 timber and/or livestock. Mitigation should include the entire area
62 of production affected by the utility facility or pipeline and not just
63 the footprint. (08)
- 64 6. To establish the route for a utility facility that is a linear utility
65 facility, the utility provider shall establish, in a land use
66 application seeking approval of the linear utility facility, that each
67 segment of the linear utility facility must be sited in an exclusive
68 farm use zone to provide the service. If the criteria in subsections
69 (3) and (4) of this section are met for a utility facility that is a
70 linear utility facility, the utility provider shall locate the segments
71 of the linear utility facility, to the extent possible, along tract
72 boundaries and maximize the stability of the remainder of the

73 tract for farm use. "Segment" means the portion of a linear utility
74 facility sited in an exclusive farm use zone that is on lands that
75 share a similar site and situation geographically. (09), (10),
76 (Referred to AFBF, 10)

Utility Access 3.676

1 We believe that access to public utilities for the agriculture industry
2 for all agricultural purposes should be no less than equal to the services
3 provided to other users. (09)

4
5 **Solar Siting in EFU 3.678** We oppose
6 siting of non-agricultural solar panel facilities on high-value soils when
7 alternative sites are available. We support a legal requirement to ensure
8 that future solar sites when retired are reclaimed back to farmland with
9 comparable characteristics to the original farmland (See Green Power at
10 12.305)(16)

Agriculture Working Lands Conservation Easements 3.680

1 OFB supports agriculture working lands conservation easements for
2 the primary purpose of protecting farmland for continued agriculture use,
3 while providing wildlife habitat and environmental benefits. Conservation
4 easements shall not impact neighboring agriculture operations. If a
5 conservation easement negatively impacts a neighboring agriculture
6 operation, the neighboring agriculture operation should have an
7 appropriate available remedy. (14)

Management of Public Lands 3.685

1 All public agencies that own, manage, or otherwise control real
2 property must continuously actively manage its land to best achieve the
3 purpose of the public land and to prevent negative impacts to
4 surrounding private lands and landowners. Negative impacts include, but
5 are not limited to the spread of noxious weeds, trespasses onto private
6 property, increased wildlife burden, and other land use and resource
7 conflicts. (14)

1 Policy 3.680, Agricultural Conservation Easements, was deleted in 2007

Removal of Acreage from Production 3.687

2 As producers of the highest quality agriculture products in the world,
3 we oppose the permanent removal of acreage from agriculture
4 production through any government or private program. (02)

Loss of Private Property 3.688

1 We support adoption of a governmental policy of no net loss of
2 private ownership of agricultural and forest lands in Oregon.

3 Any consideration for land ownership transfer from private to government
4 ownerships shall require a public hearing process including hearings in
5 the local area. After such public hearing process, the agency or entity
6 must get approval from the local governing body of the county. Only then
7 can the federal or state agency seek funding for such land ownership
8 transfer. (13)

Government Ownership of Farm or Forest Land 3.689

1 State and local government should be required to prove and
2 guarantee that the purchase of land by a state or local government will
3 not violate Goal 3 as expressed in ORS 215.243 (1) and (2), namely the
4 preservation and maintenance of farmland for farm use. (00)

5 We support State management of federal lands in their jurisdiction.
6 (13)

7 We oppose allowing foreign governments to own land within our
8 state." Rights of land ownership by foreign nations should be equal to the
9 right of ownership by US citizens in foreign nations to create a reciprocal
10 effect. (13)

11

Road Development 3.690

1 During the design phase on road development, consideration should
2 be given to impacts on existing agriculture practices. Road development
3 should encourage the continuance of farm use.

4 When establishing expressways in EFU zones, the Oregon
5 Department of Transportation should be required to provide access to
6 farms and ranches and construct overpasses at county roads. (00)

Wetlands in EFU 3.691

1 Permanent wetlands, vernal pools, or mitigated wetlands should not
2 be located in an EFU zone if the land has been used for agriculture
3 purposes or government money is used to develop a wetland project. We
4 would not oppose a wetland project providing the project is funded by the
5 landowner and meets the state's conditional use requirements. (11)

Road Rights of Way 3.692

1 Before a government entity can get approval for a road improvement
2 project that would result in the right-of-way increasing in size, all property
3 owners with land bordering the project should be informed by the
4 government entity as to where all the existing property boundaries are
5 and how much more land would be acquired.

6 All disputes should be settled and a compensation rate agreed upon
7 for the taking of the property before the widening project can be
8 approved.

9 If the road improvement project is for the benefit of the urban
10 population, the extra land acquired should be valued as if inside the
11 Urban Growth Boundary.

12 In the event of road abandonment, the state or county will notify
13 property owners in writing. And, will consider the economic impact of the
14 road abandonment in addition, public meetings should be held on the
15 issue. (02), (12)

Traffic Impacts Due to EFU Land Conversions 3.693

1 We support retaining LCDDC Administrative Rules requiring the long-
2 term assessment of the transportation impacts resulting from the
3 establishment or enlargement of non-agricultural commercial businesses
4 at the state and county level. The burden of any cost of infrastructure
5 improvements should be paid for by the developer and not the general
6 public. (11)

Surveyor's Access 3.695

1 We support requiring all surveyors, their employees, or agents, to
2 obtain permission before entering upon private land for the purpose of
3 surveying and/or setting monuments without permission of the
4 landowner. Further, surveyors should compensate the landowner for any
5 and all damages and time lost caused by their entry on private property.
6 (08)

Deed Recording 3.696

1 We support requiring county planning departments and county
2 recorders or city recorders to verify that a newly created parcel is legal
3 and in compliance with the county comprehensive plan before the deed
4 is recorded. (03), (07), (08)

Aggregate 3.700

1 We support requiring an "alternatives analysis and a needs analysis"
2 as part of the aggregate permitting process when applying to mine high-
3 value farmland soils in EFU zones. We support requiring the use of a
4 permitting process with public hearings before allowing new or expanded
5 commercial aggregate mining operations in the EFU zone.

6 We support state and local governments using a higher percentage
7 of quarry rock and a lower percentage of alluvial gravel mined from
8 under high-value farmland soils in the Willamette Valley.

9 We support prohibiting the mining of rock when it is under high-value
10 farmland soils or if the mining activities meet or exceed the depth of
11 surrounding irrigation or domestic water wells within the boundaries of an
12 aquifer.

13 Before an application can be approved for a proposed aggregate
14 removal operation that is located on high-value farmland, the Department
15 of Agriculture and the Department of Water Resources should be
16 required to examine the application and both sign off that the proposed
17 mining/removal operation will not negatively impact agricultural
18 operations and water rights on surrounding farms.

19 We believe facilities and structures including batch plants should be
20 prohibited when surrounded by EFU land.

21 We support the removal of river rock from dry gravel bars. (01), (04),
22 (07), (08)

23 At a minimum, counties should have the authority to require that
24 there be a demonstration that there are no reasonable alternatives to
25 siting an aggregate facility on Class I, Class II, prime or unique soils in
26 Oregon.

27 We support the requirement that future aggregate mining sited on
28 Class I, Class II, prime, or unique soils in the Willamette Valley to be
29 reclaimed back to farmland with comparable characteristics to the
30 original farmland. (09)

Mitigation for Aggregate Projects 3.720

1 When an application is submitted to site an aggregate operation, we
2 support requiring counties to impose and enforce mandatory conditions
3 designed to reduce the impacts of the operation on neighboring farms
4 and ranches.

Supersiting 3.790

1 We oppose the supersiting of any non-farm activity that would
2 subtract from, or adversely affect, the surrounding agricultural industry
3 and resource base.

4 We oppose the 2005 FERC law relating to the supersiting of
5 pipelines and support the reintroduction of state and local oversight. (08)
6 (Referred to AFBF, 08) (16).

Urban Growth Boundaries 3.800

1 We support the use of Urban Growth Boundaries (UGB) as a means
2 of dividing agriculture land from urban land. The purpose of designating
3 land within UGBs under land use planning should be to provide space for
4 all urban needs, including, but not limited to: housing, commercial and
5 industrial, utilities, parks & recreation, schools and to manage the growth
6 of a city in such a way that these needs and services can be efficiently
7 provided within the UGB. We oppose any effort to remove farm use
8 assessment from actively farmed land inside a UGB, without land owner
9 consent.

10 We believe that UGB expansion is not an automatic right and that
11 there are some situations where expansion has reached its limit because
12 of the surrounding resource land. As such, we believe the 20 year
13 buildable inventory requirement is inappropriate and should be repealed.
14 Any boundary expansion on land protected under Goal 3 must not impair
15 the agricultural environment and infrastructure needed to produce food
16 and fiber for current and future generations. The expansion of a UGB
17 should not occur on land(s):

- 18 1. That is predominantly irrigated or non irrigated soil classes I, II
- 19 and irrigated class III and IV soils in western Oregon;
- 20 2. Parcels of land that are predominantly irrigated or non irrigated
- 21 soil classes I, II and irrigated class III through V soils in eastern
- 22 Oregon;
- 23 3. Parcels that are predominantly soils that, if irrigated, are capable
- 24 of producing the average of other irrigated land in the area;
- 25 4. Any parcels that are predominantly soils capable of producing
- 26 the average non irrigated wheat yield for the county; and
- 27 5. Any soils that the county determines to be necessary to support
- 28 the agricultural community.
- 29 Exceptions should include parcels that are smaller than the applicable
- 30 minimum lot size and at least 75% of its perimeter is contiguous to:
 - 31 1. An Urban Growth Boundary, or
 - 32 2. Land designated as urban reserve, or
 - 33 3. An exception area, or
 - 34 4. Soils not listed in ORS 215.710 (definition of high-value
 - 35 farmland). (02)

System Development Charges 3.801

- 1 We support the use of system development charges as an important
- 2 tool for sharing the cost of applicable infrastructure. (07)

Periodic Review 3.805

- 1 In order to provide a reasonable level of land ownership certainty for
- 2 agriculture producers near urban growth boundaries, no jurisdiction
- 3 should be required to evaluate their need to conduct a periodic review of
- 4 their comprehensive land use plan more frequently than every 10 years.
- 5 (04)

Population Allocation 3.810

- 1 The population growth allocations among cities within a county
- 2 should be under the county's jurisdiction and not the individual cities.
- 3 (03)

Metro and the RCVOG Urban Rural Reserves 3.820

- 1 The Rural/Urban Reserves process can only be supported using the
- 2 20-year land supply criteria as a minimum determining application tool
- 3 and not using the consideration of vague factors that has been used.
- 4 We only recognize two classifications of lands: (1) urban lands and
- 5 (2) rural lands. We do not recognize or support the term "undesignated
- 6 lands" as a classification in the Rural/urban reserve process.
- 7 The urban rural reserves process allowed by the legislature for the
- 8 Metro regional government, and Clackamas, Multnomah, and
- 9 Washington Counties needs to have hearings by the legislature prior to

10 being finalized by Metro to make sure that the agricultural and forestry
11 lands have maximum protection.

12 These lands are agriculture's and forestry's industrial lands and need
13 to be available for production with a 100 year supply.

14 These lands are nature's natural filters for the greenhouse gases that
15 the urban areas expel and need to be recognized for this purpose as well
16 as the economic benefits that they bring to Oregon's economy.

17 Cities that elect to have Urban Reserves shall be contained within
18 the reserve until substantially depleted. (08), (09), (11)

Destination Resorts

3.900

1 Destination Resorts should not be sited on high value cropland or
2 near intensive crop producing areas unless it can be demonstrated that:

3 1. The resort will not result in the loss of land being intensively
4 farmed;

5 2. The improvements and activities at the resort will be located and
6 designed to avoid adverse effects of the resort on farm uses on
7 surrounding farmlands; and

8 3. The property deed contains a provision that prohibits the owner,
9 employees or customers from taking legal action to restrict or
10 change the farming practices of agricultural producers in these
11 surrounding areas.

12 Destination resorts should not be allowed to incorporate as a city or
13 as a municipality if doing so would adversely impact the surrounding
14 agricultural industry.

15 Destination resorts should not be sited on irrigated land or within an
16 irrigation district and such irrigated land should not be included as land
17 eligible for a destination resort in a county's destination resort map.
18 However, irrigation districts should be allowed to opt out. (09)

Measure 37 and Measure 49 Right to Farm 3.920

1 Counties should be required as a condition of approval for any single
2 family dwelling or non-farm use approved pursuant to a Measure 37 or
3 Measure 49 claim to sign and record for the deed records a document
4 binding the new land owner and the land owner's successors in interest.
5 The deed should also prohibit them from pursuing a claim or cause of
6 action alleging injury from a farming or forest practice protected under
7 Oregon's Right to Farm Laws. (06), (08)

Policy No. 3.940, Measure 37 Counter Claims, was deleted in 2008

IV. TRANSPORTATION

General Transportation Statement 4.005

1 The state transportation laws and rules must be built on a sound
2 basis for the general benefit to agriculture and for encouraging individual
3 enterprise. (07)

Financing Highways 4.010

1 Highway use fund expenditures should be limited to the building and
2 maintenance of highways and bridges, and should not be used for any
3 other purpose.

4 State highway funds should not be spent on bicycle lane construction
5 and or improvements or other bicycle accommodations.

6 We support having adult bicycle users pay for improvements and
7 maintenance of bicycle lanes through the implementation of some form
8 of fee or registration system.

9 Local jurisdictions should be prohibited from imposing bicycle lane
10 improvement requirements on private enterprise without compensation.

11 If increased financing is required for the maintenance and repair of
12 highways and bridges, we favor an increase in the highway use funds.

13 We recommend continual effort by county, state, and federal
14 agencies for more efficient use of funds concerning maintenance and
15 repair projects.

16 Road funding priorities must consider the infrastructure needed to
17 support a viable commercial farm economy in EFU zones.

18 For the purpose of maintaining primary and secondary rural roads,
19 we support allowing more flexibility in the use of Federal Highway
20 Construction Funds at the state level when a state has completed 90% of
21 its interstate highway system responsibility.

22 We support continual review of the Statewide Transportation
23 Improvement Plan which would include the following:

- 24 1. A review and analysis of the current gas tax allocation plan;
 - 25 1. The identification of need by conducting a road equity study as
26 well as the unfunded needs of county market roads;
 - 27 2. The analysis of the economic impact of market roads;
 - 28 3. The identification of and analysis of how much gas tax is
29 exported from, and returned to, rural communities; and
 - 30 4. A determination if any new revenue is required. (06), (09), (10)

Highway Funding Decisions 4.011

1 Regional highway funding decisions should be done at public
2 hearings, which occur in the evening when the public can attend. (03)

Gas Tax **4.030**

1 Any fuel tax increase should be accompanied by an increase in
2 commercial vehicle fees to maintain parity with auto related taxes.

Vehicle Insurance Tax **4.040**

1 We oppose a vehicle insurance tax. (06)

Weight Mile Tax **4.060**

1 We favor the concept of farm-plated trucks paying their highway cost
2 responsibility through the payment of motor fuel taxes rather than a
3 weight mile tax.

4 We oppose any change in vehicle taxation that is detrimental to
5 agriculture.

Local Government Gas Tax **4.075**

1 We favor a constitutional amendment prohibiting a municipal
2 corporation from imposing a petroleum use tax without approval of the
3 voters within the municipality.

Three Axle Trucks **4.100**

1 We oppose subjecting three axle trucks with farm plates to the
2 weight mile tax and CDL requirements when hauling agricultural
3 products for hire. (01)

Farm Contract Carrier Provisions **4.120**

1 We support changing from a farm contract carrier to a general carrier
2 which would still allow a person to haul their own products without being
3 subject to a weight mile tax.

Vehicle Registration **4.140**

1 We support a method of issuing farm plates that is designed so that
2 these licenses can only be issued to qualifying farmers.

3 Farmers should be allowed to haul their own agriculture and timber
4 products on a truck with a farm plate to the point of first sale.

5 We support the concept of registering farm trucks on a declared
6 loaded weight for the power unit as long as the total dollars collected do
7 not exceed the amount that would be collected under a light weight fee
8 schedule.

9 We support legislation providing for the pre purchase of an unlimited
10 number of overweight trip permits.

11 We support the adoption of a reciprocal registration and licensing
12 program between adjoining states, including apportioned farm plates.
13 (05), (06)

14
15

Titling Fees **4.160**

1 Titling fees should not exceed the cost of issuance and should not be
2 used as a method of financing transportation facilities.

Driver's License Classification **4.175**

1 Operators of farm plated trucks should not be required to have a
2 commercial driver's license or a health certificate. (04)

Driver's License **4.180**

1 The Oregon Drivers License is proof that an Oregon Drivers' License
2 holder has demonstrated a working knowledge of the rules of the road,
3 and can safely operate a motor vehicle. (07)

Minor Aged Vehicle Drivers **4.185**

1 We support legislation allowing drivers under 18 years of age to
2 operate a vehicle with passengers under 20 years of age when operating
3 a vehicle is necessary for agricultural employment. (00)

Hand Held Communication Devices **4.190**

1 We support the continuation of the use of hand held mobile voice
2 communication devices in motor vehicles. (07), (11)

Public Utility Commission (PUC) Truck Permits **4.200**

1 We support the hauling of farm supplies, such as fertilizer, lime, feed,
2 etc., and farm products on non-regulated carriers operating with trip
3 permits in intrastate and interstate transportation. (14)

Vehicle Trip Permits **4.220**

1 Vehicle trip permits should be made available from the county clerk
2 in those counties that do not have a permanent DMV agency office.

3 We support issuing unlimited trip permits for abnormal truck loads at
4 local Department of Motor Vehicle offices.

5 The permit fee should reflect an amount not more than the
6 proportional wear caused by the vehicle and should provide an economic
7 incentive to purchase more than one permit at a time.

8 We support the issuance of a functional wide load permit for the
9 purpose of hauling large bales.

10 The Oregon Department of Transportation and the Public Utility
11 Commission should provide a 30 day renewable harvest-time permit for
12 farm plated vehicles. State statutes and/or rules should allow field-
13 loaded, farm licensed vehicles to have up to a 10% over gross vehicle
14 weight, without violation. (05), (06)

Truck Transponders **4.225**

1 We support use of green light transponders at weigh stations for
2 agriculture vehicles on the freeway without fees being charged. (07)

Uniform Truck Loads 4.230

1 We recognize the imperative need for greater uniformity among
2 states to minimize barriers to the free flow of commerce; this should be
3 done with federal regulation of truck size and weight. (06)

Deregulation 4.240

1 In an effort to be consistent with the states of Idaho, Nevada and
2 California, we support deregulation of the commercial hauling of livestock
3 in Oregon.

Hours of Service Exemption 4.280

1 We support an agricultural exemption from the maximum driving and
2 on-duty time requirements of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety
3 Regulations (FMCSRs) as defined in 49 CFR 395.3 and 395.5, for
4 farmers and retail farm suppliers transporting crops or farm supplies for
5 agricultural purposes within Oregon and/or a 150 air mile radius of their
6 distribution point or farm. (05), (06)

Interstate Commerce 4.290

1 We support a farm to market exemption from interstate commerce
2 regulatory enforcement to allow the movement of farm gate products
3 from the farm to its points of delivery within the state of origin until title is
4 transferred. (10), (Referred to AFBF, 10)

Public Roads Safety 4.300

1 We express our approval of reasonable laws related to highway
2 safety for movement of implements of husbandry.

3 We encourage safe and adequate turnouts which are well posted,
4 provided by the state highway and the county road departments for
5 movement of agricultural equipment, rural mail delivery and other service
6 vehicles.

7 We support highly visible dividing lines on rural roads.

8 We support adequate guard rails on state highways.

9 The Department of Motor Vehicles and Driver Education classes and
10 tests should increase emphasis of mandatory questions and facts
11 regarding slow moving vehicles and other farm related hazards to
12 include, but not be limited to, road etiquette as it pertains to rural roads.

13 The Department of Transportation needs to adopt signage in major
14 farming areas to notify the public of the need for caution.

15 Non motorized bicyclist should be fined for riding on roadways when
16 bicycle paths are available.

17 We encourage the establishment of a standard setback of
18 obstructions (mailboxes, signage, poles, etc.) to allow for adequate
19 clearance of over-width vehicles on all public roads.

20 We support limiting the duration of highway closures to two hours for
21 the investigation of an accident.

22 We believe that accident should be reported by mile markers and
23 forwarded to ODOT.

24 We support a bumper height limitation on all non-commercial
25 licensed vehicles that is no greater than the one for farm and commercial
26 vehicles. (06), (07), (08), (09)

27 We express our approval of reasonable laws related to highway
28 safety and support the establishment of best practices for movement of
29 implements of husbandry. (15)

Rural Road Maintenance 4.302

1 The authorities improve maintenance of rural roads so local food can
2 get to market. (15)

Slow-Moving Vehicle Signs 4.305

1 We support the proper use of the slow-moving vehicle (SMV) signs.
2 We expect appropriate administrating agencies to enforce the laws
3 including assessment of fines regarding misuse of SMV signs. We
4 support enforcement blitzes by law enforcement for the improper use of
5 SMV signs.

6 Any use of SMV signs other than what the statute or rule provides, is
7 a class C violation and enforced with fines. (14)

8 Any implement that is required to have a SMV sign is not in violation
9 of the law if the implement is traveling at a speed in excess of 25 mph or
10 is being transported on a truck or trailer or towed. (07), (09)

Maximum Speed 4.310

1 We support using the Basic Rule as the governing speed on Oregon
2 highways and oppose proposals for fixed limits on maximum speed
3 except during emergencies.

4 We support enactment of a state law that allows vehicles registered
5 under 26,000 lbs. (gross vehicle weight) to travel up to the maximum-
6 posted speed. (03)

Roadside Signs 4.314

1 Whenever the Oregon Department of Transportation and/or the
2 Tourism Information Council places roadside signs, an actual
3 determination should be made that those signs will not block the view of
4 highway conditions for the drivers of trucks and farm equipment. (03)

Highway Signs 4.315

1 There should be only one type of sign indicating the proper use of
2 passing lanes.

3 Prior to the end of the passing lane, a sign should indicate a mutual
4 responsibility to merge. (01)

School Zone Lights 4.316

1 All school zones should be controlled at each end a consistent color
2 of signing and lighting (that no other entity is allowed to use) for school
3 zone warnings. A warning sign should be attached to the light indicating
4 that the speed is 20 M.P.H. when the light is blinking. The time for use of
5 the flashing light should be controlled by the school. (05), (11)

Highway Signage for Roadside Stands 4.317

1 We support changing state laws so that farmers may place signs for
2 roadside stands on highway rights of way through a permit process. (02)

Caution Signs 4.318

1 We encourage state and county road departments to place caution
2 signs along rural state and county roads in EFU zones, warning
3 motorists to drive with caution, because farm machinery and livestock
4 may be on the road. (02)

Stop Lights 4.319

1 We support that ODOT develop a standard length of time for amber
2 lights at different speed limits that all local jurisdictions will use (12)

Studded Tires 4.320

1 We believe the use of studded tires should be legal when weather
2 and road conditions warrant their use. (00)

Drug Testing 4.330

1 Any driver who is involved in a motor vehicle accident in which
2 another person(s) is injured or killed should have a blood test within 3
3 hours to determine if the driver was under the influence of drugs.

Safety Restraints 4.340

1 School buses carrying children to and from day care, migrant school
2 programs and/or field trips, should be exempt from the law (only until
3 such time a law is passed that mandates all school busses have seat
4 restraints) requiring seat restraints for children under the age of three.

Leaky or Sifting Loads 4.350

1 We support legislation that provides for obtaining a permit to haul a
2 leaky load from the farm to a processing plant or another farm. Trucks
3 of silage and manure should be exempt from sifting load laws.

Road Access 4.360

1 We support legislative action that will require the Oregon Department
2 of Transportation to take immediate action to ensure that Oregon's roads
3 and intersections are improved and constructed in a manner that will

4 allow farmers and ranchers to move their equipment from field to field in
5 a manner that is safe for the producers and the traveling public.

6 We support access to cross the road(s) that exist now or have
7 existed since the area was designated as an EFU zone are
8 grandfathered in and ODOT and/or the Federal Highway Authority that
9 have major throughways or express ways running through the EFU zone
10 or proposed to run through the EFU zone must design a system that
11 allows for agriculture to have access to cross the road where existing
12 access has existed and still exists and no road closures are allowed.

13 We oppose road impediments such as islands in the turning lanes.
14 (07), (09), (11)

Agricultural Produce Signs 4.390

1 We support expanding state roadway regulations to permit
2 agricultural producers, to display seasonal signs advising the public that
3 agricultural commodities are available. This signage should be allowed
4 on private property with permission, regardless of ownership.

Railroad Abandonment 4.400

1 We seek and support alternatives to railroad branch line
2 abandonment through the use of short line railroads, customer operated
3 branch lines, tax incentives or other creative solutions. In the event of
4 railroad abandonment, the ownership of the land should revert to the
5 landowner if the land under the railroad is an easement, or if the right-of-
6 way is owned by the railroad the land should be sold to an adjacent
7 landowner.

Rails to Trails 4.420

1 We oppose the creation or development of Rails to Trails. For
2 existing Rails to Trails or those created despite our opposition, we
3 support management of trails under the Oregon Recreation Trails
4 System Act. Such management should be approved by county
5 government with public input, and should also be in compliance with
6 statewide land use goals and compatible with acknowledged county
7 comprehensive plans.

8 We support legislation indemnifying adjacent farm owners for
9 damages caused by trail users to their land, and making trail users liable
10 for their torts. We support amendments to Oregon's recreational use
11 statute to make sure farm owners are immune from suits for injuries that
12 occur on recreational trails adjacent to their land.

13 We support requiring the state to show an ability to meet the financial
14 requirements associated with developing and maintaining a recreation
15 trail.

16 We support an amendment to the Oregon Recreational Trails
17 System Act to specifically mandate the state comply with ORS 608.310
18 through 608.400 (Fences and crossings on railroad right of ways) and

1 comply with the terms of any deed or other instrument attached to land
2 used to develop the recreation trail.

3 We support legislation that will give adjoining landowners first right of
4 refusal to purchase, at agricultural land prices, any railroad right-of-way
5 adjacent to their property, if that railway is abandoned. We support an
6 amendment to the Oregon Recreational Trails System Act to guarantee
7 adjacent landowners unlimited access to and from their own property
8 along and across the trail property was previously used by the land
9 owner.

Abandonment of Railroad Right of Way 4.450

1 The abandoned rights of way will be returned to the current owners
2 of the underlying parcels. (14)

3 We seek legislation requiring the administrator of any right of way
4 abandonment procedure to:

- 5 1. Search title to all adjoining properties for reversion clauses, and
6 to honor such documents; and
- 7 2. If none exists, we support the necessary legislation to provide
8 that in the case of abandonment or nonuse, adjacent landowners
9 shall be given the right to buy such land, including mineral rights,
10 on the basis of the fair market value of comparable property.

11 We oppose provisions of the National Trails Act which permits
12 abandoned rail property to be donated and/or used for nature trails or
13 other recreational purposes.

All Terrain Vehicles 4.525

1 All Terrain Vehicles (ATVs) are an important piece of production
2 equipment on America's farms and ranches. The sale and use of ATVs
3 for use as farm equipment and on private property should be retained.

4 ATVs, when owned by a person conducting farm operations on land
5 receiving farm use assessment and when operated in conjunction with
6 the farm or ranch, should be defined as an implement of husbandry for
7 the purposes of motor vehicle laws.

8 We support exemptions for agriculture use from ATV safety
9 regulations. (06)

Transportation Emergency Powers 4.575

1 We recommend that, when the need exists, the governor be given
2 the power to declare an emergency so that immediate steps can be
3 taken to increase the supply of railroad cars, truck trailers, and other
4 equipment, used for bulk transportation of agricultural commodities.

Dock Strikes 4.585

1 We urge legislation to allow suits for compensation when a dock
2 strike causes loss of trade or revenue. In such emergencies, in order to

3 protect the public interest, state government, under emergency powers,
4 should operate the facilities until a mutually satisfactory solution is
5 agreed upon and ratified.

Tow Trucks

4.595

1 Law enforcement agencies should be notified by tow truck operators
2 when the tow truck operators trespass. The law should provide:
3 1. A penalty for enforcement;
4 2. A form to be completed by the tow truck operator that goes to the
5 law enforcement agencies and to the address where the vehicle
6 was towed from; and
7 3. Immediate notification to the law enforcement agency if a safety
8 hazard exists.

Policy No. 4.600, Cardlock-Keylock, was deleted in 2005

Bulk Purchase of Fuel for Agricultural Buyers 4.610

1 We support the creation of a law to allow the bulk purchase of fuel to
2 be resold to no more than five additional agricultural buyers for economic
3 benefit. (09)

V. FORESTRY

General Forestry Statement 5.001

1 The state forestry laws and rules must be built on a sound basis for
2 the general benefit to natural resource industries and for encouraging
3 individual enterprise. (07)

Forestry 5.010

1 We support clear cutting as a forest management practice west of
2 the Cascades Crest.

3 We support necessary silvicultural practices according to sound
4 management principles, developed and used by foresters and
5 landowners.

6 We support legislation requiring the owner that was responsible for
7 the logging to be responsible for the reforestation.

8 We urge that controls be established to regulate the kinds of seeds
9 or plants utilized for reseeding of burned over forest and rangeland, and
10 that all seeds or plants have a known origin.

11 We request research by Oregon State University to ascertain that the
12 seeds or plants used are not harmful to agriculture production. We also
13 request that the research for small woodland owners be continued.

14 We support strong service forestry and/or extension service
15 programs with an emphasis in the field.

16 We urge a continued program of seeding public lands with grasses
17 and legumes to increase forage for livestock and wildlife.

18 We support Right to Practice Forestry laws.

National Forest Receipts 5.020

1 Issues raised in national forest planning should be resolved to
2 sustain a continuing and economically viable supply of timber from
3 national forests. It is of extreme importance that the flow of revenues to
4 the counties from national forests be maintained to provide support to
5 counties, citizen employment and community stability.

6 We support the return to logging of O&C (Oregon & California
7 Railroad) lands.

8 We support a state constitutional amendment that would allow
9 counties to exceed the property tax rate limitation to replace federal
10 forest receipts that were only used for property related services. All
11 counties should petition the state for revenue to provide for non-property
12 related services. (02), (04), (07)

Forestry Programs

5.030

1 The future health of the timber industry demands intensified
2 management of small and medium sized timber parcels by the
3 landowners.

4 We support education programs, incentive programs, capitalization
5 rates and tax policies that encourage private landowners to achieve
6 optimum production.

7 We support the present method of funding the Department of
8 Forestry programs with the funds going before the Ways and Means
9 Committee for their review of General Fund appropriations.

10 We support monitoring of the USDA forest service initiative regarding
11 coordination of public and private forest lands. (Referred to AFBF 2012)
12 (12).

Oregon Department of Forestry Jurisdiction on Rangelands 5.040

1 We oppose the actions of the Oregon State Department of Forestry
2 to expand their jurisdiction over the management of and activities on
3 lands classified as rangelands including juniper dominated rangelands.
4 (05)

Forest Practices Act

5.100

1 The Forest Practices Act (FPA) should be administered only by the
2 Oregon Department of Forestry. County overlay zones should not be
3 used for this purpose. The Forest Practices Act should be adequately
4 funded to accomplish its objectives.

5 We oppose FPA requirements that forest operators notify all
6 residents within 500 feet of a pesticide spray project.

7 Crops grown under agronomic cultural practices should be
8 considered an agricultural crop and not be subject to the Oregon Forest
9 Practices Act.

10 We support amending the Forest Practices Act to reduce the time of
11 reforestation to three years and to increase the minimum stocking rate to
12 200 trees per acre in western Oregon. (04), (05)

Fire Protection

5.200

1 Government should manage public lands regardless of how the land
2 is classified in order to assure that fire prevention, suppression and
3 control measures are taken to prevent the spread of fire on those lands
4 and also on private lands.

5 We believe that the public owes a responsibility to contribute to fire
6 suppression costs on private land. The state should fund a minimum of
7 50% of the fire protection costs and landowner in-kind contributions
8 should be counted as part of the landowner's share of the costs.

9 We support a fire protection policy in high-risk wild land/urban
10 interface fire zones that offer an incentive based approach to fire
11 protection.

12 We support national public land policies that prevent wildfires
13 through fuel load reduction by thinning, selective harvesting and grazing.

14 In order to prevent wildfires and reduce the fuel load caused by the
15 government's decrease in public lands grazing, we strongly support the
16 expansion and prioritization of grazing by livestock on lands managed by
17 the government, as it is the most economical method of fuel load
18 reduction on rangelands. (15)

19 We support changes in policy that all state, federal and local
20 agencies should promptly conduct an analysis once a fire is declared
21 controlled and move expeditiously to salvage reforest and restore the
22 burned landscapes. (02), (07), (08)

Salvage Logging 5.210

1 We support a state and national policy that promotes prompt salvage
2 logging in areas of burned, diseased, or pest damaged forests on public
3 lands. (02), (04), (05)

State Forests 5.300

1 Forests managed by the Department of Forestry should be managed
2 in such a manner as to best insure the maximum production in the long
3 run, and to provide the highest possible payments of forest generated
4 revenues as payments to counties and school districts in the form of an
5 offset to property taxes. (04)

Forest Service Timber Harvest Policy 5.400

1 It is in the best interest of the State of Oregon to continue to allow
2 the states to determine the distribution of U.S. Forest Service timber
3 sales receipts. We favor the present 25/75 ratio used when determining
4 the distribution of such sales receipts. We oppose efforts to allow use of
5 these funds for social programs.

6 We support the planned allowable harvest levels set by the U.S.
7 Forest Service.

Timber Product Export Restrictions 5.600

1 We oppose any restriction or limitation on exports of Oregon forest
2 products unless negotiated by treaty.

VI. ENVIRONMENT

General Environment Statement 6.005

1 The state environmental laws and rules must be built on a sound
2 basis that recognizes the general benefit to agriculture and encourages
3 individual enterprise. (07)

Economic Impact 6.010

1 An economic impact statement should be required as part of every
2 environmental impact statement and each should be given equal weight.
3 The statements must allow for protection of the customs and culture of
4 local communities. (05)

Resource Management 6.020

1 No one agency should be allowed to override the evidence regarding
2 resource management projects such as river bank revetments, channel
3 maintenance, animal grazing, etc.

Environmental Program Funding 6.025

1 The responsibility for declining populations of flora and fauna
2 demands a broad-based public commitment and understanding that the
3 burdens of restoration are being shared by all of society. We oppose in
4 principal and in policy any private-sector tax used to fund environmental
5 programs benefiting the "public good." The conservation and restoration
6 of all species requires action and sacrifice across the entire economic
7 and geographic spectrum of Oregon.

Government Accountability 6.030

1 A state agency or local government should not refuse to issue a
2 permit to a person applying for a permit in order to protect their property,
3 both personal and real. Property, including, but not limited to, natural
4 resources and irrigation access should be protected from flood, fire and
5 other natural hazards unless denial of the permit is necessary to protect
6 public health and safety.

7 A state agency or local government that fails to issue such a permit
8 should be liable for damages resulting from the denial. A written
9 explanation for the denial should be provided to the applicant within two
10 weeks of the denial. The explanation should include specific reasons for
11 denial.

12 Any person(s) damaged by reason of the denial of the permit should
13 be allowed at their discretion, to bring an action in the circuit court having
14 jurisdiction over the damaged property.

15 All approved permits should be issued within two weeks of being
16 received. Conditions on such permits should be limited to only those that

17 are required to protect public health and safety from legitimate, science-
18 based risks. Fees associated with approved permits, as they relate to
19 agriculture and private property, should not be used as a revenue
20 generation source. (05)

Department of State Lands **6.100**

1 OFBF appreciates the efforts of the Division of State Lands to work
2 cooperatively with the agriculture industry on “fill and removal”.

3 OFBF favor the repeal or amendment of state laws, rules or
4 regulations that allow the Department of State Lands to have any
5 regulatory authority over any private property used for agricultural
6 purposes including stream beds and banks or other lands. We oppose
7 any new fees or fee increases on agriculture by the Division of State
8 Lands. (03), (05), (06), (09)

Multiple Use **6.200**

1 We favor the multiple uses of public lands and publicly controlled or
2 managed natural resources.

Criminalization of Environmental Law **6.315**

1 Environmental laws should exempt persons who conduct farming,
2 ranching, mining, and logging activities from criminal liability. Any
3 violation of environmental laws that do not result in demonstrated harm
4 to public health and safety should be subject to civil liability only.

Subsurface Property Rights **6.320**

1 All lending institutions should discontinue the policy, during
2 foreclosure, of retaining geothermal and other rights which were acquired
3 lawfully by the pervious landowner (title owner). Such rights should
4 remain with, and not be severed from, the land.

Public Lands **6.350**

1 We support the concept of allowing private access to public lands for
2 economic purposes. Such access should be managed by appropriate
3 public entities to permit private interests to pursue their economic goals
4 with only those rules and regulations absolutely required to protect the
5 resources, as determined by a competent scientific determination. (02)

Natural Resources Revenues **6.400**

1 Revenues received from all natural resources on federal lands within
2 Oregon should have a minimum of 50% returned to the county from
3 which it was derived.

Recreation **6.410**

1 More attention should be given to provisions for family type
2 recreation in undeveloped areas of federal land.

3 Fees for the use of recreation facilities should be charged where
4 services are provided but should not be charged merely for access to
5 undeveloped areas.

Recreation Cooperation 6.415

1 We will cooperate with local, state or federal agencies and with
2 recreation and wildlife groups to develop plans and procedures for better
3 outdoor recreation opportunities, better utilization thereof and education
4 in sportsman-like conduct.

Reclamation Law 6.420

1 We oppose any acreage limitation on any Bureau of Reclamation
2 project.
3 The Bureau of Reclamation should not negotiate to divert water from
4 irrigation to recreation use. (05)

Withdrawn Land Transfers 6.430

1 We are opposed to any jurisdictional transfer of withdrawn lands
2 between the Bureau of Reclamation and the Bureau of Land
3 Management unless both agencies mutually agree.

Wilderness Areas 6.440

1 Multiple-use is the best utilization of our federal lands; therefore, no
2 more Oregon land should be allocated as a wilderness designation area.
3 Those areas already designated as wilderness areas should be
4 periodically re evaluated.

5 We oppose the study or creation of new wilderness areas and
6 expansion of present wilderness areas.

7 Lands designated for wilderness areas should be subject to local
8 zoning ordinances.

9 We recommend that the Wilderness Areas Act be amended to
10 control fire, noxious weeds, insects and diseases. Where there is a fire
11 threat to adjacent multiple use areas, the maintenance of irrigation
12 systems, should be continued. (05)

Stewardship 6.450

1 We support and encourage use of the Bureau of Land Management
2 Stewardship Program.

Road Access Policy 6.455

1 The system followed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in
2 establishing certain roads and rights of way for the alleged purpose of
3 access in certain agricultural areas of Oregon is not justified when
4 considering:

- 5 1. Private property values;
- 6 2. Destruction of ranch operations;

- 7 3. Costs in relationship to benefits received;
- 8 4. Burdens of patrol imposed on ranchers whose lands are
- 9 dissected with roads and rights of way;
- 10 5. Impacts on community economy; and
- 11 6. The erosion of tax bases.

12 We ask that such road building projects be delayed until an
13 aggrieved landowner, the community and others concerned, have an
14 opportunity to review and arbitrate the cost, inconvenience and
15 mechanics of the proposed access to lands.

16 We ask that the permit holder and the BLM have control of access
17 when there is danger of fire, particularly in summer and late fall, or from
18 one rainy season to another.

Sale or Lease of Public Lands 6.460

1 When the state and/or federal government put public land up for
2 sale, or lease agreement, we believe the following conditions should be
3 adhered to:

- 4 1. All existing contracts should be honored;
- 5 2. The first right of refusal should be given the present user, and
- 6 then to the adjacent farmers and/or ranchers;
- 7 3. A base value should be established with the means of financing
- 8 to be determined;
- 9 4. Price modification of the sale should be given to the adjacent
- 10 farmers and/ or ranchers; and
- 11 5. Government agencies are encouraged to sell lands to the private
- 12 sector that are of limited value to the public. (05), (06)

Public/Private Land Exchange 6.465

1 We support the voluntary exchange of public and private lands,
2 particularly in cases where public and private land holdings are
3 intermingled (checker-boarded), thereby complicating the access and
4 management of both. (09)

Land Ownership 6.466

1 The Oregon Farm Bureau disapproves the US Federal Government
2 from acquiring additional natural resource land in Oregon. (13)

Climate Change/ Cap and Trade 6.500

1 We oppose any climate change legislation that establishes a “cap
2 and trade” system as a solution. If such legislation is proposed, then the
3 following criteria should be included in the legislation:

- 4 • Credits for staying in production;
- 5 • Credits designed to avoid competition among commodities; and
- 6 • Credits for solar improvements, such as irrigation systems,
- 7 lighting, heating and cooling, etc.

- 8 • Credits for the carbon that producers' sequester in their
9 operations.

10 We oppose any cap and trade program that would:

- 11 • Have one commodity compete over production agriculture;
12 • Penalize production agriculture or timber against another
13 commodity; and
14 • Encourage set-aside or wetland programs for processing the
15 fiber, food and fuel products that are needed to be economic
16 feasible.

17 Additionally, we oppose any climate legislation or policies that
18 increase the production and input costs for American agriculture. Carbon
19 dioxide should not be classified as a pollutant by the United States
20 Environmental Protection Agency.

21 We support voluntary programs and existing practices in which
22 farmers can sell carbon credits in a free market system. (08), (10), (11),
23 (Referred to AFBF, 11)

24 Any cap and trade law should give land resource businesses credit
25 for the carbon that they sequester in their operations. (16)

26

VII. WATER

General Water Statement **7.005**

1 The state water laws and rules must be built on a sound basis that
2 recognizes the general benefit to agriculture and encourages individual
3 enterprise. (07)

Navigable Rivers **7.010**

1 We oppose all actions by the State of Oregon to recommend
2 streams and rivers throughout the state as navigable, unless these are
3 rivers that are in fact now used for commerce between states and foreign
4 countries and which need federal government supervision and financial
5 support.

6 The State of Oregon should provide well-documented, historic data
7 that streams were in fact navigable on February 14, 1859 with respect to
8 the navigability studies now under way.

9 We oppose the expansion of the navigable waterway definition or
10 determination and seek legislation and/or signatures on an initiative
11 petition to halt such illegal activity and to restore ownership and remove
12 any cloud on the title to land that has been taken to date.

13 We oppose further designation of navigable waters in Oregon. We
14 support voluntary incentive-based programs of public recreation, such as
15 providing recreational leases, easements and cooperative agreements to
16 increase public access to private lands through financial incentives, tax
17 credits and compensation.

18 We support increased recreational development of public lands for
19 navigable access. (05)

Navigable Determination **7.015**

1 Definite determination should be made of the river bottom lands
2 claimed by the state. Ownership of contested lands should be
3 adjudicated.

Scenic Rivers **7.100**

1 We oppose the further expansion of scenic rivers to other streams or
2 tributaries.

3 Legislation regarding scenic rivers should exempt agricultural
4 management practices. Lands adjacent to scenic rivers and recreation
5 trails should be subject to local zoning ordinances. (05)

Eastside Ecosystem Plan **7.110**

1 We oppose further federal expenditures to complete or implement
2 the Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project (ICBEMP)
3 and the Upper Columbia River Basin (UCRB) project as long as the

4 plans are contrary to federally legislated public land management
5 policies. We will not support any alternative that goes contrary to our
6 national policy that sets multiple-use as the goal for management of
7 public lands.

Water Conservation

7.200

1 We will support a state water conservation program that would define
2 conservation as the “wise and beneficial use of water.” A state water
3 conservation program should address all of the state's water resources
4 and stress voluntary involvement to:

- 5 1. Improve efficiencies of delivery and application;
- 6 2. Improve efficiencies of in-stream use; and
- 7 3. Store surplus flows for future in-stream and diverted uses. (01)

8

Storage of Willamette Basin Reservoir Water 7.220

9

10
11 We support the Army Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of
12 Reclamation and others with jurisdiction over the Willamette River Basin
13 placing the summer and seasonal water needs of agriculture,
14 municipalities and businesses in a higher priority and adopt a
15 management system to fill and store water behind the thirteen dams and
16 reservoirs in a timely manner that supports both the seasonal water
17 needs of agriculture, municipalities, and businesses and the flood control
18 and fisheries needs of the Willamette River Basin system.

Measurement of Water Use

7.225

1 We oppose statewide blanket measurement of water use. We
2 support water measurement within individual watersheds to resolve
3 water conflict between water users. (09)

Riparian Management Zones

7.250

1 We oppose non-voluntary regulatory riparian set-asides or other
2 imposed riparian restrictions on private agricultural land. If regulations
3 and improvements are proposed to protect riparian lands on private
4 agricultural property, then the following conditions should be met:

- 5 1. These regulations should be incentive-based;
- 6 2. All such regulations should be reasonable; and
- 7 3. All costs of these regulations and improvements should be paid
8 by the government agency. (05)

Water Laws

7.300

1 We support continuance of the prior appropriation doctrine as the
2 proper method to determine water law administered by the Water
3 Resources Commission.

4 We support a system of one vote per irrigated acre within an
5 irrigation district or irrigation company.

6 The Director of the Water Resources Department should be
7 appointed by the Water Resources Commission and confirmed by the
8 Governor.

9 We support the beneficial use under the constraints of irrigation
10 districts' and/or an irrigation companies' bylaws. (05)

Water Adjudication

7.305

1 We support a fair and fast state adjudication process if the following
2 provisions are met:

- 3 1. A requirement that all entities, particularly Tribal Government
4 and US Government claimants submit, and make public,
5 biological studies and the data developed in those studies, when
6 biological/environmental studies are a basis for their claim;
- 7 2. A provision allowing for sufficient time for peer review after the
8 submission of the aforementioned studies and data and prior to
9 the contested case hearing. At least one year should be allowed
10 for peer review;
- 11 3. A provision requiring the State of Oregon to fund unbiased
12 scientific studies sufficient to determine the accuracy of data,
13 and authenticity of all federal claims;
- 14 4. A requirement that Oregon Water Resources Department defend
15 existing adjudicated water rights when such water rights would
16 be jeopardized by in-stream or lake level claims filed by
17 government in an adjudication process; and
- 18 5. A requirement, if the adjudication of in-stream water rights or
19 state or federal reserved rights deprives any farm or ranch of
20 water, the government pays just compensation to the farmer or
21 rancher for all economic loss due to the loss of water.

Water Rights

7.310

1 We oppose federal preemption of state water rights. The right to use
2 water is a property right which should not be taken from the owner
3 without due process of law and just compensation.

4 We believe that water and water rights from both surface and
5 underground sources must stay with the land, particularly when lands are
6 classified for agriculture use under the state's land use planning
7 program. Water rights on EFU lands should not be used for any other
8 purpose until all agriculture needs are met and assured for the future.
9 We believe that areas where ground water is available under EFU lands
10 that no other use should be allowed unless there is an adequate supply
11 of water for all EFU lands located above the ground water source.

12 We request that the present Oregon water rights law be administered
13 in accordance with established customs and adjudicated court decisions.

14 We hold irrigation water to be property rights appurtenant to the land
15 and that irrigation water rights are owned by the landowner.

16 When water rights are applied for and developed by a landowner, the
17 ownership rights to appropriate and make beneficial-use of that water
18 right vests solely in that landowner.

19 We hold that Irrigation Districts are a critical component of Oregon's
20 agricultural infrastructure. Irrigation Districts must be managed for the
21 benefit of all patrons.

22 Irrigation Districts shall operate based on direction provided by an
23 elected board representing the district members.

24 Water rights held within a district should stay on land in that district.
25 Water that is unusable by an irrigation district for irrigation should be
26 available for other landowners or districts with access to the same water
27 source for irrigation purposes only.

28 Oregon Water Recourse Department (OWRD) basin plans for water
29 availability should be required to restrict rural, residential, municipal or
30 industrial development near or on land zoned exclusively for farm use
31 where non-farm demands will adversely impact the adjoining agricultural
32 operation or other existing uses.

33 We support the presumption that a water right application be
34 considered in the public interest, if the use is authorized in the basin
35 program and the water is available.

36 Water rights should be described in terms of recorded property
37 deeds. An affidavit showing a five year period of nonuse within any
38 preceding seven year period should accompany all petitions initiating
39 cancellation procedures.

40 We support allowing any owner of any agricultural water right to
41 receive a waiver of the five-year cancellation for nonuse if the owner has
42 a sufficient reason for requesting the waiver.

43 We support repealing that portion of the water right law which says,
44 "A water permit or water right may be canceled after five years of
45 nonuse."

46 Valid reasons for the waiver include, but are not limited to the
47 following: government programs such as the current 10 year
48 Conservation Reserve Program; improvements in Management
49 programs; changes in crop production programs; changes in ownership;
50 weather cycles; land litigation and temporary long-term economic
51 conditions.

52 A farmer should have the option to accept or reject use of sludge or
53 treated water. The use of such water should not result the in loss of
54 water rights. Water quality testing should be the responsibility of the
55 supplier, with tests done by a testing agency.

56 State law should be amended to allow representation from the
57 following nine basins:

- 58 1. Rogue, Umpqua, South Coast;
- 59 2. Klamath, Gooselake;
- 60 3. Lower Willamette, Sandy;

61 4. Mid Willamette, Mid Coast;
62 5. Upper Willamette, North Coast;
63 6. John Day, Deschutes, Hood;
64 7. Grand Ronde, Umatilla;
65 8. Powder, Malheur Lake; and
66 9. Malheur, Owyhee
67 Stock ponds and retention impoundments in use in an agriculture
68 environment should be grandfathered under Oregon water rights.
69 The water right application backlog in the OWRD should be cleared
70 within a 3 month period before the department conducts any present or
71 future rule or policy making, hires any new employees, or has their
72 budget approved by the next legislature.
73 Governmental agencies should not be exempt from water rights
74 application fees.
75 We support a fee being charged to file an appeal for granting a water
76 right application. The fee should be equivalent to all costs incurred by a
77 water right applicant. Appellants if successful should have the fee
78 returned.
79 If the appeal is lost then those filing the appeal would lose their fee
80 and be required to pay any costs incurred by the water right applicant
81 due to the appeal. (02), (03), (04)
82 It is the responsibility of OWRD's job to actively enforce the shutoff of
83 illegal water withdrawals and damming of streams on properties with no
84 water rights- (15)
85

Water Right Applications 7.320

1 We support legislation which requires the Water Resource
2 Commission to direct the Water Resource Department to process water
3 right applications according to the administrative rules in effect on the
4 date of application.

Domestic Water Well Permits 7.330

1 New exempt domestic wells should be regulated by the Water
2 Resources Department.
3 Before drilling new exempt domestic wells in a groundwater limited
4 areas, a landowner should be required to obtain a permit from the Water
5 Resources Department. (06), (08)

Hydrological Connection 7.335

1 Oregon Water Resource Department must use the most current, up
2 to date scientific techniques for determining connections of water
3 between wells and surface water.
4 Before a well can be regulated due to hydraulic connection to a
5 surface water source, the department must prove the connection and
6 that the impact is within the same irrigation season. (14)

7 Restrictions imposed on areas determined to be hydrologically
8 connected to surface water resources with no initial scientific basis
9 should sunset within five years unless scientific documentation proves a
10 hydrologic connection. (06)

Stored Water Application Process 7.350

1 We support legislation for a streamlined application process for water
2 right applications to access stored water for irrigation where the water
3 has already been earmarked for irrigation.

Irrigation District Elections 7.360

1 Irrigation District elections should fall under the authority of the
2 Secretary of State and be made to abide by the same election provisions
3 and requirements as other special districts in the State of Oregon, with
4 the exception of the weighted voting by acreage. (01)

Water Transfer 7.375

1 If an irrigator or district uses less water than their water right allows
2 for, they should be able to transfer the water rights of the water saved to
3 another parcel of land under the same ownership for agricultural use
4 within the existing water authority. If the irrigator does not transfer the
5 rights of the water saved to another parcel, he should not lose the rights
6 to that water.

7 We should broaden our policy on the transfer of water rights to
8 include the following:

- 9 1. Provide for a simplified temporary transfer of a water right from
10 the identified parcel to other parcels under the same ownership
11 or operation. The transferred water right should be limited by
12 both the acres of the original right and the volume of water
13 covered by the original right taken from and returned to the same
14 source.
- 15 2. Permit the leasing of a water right on a year to year basis to
16 other farmers having access to the same water source. This
17 transfer should be considered a "beneficial use" to the owner of
18 the water right.

Leasing of Water Rights 7.385

1 We oppose the permanent sale of water rights to in stream use
2 because junior water users cannot be protected from injury arising after
3 the sale of water rights to in stream use. Leases, as opposed to sales,
4 can be broken if injury is discovered at a later date.

5 We are not opposed to transfers in character of the use of water
6 rights by lease for five years or less. This includes transfers of irrigation
7 use to in stream use. The transfer must be conditioned to prevent injury
8 to all existing water users. Water available for transfers to in stream use
9 must be limited to actual consumptive use, and shaped to

10 mimic/resemble customary use within the stream system during the
11 irrigation season.

12 Leasing programs should allow temporary leases for other
13 agricultural uses. (03)

Water Resources Commission

7.400

1 The Water Resources Commission should be composed of ten
2 commissioners, one of which should be appointed by the Governor and
3 the remaining nine of which should be elected by the qualified voters of
4 each of the nine districts. Such districts should be composed of four
5 counties having at least one border in common with one or more other
6 county within such district. The designation of the counties which should
7 form the nine districts should be determined by the Secretary of State.
8 The elected commissioners should serve for three year terms following
9 the establishment of the initial commission whose members serve for
10 one, two and three year terms. Those elected commissioners receiving
11 the greatest percentage of votes should serve for three year terms, those
12 with the next highest percentage of votes should serve for two years, and
13 those receiving the least percentage of qualifying votes serve for one
14 year terms in the initially established commission.

Water Development

7.450

1 We support the sale of bonds by the Oregon State Treasury for
2 financing water development and land drainage projects. Funds should
3 be used to provide facilities for supplemental water, recharging wells,
4 development of semiarid lands and for domestic water supplies. Such
5 developments will stabilize and enhance the agricultural economy and
6 contribute to the wealth of Oregon and the nation.

7 We support programs for water development to reserve suitable
8 upstream storage sites and for initiation of conservation to store water for
9 flood control; recreation; domestic; industrial and agricultural uses;
10 hydroelectric power; and to stabilize stream flow.

11 We seek necessary changes in laws and rules to expedite the
12 building of small scale reservoirs of 500 acre feet or less for the purpose
13 of retaining seasonal runoff to enhance stream flows, provide sources of
14 water for fire suppression, irrigation, and for wildlife enhancement.

15 In emergency low water years, minimum stream flows should be
16 suspended in favor of domestic and agricultural uses. OWRD should
17 make provision for livestock watering during times of drought if that water
18 can be delivered simply by opening a diversion until the livestock water
19 pond has sufficient water. (14)

20 We support reasonable, cooperative and scientific studies of
21 underground water supplies and the drilling and maintenance of
22 recognized monitor wells. In classifying a "critical groundwater" or
23 declaring a moratorium on groundwater development, these studies

24 should include data gathered from properly constructed monitor wells
25 funded and maintained by the Water Resources Department.

26 The state should provide funding for the information to adequately
27 manage Oregon's water. (02), (12) Livestock watering should be an
28 exempt use and be allowed in ditches, streams, and from groundwater
29 as necessary to support livestock operations. (16)

Section 208 of the Clean Water Act 7.475

1 We favor implementation of Section 208 of the Clean Water Act by
2 the Oregon Soil and Water Conservation Commission and local Soil and
3 Water Conservation districts, acting in coordination with the Oregon
4 Department of Environmental quality and other appropriate state, local,
5 and federal agencies. The Oregon Soil and Water Conservation
6 Commission should take leadership in bringing together such agencies
7 at the state level; Soil and Water Conservation Districts should take
8 responsibility at the local level. A voluntary program with maximum local
9 control should be realized, fulfilling the strategy and guidelines outlined
10 by the State 208 Policy Advisory Group. Implementation should be
11 initially examined under a voluntary program administered through the
12 Soil and Water Conservation District. Regulatory control features should
13 proceed with reasonable caution. We support the use of best
14 management practices by owners as conclusive proof of compliance
15 under Section 208 of the Clean Water Act.

16 We urge Farm Bureau members to participate in discussions,
17 planning meetings and public hearings, and to exert their influence to
18 whatever extent possible regarding this planning process.

19 We support the right of individual counties to develop their own Best
20 Management Plan (BMP).

21 We support research and monitoring to determine the extent of
22 nonpoint source pollution. Agriculture must not be blamed as the sole
23 source of Non-point Source (NPS) pollution.

24 Oregon's 208 Plan should not be more restrictive than the federal
25 regulations.

26 The voluntary 208 program for Confined Animal Feeding Operations
27 (CAFOs) should be administered by the Division of Soil and Water
28 Conservation instead of the Department of Environmental Quality. Funds
29 should be provided for the program. If sufficient funds are not provided
30 we recommend the removal of all ordinances concerning animal wastes.

Water Quality 7.485

1 We support efforts to protect and/or improve the quality of our state's
2 surface and ground water, provided such point and non-point programs
3 are:

- 4 1. Based on sound science.
- 5 2. Management based and plan oriented.
- 6 3. Incentive based with minimal regulation.

- 7 4. Encouraged voluntary action.
- 8 5. Required to coincide with and minimize the effect on all uses.
- 9 6. Attainable, site specific standards that are based on the ability of
- 10 the water body or system, if functioning properly, to achieve
- 11 those standards.
- 12 7. Designed to protect private property and the owner's ability to
- 13 economically use their land for agricultural purposes.
- 14 8. Designed to consider natural and background characteristics of
- 15 each individual natural water system.
- 16 9. Based on the overall goal that each water body or system
- 17 function in a proper and healthy manner given the system's
- 18 potential, judged in light of natural conditions as well as current
- 19 and projected land use.
- 20 10. Required to provide monitoring to measure each water body or
- 21 system's progress or trend from an established baseline to a
- 22 predetermined desired goal or condition necessary to achieve
- 23 site specific water quality standards.

Landfill Impact on Water Quality 7.486

- 1 We oppose the expansion or the creation of landfills that will
- 2 negatively and/or quantifiably impact the ground or surface water quality
- 3 through flooding, leaching and other means. (09)

Wildlife Fecal Coli form Study 7.490

- 1 We support requiring the US Fish & Wildlife Service and the Oregon
- 2 Department of Fish & Wildlife to conduct a study to determine the extent
- 3 to which wildlife on public and private lands, including refuges, contribute
- 4 to the existence of fecal coli form in the waters of the state. (01)

Confined Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO) 7.495

- 1 We oppose expansion of CAFO regulations beyond federal levels,
- 2 whether proposed by rule or by statute. (03)

Water Use Fees 7.500

- 1 We oppose all water use fees. But if one is initiated, we recommend
- 2 that the fees be proportionately charged among all water users, including
- 3 all state and public agencies and recreational users of water for
- 4 consumptive and non-consumptive use.

Falling Water Charge 7.510

- 1 We oppose any Falling Water Rain Charge. (05)

Allocation of Water 7.525

- 1 We oppose any water allocations of any Bureau of Reclamation
- 2 projects for uses other than those for which the project was authorized.

3 We support legislation that will prevent residential or urban
4 development from restricting or reducing water available to commercial
5 agriculture holdings established by water rights.

6 We oppose the automatic granting of water rights for domestic and
7 recreational use for non-resource dwellings and other non-resource uses
8 in resource zones. The burden of proof should be with the applicant to
9 demonstrate that the additional water demands will not adversely affect
10 neighboring agricultural or forestry practices within the same watershed
11 or aquifer.

12 Municipalities should be prohibited from demanding increased in-
13 stream flows to dilute industrial and human wastes to meet minimum
14 pollution requirements. We oppose the transportation of water out of
15 water sheds for non-agricultural use if it adversely affects agriculture.

Groundwater Priority Allocation 7.550

1 The groundwater priority allocation should be the same as presently
2 established on surface water allocation.

3 The Water Resources Commission should have the authority to
4 enforce the critical groundwater statutes.

5 In groundwater matters, prior water rights are all inclusive and
6 damage to such should merit compensation furnished by the individual or
7 parties causing such damage or loss.

Notification Requirements 7.575

1 Notification to all affected users should be required whenever the
2 Water Resources Department intends to conduct a proof survey which
3 would have the effect of reallocating allotments from a water permit.
4 Water permit holders should also be given the results of the survey.

Release of Impounded Water 7.600

1 We ask that the Army Corps of Engineers be required to give prior
2 public notice of any sudden release of impounded water.

Pacific Northwest Water 7.650

1 We oppose the diversion of water out of the Pacific Northwest
2 region. (05)

Soil and Water Conservation 7.700

1 Soil and water conservation districts should be granted the
2 responsibility for reviewing and approving conservation and
3 sedimentation control plans related to nonpoint sources of pollution and
4 soil erosion.

Soil and Water Conservation Districts 7.701

1 Soil and Water Conservation Districts and the Natural Resource
2 Conservation Service should use their resources to fund farm ponds and
3 storage as a priority project. (09)

Municipal and Industrial Waste Water Reuse 7.720

1 We support the use of treated, reclaimed water for agricultural
2 purposes when the water is treated to a level that will not lower or
3 degrade the quality of the farmland on which it is applied. (06)

Fill and Removal Permit Requirements 7.750

1 No farm or farm operation should be assessed criminal penalties for
2 violation of the fill and removal laws. Civil penalties should be limited to
3 no more than \$100 per day for an alleged violation.

4 Agricultural fill or removal projects on private property that were
5 assisted by the federal government prior to 1982 should be
6 grandfathered including allowed maintenance without need for permits or
7 any other interference.

8 Farmers or ranchers, attempting to carry out normal farm or ranching
9 operations, should not have to live in fear of state government
10 enforcement of fill or removal laws.

11 Farmers should be allowed to maintain historic waterways to
12 continue water flow to irrigation sites.

13 The local Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) representing
14 the private landowners should be given the same authority as the
15 Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODF&W) regarding the waiver
16 of fill or removal permits or at least be given a mutual review process.

17 We support the retention of all exemptions for agriculture contained
18 in the 1999 Oregon fill and removal law (ORS 196.800 through 196.905).

19 If the exemptions are not fully retained, in the Oregon fill and removal
20 law, we will oppose the law as written.

21 A person engaged in activities customarily associated with
22 agriculture should not be required to obtain a permit in order to remove
23 materials from or add materials to an area defined as "essential
24 indigenous anadromous salmonid and/or other fish habitat." Activities
25 customarily associated with agriculture should be broadly defined to
26 include, but not limited to the following:

- 27 1. All agriculture activities described in 33 U.S.C. 1344(f)(1);
- 28 2. Farm use activities described in ORS 215.203; and
- 29 3. Necessary repair and maintenance activities associated with

30 agricultural operations that occur on a non-annual or an infrequent basis.
31 When permits are required, the process to obtain them should be
32 simplified to reduce the time required to obtain a permit. More authority
33 for permit approvals should be granted to local jurisdictions.

34 We support repeal of statutory changes made to the Oregon fill and
35 removal law enabling the Department of State Lands, or any other state
36 agency, to obtain federal authority to administer permits under Section
37 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act. (02), (03), (04), (05)

Channel Management 7.760

1 We believe that the ban and restrictions on gravel removal and or
2 harvesting (i.e., dredging) should be revoked and the permitting process
3 should be revised or simplified. Such permits should be issued on a time
4 certain basis.

5 We urge local, state and federal agencies to conduct gravel bar
6 removal and rip-rap addition activities in Oregon's rivers to help stabilize
7 the river banks, to preserve agricultural soil, to prevent water pollution by
8 land erosion, and to make the body of the river more usable to river
9 traffic.

10 We support legislation that will allow land owners bordering a stream
11 to do what is necessary to protect the stream bank and to keep it from
12 eroding the land.

13 We support the stabilization of the rivers within their existing banks
14 and support the rights of farmers to maintain their drainage systems. We
15 oppose any state or federal projects that would weaken the river banks,
16 threatening local drainage systems and farmlands. (00), (05) (10),
17 (Referred to AFBF, 10)

18

Drainage Districts 7.765

20 Drainage districts support important functions for rural communities,
21 including maintenance of agricultural land, flood control, and public
22 safety. We support the ability of drainage districts to continue to
23 maintain drainage for their members without burdensome state and
24 federal regulation. Districts should be able to fully perform their
25 necessary drainage maintenance functions consistent with their statutory
26 obligations. In exercising these functions, the state and federal
27 government should not force drainage districts to regulate their
28 members' operations or require their members to maintain specific
29 agricultural practices in order for the district to maintain their drainage
30 systems.(16)

Fish Screens 7.770

1 We believe that the financial burden of fish screens should be borne
2 by the state rather than the producers. (05)

Peak and Ecological Flows 7.790

1 The state should not make policy on peak and ecological flows that
2 will prevent the storage of all available winter water. We recognize the
3 need for balance between uses. Protection of peak and ecological flows

4 should be based on aggregated peer reviewed science and analysis of
5 each projects unique needs and benefits. (10)

Minimum Stream Flows 7.800

1 We support the concept of minimum stream flows as provided in
2 ORS 536.310(7) and (8) which reads:

3 "The maintenance of minimum perennial stream flows sufficient to
4 support aquatic life and to minimize pollution shall be fostered and
5 encouraged if existing rights and priorities under existing laws will permit.
6 Watershed development policies shall be favored, whenever
7 possible, for the preservation of balanced multiple uses. Project
8 construction and planning with those ends in view shall be encouraged."

9 We support a change in the water resource policy which would
10 provide that support for human life, livestock, crops, etc., should have
11 priority over aquatic life and in-stream water rights.

12 We cannot stress strongly enough that existing rights should receive
13 top priority over other rights including those of aquatic life.

14 The cost of establishing upstream impoundments or any costs
15 necessary to ensure these minimum flows, must be shared by all
16 beneficiaries in proportion to the anticipated benefits or value received.

17 Any agency applying for an in-stream water right should provide
18 environmental impact and economic assessment studies and these
19 should be subject to verification by independent scientific review and
20 verification if so requested by any citizen or resident of the state. Failure
21 of verification should preclude the granting of any such right. All
22 previously issued in-stream water rights should be subject to the same
23 review and should be adjusted to comply with the standards herein set
24 forth.

Water Withdrawal from the Columbia River 7.825

1 We encourage the State of Oregon to beneficially withdraw the
2 state's allocation of water from the Columbia River for agricultural
3 purposes. (09)

Wetlands 7.850

1 The state definition for wetlands should be the same as the federal
2 definition, and the local federal farm agencies should have the final say
3 as to wetlands classification.

4 Any former wetlands that were Agricultural Stabilization
5 Conservation Service (ASCS) assisted should be exempt from
6 reconversion to wetland, as well as any area less than twenty acres. We
7 encourage tax incentives or just remuneration for all other reconversions.

8 Only one designated government agency should be allowed
9 jurisdiction over wetlands administration.

10 When the owner of private land is denied agricultural use of
11 designated wetlands, the land should be automatically assessed at the

12 lowest value as long as the nonuse designation remains. The burden of
13 proof for a wetlands designation should be on and funded by the agency
14 that is responsible for the designation.

15 Owners of private wetlands should be able to mitigate wetland
16 conversion on an acre-for-acre or value-for-value basis.

17 We support a strong policy that the status of prior converted
18 wetlands should be maintained as long as the property owner wishes to
19 do so.

20 We oppose any Wetland Reserve Program, which reduces
21 agriculture production on a permanent basis. If lands are diverted into a
22 Wetland Reserve Program, those lands must have insect, rodent, and
23 weed control as part of the program. (02), (05)

Elk Creek Dam

7.900

1 We urge the continued federal funding for the completion of the Elk
2 Creek Dam in the Rogue basin.

3 We also support funding for the Mill Town dam on Elk Creek in the
4 Umpqua Basin. (04), (07), (11)

Catherine Creek Dam

7.910

1 We urge that the Catherine Creek Dam decision be appealed.

2 We favor working with the Confederated Tribes in developing an
3 upstream impoundment or other improvements on Catherine Creek that
4 would be beneficial to both Union County and the Confederated Tribes.

Dam Removal

7.920

1 We oppose any attempt to remove or breach any existing dams in
2 the Pacific Northwest when such breach or removal would be detrimental
3 to agriculture. Specifically increase in electrical power rates where USDA
4 programs promote conversion of flood to sprinkler irrigating with
5 corresponding need for pumps. Loss of renewable hydropower would
6 have to be replaced with environmentally unfriendly coal fired or other
7 expensive generating plants.

8 We support the building of fish-friendly dams for agriculture, irrigation
9 storage, fish, recreation, flood control, hydroelectric power production,
10 and domestic water, and other beneficial uses for the local community,
11 including the completion of the Elk Creek Dam.

12 If a dam is to be removed, the public should not pay any destruction
13 tax or a fee. (01), (10), (11) (Referred to AFBF, 10)

VIII. CHEMICALS

General Chemical Statement 8.005

1 The state chemical laws and rules must be built on a sound basis
2 that recognizes the general benefit to agriculture. (07)

Agricultural Chemical Liability 8.010

1 We support legislation exempting producers, who apply pesticides
2 properly, from liability claims for environmental pollution.

3 Because federal or state regulatory agencies have the power to levy
4 fines and enforce the laws concerning agricultural chemical misuse,
5 private citizens should not be allowed any monetary gains from the
6 proceedings.

7 We urge that state and national legislation be enacted to:

8 1. Require that individuals or groups that file injunctions against the
9 proper use of registered chemicals should reimburse farmers,
10 timber growers, contractors, federal, state and county
11 governments for all court costs, legal fees, financial losses and
12 other costs that arise from an injunction if the injunction is shown
13 to be unfounded or is overturned in a court of law.

14 2. Require a bond guaranteeing payment of aforementioned filing
15 the complaint, in an amount to be set by the court and subject to
16 review upon motion by defense counsel and increase in amount
17 if the court finds appropriate after conducting a hearing thereon.

18 3. Compliance with federally approved label instructions should
19 absolve farmers from liability claims of environmental pollution.
20 (10)

Chemical Use Criteria 8.020

1 Criteria used to establish or prohibit domestic and foreign use of an
2 agricultural chemical should be uniform and equitable.

3 We also oppose any system which is funded by a tax or surcharge
4 on pesticides, farmer or farming operations. Any such system should be
5 funded from the General Fund so the cost is shared by all taxpayers.
6 (10)

Agricultural and Forest Chemicals 8.040

1 Agricultural chemicals are an essential tool of agricultural production.
2 We support reasonable regulation of their use. We support an expanded
3 program to inform and educate the public on the need for agricultural
4 chemicals and the protection against their misuse.

5 We believe implementation of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and
6 Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended, based on credible scientific
7 information would benefit farmers, the environment and the public.

8 We support improved training programs on the proper handling and
9 safe use of pesticides. We believe that the EPA/state pesticide applicator
10 training and certification programs should be periodically upgraded to
11 ensure they are a sound and effective source of training and information.

12 We support improved safety information on labels of agricultural
13 chemicals. Expanded information on labels concerning poison control
14 centers, medical information, worker protection and possible adverse
15 environmental effects will assist farmers to better protect themselves and
16 the environment.

17 We recommend that compliance with federally approved label
18 instructions should absolve farmers from liability claims of environmental
19 pollution. We support added training requirements to address dissipation
20 of chemicals (drift and volatilization) to the core pesticide training
21 program. Training should also include education regarding application
22 timing to prevent damage to non-target plants. This training should be
23 prepared and delivered by the Oregon State University Extension
24 Service or a specific program approved by the Oregon Department of
25 Agriculture to insure credibility.

26 To avoid the use of ineffective pesticides, we believe a labeling
27 system covering the date of manufacture; effective life and proper
28 storage requirements must be required.

29 We encourage continued research and development of pesticides
30 which degrade more rapidly, are less environmentally persistent and are
31 compatible with accepted integrated pest management practices. We
32 support the environmental and economical concept of integrated pest
33 management.

34 We support expanded biological pest control research to determine
35 where biological pest control measures can provide practical and
36 feasible substitutes for, and supplements to, chemical controls.

37 We urge that chemicals cleared for use on edible food crops to
38 control a specific pest be automatically cleared for control of the same
39 pest on ornamental and other seed crops.

40 Provisions providing for experimental use, emergency exemptions
41 and state registration are particularly important until federal registration
42 are completed.

43 We oppose any curtailment of the safe and proper use of agricultural
44 chemicals and drugs unless research and scientific data determine that
45 injury to health and well being would result. We also request reevaluation
46 of previously canceled pesticides based on current scientific data.

47 We will work with and encourage the agricultural chemical industry to
48 present through its advertising a positive and professional image of
49 farmers and agriculture to the general public.

50 We oppose politically mandated buffer zones.

51 We support the use of vegetable oils as the base or carrier for
52 pesticides and herbicides and also in the development of practical
53 equipment for farm applications.

54 We recommend the agricultural chemical industry and agricultural
55 producers work with the appropriate state agencies to develop a durable
56 and safe container and an economical and logistically feasible plan for
57 reusable pesticide containers and for disposal of pesticide containers.

58 We oppose the inclusion of a "Private Right of Action" provision in
59 the statutes.

60 We support the use of maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) in
61 establishing drinking water standards for pesticides and urge that EPA
62 expedite the standard setting process.

63 No food product should be imported into the United States from
64 countries which allow the use of agricultural chemicals or pharmaceutical
65 products that the American farmer is prohibited from using. Food
66 products treated with agricultural chemicals in foreign countries should
67 not be imported unless those chemicals are registered for use in the
68 United States.

69 We support the use of approved pesticides for timber production
70 management. Landowners should be guaranteed the right to use
71 approved management practices in forestry zones. (05), (10)

Pesticides

8.050

1 We support the use of pesticides as an essential tool of agriculture
2 and forestry. We support the American Farm Bureau Federation
3 pesticide policy and the extension of that policy to Oregon State laws
4 and regulations. We support an expanded program to inform and
5 educate the public using more positive terms, stressing the need for
6 agricultural chemicals for the health and protection of our plants and
7 animals.

8 We support reasonable legislation that does not require more
9 records to be kept than are required by the federal government for
10 noncommercial restricted use pesticide applicator for two years. These
11 records should only be released to the Oregon Department of
12 Agriculture, and only after a specific need their release has been
13 demonstrated to exist. Reasons for the release of application records
14 from the noncommercial applicator may include such things as illegal
15 restricted use pesticide usage, pesticide contamination of groundwater or
16 a documented complaint.

17 We believe the existing means of tracking the sales and use of
18 agricultural pesticides in the state is adequate and we oppose any
19 system which identifies individual growers or farming operations or
20 makes available their pesticide use records to the public.

21 Any public "pesticide right to know" legislation would be inadequate
22 without a complete accounting of all pesticides used by every individual
23 household. Any legislation should also require a provision to insist that

24 anyone who purchases or applies pesticides should meet the
25 requirements established for agriculture by FIFRA, WPS, EPA and the
26 State of Oregon.

27 We also oppose any system which is funded by a tax or surcharge
28 on pesticides, farmer or farming operations. Any such system should be
29 funded from the General Fund so the cost is shared by all taxpayers.
30 (09), (10)

Certification and Education 8.051

1 We support improved training programs on the proper handling and
2 safe use of pesticides. We believe that the EPA/state pesticide applicator
3 training and certification programs should be periodically upgraded to
4 ensure they are a sound and effective source of training and information.

5 We support added training requirements to address dissipation of
6 chemicals (drift and volatilization) to the core pesticide training program.
7 Training should also include education regarding application timing to
8 prevent damage to non-target plants. This training should be prepared
9 and delivered by the Oregon State University Extension Service or a
10 specific program approved by the Oregon Department of Agriculture to
11 insure credibility.

12 We support an expanded program to inform and educate the public
13 using more positive terms, stressing the need for agricultural chemicals
14 for the health and protection of our plants and animals. (10)

Pesticide Sales and Use Reporting 8.052

1 We support an alternate paper reporting system for reporting
2 pesticide use.

3 We will only support extension of the Pesticide Use Reporting
4 System if all general public retail sales are also required to be reported in
5 the system. (02), (06)

6 We support reasonable legislation that does not require more
7 records to be kept than are required by the federal government for
8 noncommercial restricted use pesticide applicator for two years. These
9 records should only be released to the Oregon Department of
10 Agriculture, and only after a specific need their release has been
11 demonstrated to exist. Reasons for the release of application records
12 from the noncommercial applicator may include such things as illegal
13 restricted use pesticide usage, pesticide contamination of groundwater or
14 a documented complaint.

15 We believe the existing means of tracking the sales and use of
16 agricultural pesticides in the state is adequate and we oppose any
17 system which identifies individual growers or farming operations or
18 makes available their pesticide use records to the public.

19 Any public "pesticide right to know" legislation would be inadequate
20 without a complete accounting of all pesticides used by every individual
21 household. Any legislation should also require a provision to insist that

22 anyone who purchases or applies pesticides should meet the
23 requirements established for agriculture by FIFRA, WPS, EPA and the
24 State of Oregon. (10)

Pesticide Labels

8.054

1 To avoid the use of ineffective pesticides, we believe a labeling
2 system covering the date of manufacture; effective life and proper
3 storage requirements must be required.

4 We support improved safety information on labels of agricultural
5 chemicals. Expanded information on labels concerning poison control
6 centers, medical information, worker protection and possible adverse
7 environmental effects will assist farmers to better protect themselves and
8 the environment. (10)

The Food Quality Protection Act

8.055

1 All legislation that requires review of chemical exposure risks should
2 incorporate the following elements:

- 3 1. Ample time for data collection, including a use pattern,
4 application rates, and other relevant exposure rates.
- 5 2. An allowance for minor crop uses:
- 6 3. A top priority for streamlining the Section 18 registration process
7 so products are quickly and readily available for emergency use;
- 8 4. Incentives for registrants to register new products and reduced
9 risk products for minor crop, food and non-food uses; and
- 10 5. A requirement that growers are consulted prior to the
11 cancellation of chemicals used in agriculture.

12 USDA must be an active partner in the regulation of chemicals in
13 agriculture.

14 USDA must be encouraged to continue working as an advocate for
15 farmers by collecting and disseminating essential chemical use and
16 residue information, especially for the minor crops, both food and non-
17 food.

18 Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and other advanced crop
19 protection techniques help to reduce overall chemical use. IPM programs
20 are weakened when chemicals that target specific pests are lost. The
21 EPA and USDA should consider the impact on lost IPM use when
22 deciding whether or not to reregister a product for agricultural use.

23 Research must be promoted that accurately identifies exposure risks
24 to consumers of food and other horticultural products.

25 Implementation of any food quality regulations must rely on accurate
26 and adequate scientific data which precisely quantifies the risk exposure
27 levels and the benefits of agricultural products. New regulations should
28 not be implemented until all available scientific information and use data
29 are collected and evaluated. Any statutory deadlines deemed
30 unreasonable should be changed.

31 We support legislative solutions to ensure the availability of minor
32 crop use pesticides. These solutions should include, but not be limited to,
33 expanded IR-4 activities, tax credits to registrants who maintain these
34 uses, and reduced third party registration liability.

Purple Loosestrife Control Research 8.060

1 We encourage the American Farm Bureau Federation to lobby for
2 federal funding for the Cornell Research Team to continue importation
3 and testing of biological control agents of Purple Loosestrife for release
4 in the United States.

5 We encourage the Oregon State legislature to provide funding to
6 continue biological and chemical control research and complete field
7 surveys being conducted by the Oregon Department of Agriculture and
8 Oregon State University.

Chlorofluorocarbon Exemption 8.070

1 Agriculture should be given an exemption to the 1996 phase-out of
2 chlorofluorocarbons.

3 We encourage the retention and use of Freon or other feasible,
4 economical types of coolants.

Restricted Chemicals 8.080

1 Provisions should be made for the use of restricted chemicals when
2 no effective alternatives are available. Protection of food production and
3 forestry resources should have priority over limited environmental
4 consideration.

5 We support reasonable regulation of restricted chemicals, but
6 restrictions should be based on residue and toxicity rather than for use
7 on specific crops.

Rebuttable Presumption Against Registration (RPAR) 8.085

1 In order to establish an orderly manner of reassessing and re
2 registering agricultural chemicals, and to develop and maintain an
3 adequate number of these same chemical tools necessary to agricultural
4 production, we support Oregon's RPAR Response Team by the following
5 action:

- 6 1. Notifying our county Farm Bureaus and through them our county
7 Farm Bureau members of the eminent danger of loss of use of
8 agricultural chemicals posed by EPA through the established
9 RPAR process.
- 10 2. Request development of an active program of communication
11 with EPA, our Congressional delegation, and our RPAR team
12 (This means at least 5 copies of each letter).
- 13 3. Obtain all possible media publicity on the plight we face should
14 EPA not adopt a reasonable attitude on RPAR.

15 We urge that the burden of proof in support of, or in opposition to,
16 use of agricultural chemicals be placed upon the scientific community
17 and not upon individuals, as hearings continue for RPAR.

Food Quality

8.100

1 We support the production and marketing of pure, wholesome food.
2 Modern agriculture cannot continue to provide sufficient quantities of
3 high quality food, fiber and other agricultural products to meet the
4 nation's needs without the judicious use of agricultural chemicals and
5 drugs. Any undue curtailment of the safe and appropriate usage of these
6 products will result in lower quality and/or quantities of food and fiber at
7 higher costs to consumers.

8 In any evaluation of chemicals and drugs, the possible detrimental
9 effects must be considered in relation to the benefits derived. We support
10 the establishment of sound research criteria for the range and dosage
11 levels to be tested, the replication needed for valid results, the use of
12 animals as subjects in the research and the determination of applicability
13 of the results to humans. A direct correlation between artificial exposure
14 to carcinogens and ill effects, as compared with natural exposure, should
15 be proven before an additive is deemed unsafe.

16 All legislative and regulatory decisions on food irradiation should be
17 based on valid research including safe levels of usage on food products.
18 Irradiation should be defined as a food process and not a food additive.

19 We support legislation to require qualified people to prepare and
20 publish in advance of final rulemaking an agricultural cost benefit
21 analysis statement on proposed regulations having a significant effect
22 upon agricultural producers.

23 We support uniformity in pesticide residue standards. States should
24 not be allowed to establish residue tolerances which differ from those set
25 by the federal Environmental Protection Agency.

26 Pesticide residue tolerances established for imported raw and
27 processed agricultural commodities should be identical to those set for
28 domestically produced agricultural commodities.

29 We encourage increased frequency of the USDA inspection of
30 foreign raw produce imports to guarantee chemical use safety and
31 equality.

32 We support legislation to create a study commission of highly
33 competent, non-crusading scientists to conduct an in depth study of the
34 current situation regarding the detection and assessment of carcinogens.

Medical History and Pesticides

8.200

1 We actively seek a mandate from EPA (and other decision making
2 agencies) to study the medical history and background of users and their
3 families as a part of the data assessed in determining the safety of
4 pesticides (both those currently being used and those pesticides which
5 have a history of use within the past 15 years).

Industrial and Municipal Waste 8.300

1 We support the need for reasonable legislation for developing proper
2 disposal methods of solid wastes.

3 The producer of municipal or industrial waste should provide
4 disclosure (i.e. "truth in labeling") on all waste products applied to all
5 lands.

6 We oppose the placement of municipal bio-solids on agricultural land
7 unless applied in a safe and appropriate manner that includes
8 involvement of both local and state agencies and notification of
9 neighboring landowners.

Bottle Bill Law 8.320

1 We support the Bottle Bill being expanded to include all glass,
2 plastic, and aluminum carbonated and non-carbonated beverage
3 containers and increase the deposit to 10 cents. (06)

Recycling of Pesticide Containers 8.330

1 Empty pesticide containers made of plastic or steel that are one
2 gallon or larger and that are triple rinsed on the farm should be
3 returnable to the dealer of origin or other disposal and recycling sites that
4 have a controlled access.

5 We support the efforts in cooperation with the Oregon Agricultural
6 Chemical Association and the DEQ in the voluntary program to get
7 empty pesticide containers off the farm.

Air and Water Pollution 8.400

1 Water and air pollution are serious problems affecting farmers and
2 rural communities. Extensive research and education are important in
3 the development of practical private, local and state programs of
4 abatement. Emphasis should be placed on mutual understanding of the
5 relationship between agricultural operations and water and air quality.

6 We support maintenance of the agricultural exemption in the Air
7 Pollution Act, and the abolishment of DEQs proposed inspection and
8 maintenance program.

Federal Section 319 Fund Advisory Committee 8.405

1 We support the appointment of an advisory and review committee by
2 the Environmental Quality Commission. The committee should be
3 composed of potential non-point source pollution stakeholders to review,
4 evaluate, prioritize, and make recommendations to the department and
5 commission on all Section 319 grant applications.

Underground Storage Tanks 8.410

1 The Underground Storage Tank (UST) State Insurance Fund should
2 be funded by:

- 3 1. Generators of hazardous waste materials;
- 4 2. The oil over charge refund revenues, and
- 5 3. Fees on underground storage tanks.
- 6 The state should create the option of supplementing or supporting
- 7 private insurance companies as an incentive to encourage private
- 8 insurance to offer this coverage.
- 9 Proper tank installation ought to be assured by passing a DEQ
- 10 certified inspection. Installation should not be restricted to a licensed
- 11 contractor.

IX. FISH & WILDLIFE

General Fish & Wildlife Statement 9.005

1 The state fish and wildlife laws and rules must be built on a sound
2 basis that recognizes the general benefit of business and encourages
3 individual enterprise. (07)

Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife (ODF&W) 9.010

1 ODF&W is encouraged to cooperate with private landowners.
2 Landowners are recognized as full and equal partners in resource
3 management policies that are adopted by resource agencies.

4 We are opposed to ODF&W having the authority to require a private
5 landowner to adopt a wildlife management plan in exchange for the
6 approval of a building permit. (04), (08)

Fish Hatcheries 9.030

1 We believe that the State's fish hatchery program is an important
2 and necessary tool in the process of recovering and protecting our
3 region's salmon and steelhead. Sound hatchery management will have a
4 more positive than negative effect on our region's fish.

5 Excess fish returning to hatcheries should be used in a manner that
6 helps with the State's efforts to protect our fish populations or provides
7 recreational opportunities for Oregonians.

8 Excess fish should be used to support the hatch box programs,
9 introduce fish to waters without populations or allowed to spawn naturally
10 in the water they have returned to.

11 Excess salmon should not be killed other than for egg and spawn
12 harvest or for scientific purposes. (00), (09)

Elk Production and Sales 9.040

1 We support the raising and selling of domestic privately owned elk,
2 deer and buffalo and the products resulting from the production of such
3 animals, except in cases where the USDA/APHIS, Oregon Fish &
4 Wildlife, or Oregon Department of Agriculture have issued an
5 import/export moratorium. (00), (02), (08)

Game Animal Transplanting 9.050

1 A moratorium should be instituted on the transporting and
2 transplanting of big game animals until new guidelines can be
3 established from an impact study by representatives of the livestock
4 industry, the U.S. Forestry Service, the Oregon Department of Fish and
5 Wildlife, and the Oregon Department of Agriculture.

6 We support requiring health inspections on all game and non-game
7 wildlife, including avian species moved interstate or intrastate, including
8 movements by state or federal agencies.

9 The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife should be required to
10 meet the interstate health laws for domestic livestock and the
11 introduction or reintroduction of game animals should not reduce the
12 allotted Animal Unit Months (AUMs) for private livestock producers that
13 use public lands.

Domestic Sheep

9.075

1 All sheep (ovine) privately owned and in production should be
2 classified as domestic and private property and accorded full protection
3 in accordance with the law, except in cases where USDA/APHIS has
4 issued an import permit stating a different classification.

Game Animal Numbers

9.100

1 We believe that large game animals, predators, and other wildlife
2 numbers are the result of rules or management objectives adopted by
3 the Fish and Wildlife Commission and that when damage is done to
4 farms due to of the large numbers of game animals, predators, and other
5 wildlife the land owner should be compensated with funds derived from
6 the sale of game tags or by allocation of a portion of limited permits of
7 big game species tags to affected landowners. (09)

Hunting and Fishing License Cost

9.110

1 Hunting and fishing licenses be at a price that all can afford to buy
2 them.

3 We support allocation of sufficient General Fund dollars to the
4 Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife so that the department is not
5 overly reliant on hunting and fishing fees to fund their management
6 program. (15)

Closure of Hunting Season

9.120

1 State or Federal Wildlife departments should not be allowed to close
2 an entire hunting season on game animals or birds because of a
3 subspecies' low population when natural processes are the predominate
4 cause for the loss of the subspecies. (04)

Wildlife Refuges

9.130

1 The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service should be required to plant or
2 maintain adequate acreage of crops suitable for winter feed for wildlife
3 on all National Wildlife Refuges.

4 Any water used should be obtained without adversely impacting
5 historical uses of or creating a precedent for water.

6 We propose that the funds from the Pittman Roberts Act be used to
7 seed refuges for the feeding of the wildlife and waterfowl. (04)

Goose Depredation Plan 9.140

1 In order to implement an effective plan to reduce the amount of
2 damage done to agricultural products by geese, all five six of the
3 following points must be put into effect:

- 4 1. The goose population must be reduced so that there are fewer
5 geese on agricultural land.
- 6 2. Take maximum advantage of statewide hunting opportunities of
7 geese. We must maintain hunting at a level that will allow
8 effective hazing of geese on agricultural lands.
- 9 3. Refuges should be farmed to grow crops that will attract and
10 feed the waterfowl population and must be maintained at
11 optimum levels to attract geese away from agricultural land.
- 12 4. Stable funding must be provided to USDA/APHIS Wildlife
13 Services to help alleviate damage cause by geese.
- 14 5. There should be a compensation program that reimburses
15 producers for lost crop yields. (03), (04), (09)
- 16 6. Non-migrating/resident geese should be exempt from the
17 Migratory Bird Treaty Act. (10), (Referred to AFBF, 10)

18
19 We support the increased hunting of resident geese by adding an
20 additional hunting season. (05), (07), (09), (10)

21 We recommend to ODF&W to begin the Goose Hunting Season in
22 the fall when the birds start arriving no later than the opening day of
23 Duck Season which is around the middle of October. Hunting shall be
24 allowed everyday during hunting season. **(15)**

25 Oregon and Federal Fish & Wildlife design a landowner preference
26 tag program similar to the one for deer and/or elk that can be used by
27 farmers and ranchers throughout the state.

Predator Management 9.200

1 Predator management must be an essential part of an overall wildlife
2 management program to maintain a reasonable compatibility with other
3 wildlife, to reduce livestock losses, and for the public interest, health and
4 welfare including control of rabies and other diseases that may be
5 transmitted to humans.

6 Property owners should be allowed to haze or take action to
7 eliminate predators before they cause damage.

8 The keeping of recognized breeds of Livestock Guardian Dogs that
9 are used specifically for predator management is an acceptable farm
10 practice. Livestock Guardian dogs should be officially included in statute
11 as a farm animal.

12 We support the use of leg holding traps, snares and livestock-
13 protection collars as a necessary means of predator and rodent control.

14 We support the supervised use of chemical toxicant as part of a
15 management program and request that research be conducted to find
16 additional new methods for control. Financing for research should
17 include appropriations from the federal government, state general fund,
18 game fund and counties.

19 We support an amendment to the rodent control law to authorize
20 county governments to establish rodent or predator control districts. (05)

21 It is at the animal owner's discretion if they want to have any
22 additional health care exams or treatments when they only want to have
23 vaccinations given to their animals. (15)

Cougar Hunting

9.205

1 We support the use of dogs to assist in controlling cougar numbers
2 where a perceived threat to livestock or humans occurs. (03)

Animal Damage Control

9.210

1 We recognize that certain management programs are being
2 conducted to reduce wildlife depredation of crops. We request legislation
3 requiring the established authority charged with the control of wildlife,
4 including endangered species, find some effective way to avoid this
5 damage, or provide depredation permits or reimburse farmers who suffer
6 losses from wildlife depredation of crops.

7 We oppose elk wildlife overlay zones in land use planning. When
8 wildlife overlay zones are designated, a management plan involving the
9 landowners, neighboring landowners and producers that are impacted by
10 the overlay zone will be developed with the wildlife management
11 agencies whether state or federal or both to manage the wildlife in that
12 zone.

13 We support the law allowing farmers or ranchers who experience
14 damage to their property by wildlife, the right to obtain a permit in order
15 to control the offending wildlife.

16 The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODF&W) should be
17 required to return to the traditional rule of requiring a minimum of 40
18 acres for land owner preference tags throughout the state and to refrain
19 from making rules which violate the constitutional rights of a portion of
20 the citizens of the State of Oregon.

21 We encourage and support efforts to meet with the Oregon Fish and
22 Wildlife Commission for control and management of big game animals.

23 The landowner should be supplied with a complete list of
24 unsuccessful hunters and a predetermined number of permits. When
25 there is crop damage and after the local ODF&W office approves the
26 hunt, the landowner or his representative should be able to contact the
27 number of hunters needed to control the wildlife. When a hunter is
28 successful in taking the wildlife causing the depredation, the hunter can
29 take the permit and animal head to the local commission office for
30 verification and payment of additional fees.

31 Private landowners should be allowed to designate preferred non-
32 family persons to obtain landowner preference tags to be used on the
33 landowner's property.

34 People who live and/or work in their prescribed local hunting unit
35 should receive first preference upon ODF&W issuance of hunting tags
36 before those individuals who do not live and/or work in their prescribed
37 local hunting unit.

38 The U.S. Department of Agriculture should maintain control of the
39 Animal Damage Control (ADC) Program.

40 The state or federal government should reimburse farmers and
41 private property owners for damage to crops and livestock caused by
42 wildlife, whether the wildlife is endangered or controlled by government
43 agencies.

44 United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the Oregon Department
45 of Fish and Wildlife should be held responsible for the damages done by
46 species they regulate.

47 When publically funded, USDA Wildlife Services equipment
48 (traps, snares, large bear/ cougar traps etc.) are properly set to
49 remove dangerous/ damage causing animals and are vandalized,
50 damaged or destroyed, the state shall prosecute those
51 responsible or act to protect both public and private interests. (15)

Animal Unit Months Allocation Ratio and Game Numbers 9.230

1 We support a program to establish game herd numbers and a
2 reasonable animal unit month allocation ratios which does not damage
3 private or public rangelands. (04)

Wolf Hybrid Registration 9.250

1 We support legislation to require the registration of all canine with
2 wolf blood, either raised in Oregon or brought into the state, and to
3 require such animals to be tattooed for permanent identification.

4 If a canine with wolf blood is caught by a trapper or shot by a hunter,
5 the trapper or hunter should not be in violation of the Endangered
6 Species Act. (07)

Gray Wolf 9.255

1 We support the removal of Gray Wolf from the Oregon Endangered
2 Species list and we oppose any efforts by the Oregon Department of
3 Fish & Wildlife Commission to create a Gray Wolf recovery plan.

4 However, as party to the wolf conservation & management plan, we
5 support a wolf control management plan to facilitate the federal and state
6 delisting of the Gray Wolf. Within 12 months of when criteria is met, the
7 state should honor its agreement to delist the Gray Wolf statewide.

8 Additionally, any plan should include the opportunity to compensate
9 livestock owners for economic losses from wolves and should allow
10 livestock owners the ability to take wolves on public lands and private
11 property that are a threat to humans and/or domestic livestock.

12 We support allowing the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife to
13 manage wolves in Oregon using lethal and other methods. (04), (05),
14 (07)

**Policy No. 9.260, Oregon Wolf Management Plan, was combined
with 9.255 (07)**

Trap Lines 9.275

1 All traps and snares set or used for the taking of furbearing
2 mammals or non-game mammals unprotected by law or the Department
3 of Fish and Wildlife regulations shall be inspected not less than every 48
4 hours, and all trapped animals removed. This regulation should not apply
5 to the taking of predatory animals.

Special Designation 9.300

1 We oppose any policy that requires protected areas on private land
2 and forbids any farming and/or forest operation in such area. (04)

Horse and Burro Management 9.350

1 We support repeal of the Federal Wild Horse and Burro Act. We
2 support the repeal of the Horse Slaughter Prevention Act. Further, all
3 public land agencies with horses and burros, be they wild, feral or
4 abandoned domestic, on their districts should be required to maintain
5 herd numbers no greater than set forward in their most recent
6 management objectives. (09), (Referred to AFBF, 09)

7 If wild horses and burros are found to be contributing or causing
8 rangeland health standards to be exceeded within Bureau of Land
9 Management/Forest Service grazing allotments and wild horse and burro
10 populations exceed applicable management objectives, the Bureau of
11 Land Management/Forest Service should be required to reduce herd
12 levels to management objectives before it may require any changes to
13 livestock grazing management. (15)

Feral Pigs 9.375

1 We oppose the importation of feral pigs into Oregon for the purpose
2 of stocking hunting ranches and we support the eradication of existing
3 stocks of feral pigs. (06), (07)

Endangered Species Act 9.400

1 We support a regional approach to achieving sustainable native fish
2 stocks in Oregon and the Pacific Northwest. Plans of action must be
3 socially, scientifically and economically verifiable in order to be effective

4 and implemental. All planning must be sensitive to management
5 practices initiated by irrigated agriculture that is intended to protect fish
6 habitat. We support reform of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) to
7 prevent creation of incentives to sue and recover attorney's fees on
8 issues that the federal government and communities are working to
9 address. We support a prohibition on citizen suits to enforce the
10 Endangered Species Act when the federal government or communities
11 are actively working to meet their obligations under the Endangered
12 Species Act. We also support limitations on the entities that can recover
13 fees under the Endangered Species Act, including limitations related to
14 the value of the assets of non-profit organizations who seek attorney
15 fees under the act and a cap on the amount of fees and hourly rate an
16 entity may receive. We also support the creation of legislation that
17 requires those seeking attorneys' fees to win on each claim prior to being
18 able to recover any funds for any lawsuit. We also support the creation
19 of legislation that requires individuals or groups to post a bond if their
20 lawsuit will have an effect on producers. We support continuing to keep
21 pressure on agencies and the DOJ regarding misuse of ESA citizen suit
22 provisions. (16) (Referred to AFBF 16). Cost effectiveness of
23 implementation of plans is essential to obtain long term positive results.
24 Local participation in the planning process is equally essential.

25 We support the protection of any sea going threatened or
26 endangered fish species by enforcing a 200 mile limit on any foreign
27 fishing vessel.

28 The national and Oregon Endangered Species Act should be
29 amended to provide that:

- 30 1. Listing a species as endangered shall be upon an endangered
31 basis alone, and not on the basis of "rarity";
- 32 2. Encroachment upon economic agricultural or silvicultural
33 practices should be prohibited;
- 34 3. Proof of species endangerment should be upon the petitioner or
35 the Department of Fish and Wildlife and not on the general
36 public;
- 37 4. A person proposing an animal or plant's designation as an
38 endangered species should be required to post a bond for
39 damages incurred by a land owner if the species is subsequently
40 not found to be endangered;
- 41 5. The state and federal government should be strictly liable for any
42 and all injuries or damages to property caused by, or in any way,
43 arising out of the allocation or re-establishment of an
44 endangered species, especially carnivores such as wolves and
45 bears;
- 46 6. Before the Endangered Species Act may be used to enjoin any
47 public or private activity, the party seeking the injunction should
48 post a bond with the court in the amount of three times the

- 49 estimated damages that may result to the enjoined person or
50 entity from the issuance of such injunction.
- 51 7. Scientific data supporting the inclusion of a species should
52 receive wide dissemination to landowners and private
53 organizations which represent the rights of landowners;
- 54 8. Where there is a conflict, human need for food and energy
55 should have priority over the protection of endangered species;
- 56 9. Endangered species should be taken or removed from private
57 lands if it is causing damage to private property, or if payment of
58 compensation for the damage is not allowed by the state or
59 federal government;
- 60 10. If endangered species are transplanted into other areas by the
61 Department of the Interior or Oregon Department of Fish and
62 Wildlife, that the act does not provide endangered species
63 protection or prohibit insect control in the area of transplanting;
- 64 11. In an area where an endangered species has not been sighted
65 for two years, the area should be withdrawn as a designated
66 habitat;
- 67 12. An economic impact study should be required of all actions taken
68 under the Act that would perpetuate the existence of an
69 endangered species;
- 70 13. Any agency, organization or person requesting a rare or
71 endangered species classification to be placed on species or
72 requests a critical habitat designation should be required to:
- 73 a) Provide and fund an environmental impact report with
74 emphasis on the economic impact of the action;
- 75 b) Conduct a DNA analysis on the proposed species to be
76 introduced to ensure that it is qualified as a unique,
77 genetically pure species.
- 78 14. Before a fine is levied against a landowner for causing the death
79 of an endangered species animal a scientific necropsy (to be
80 paid for by the fining agency) should be conducted by an
81 independent lab or fish biologist to determine the exact cause of
82 death. Written results of the findings should be made available to
83 the alleged violator. Inconclusive or suggestive results should not
84 be used as a basis for fines.
- 85 15. Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act should not be applied
86 to federal insurance programs, such as the National Flood
87 Insurance Program and crop insurance programs. The
88 Endangered Species Act should be amended to expressly not
89 apply to federal insurance programs and those programs should
90 be made non-discretionary for purposes of the Endangered
91 Species Act. (16) (Referred to AFBF 16)
- 92

93 We oppose label restrictions on essential agricultural pesticides for
94 the protection of endangered species when such restrictions jeopardize
95 agricultural production.

96 Until effective and economically affordable alternative chemicals or
97 methods of control are approved and are available for use; label
98 restrictions under the proposed plan are premature and should be
99 withdrawn.

100 We oppose the introduction or reintroduction of endangered species,
101 wolves and bears on public and private lands or the spread of these
102 animals outside of experimental population areas. We encourage
103 counties to develop ordinances that would prohibit such activities. In
104 addition, animals and their subsequent offspring from experimental
105 programs should not be classified as endangered when they escape the
106 experimental area. We support laws that would make it legal to take said
107 species that have wandered onto private lands.

108 We support the right of landowners to protect themselves, their
109 families, livestock and properties from all predators including bears,
110 mountain lions, wolves and those listed on the Endangered Species Act.

111 We support a program of landowner/operator compensation for
112 protection given the endangered species.

113 Because a threatened or endangered species is deemed to be of
114 social value, no single industry or facet of society should be called upon
115 to bear a disproportionate share of the responsibility or cost of recovery.
116 (03), (05)

117 Any artificial reintroduction of anadromous fish stocks into state
118 waterways, previously stopped by hydro and irrigation dams should be
119 defined as artificial fish stocks and not considered endangered. (07), (09)

Removal of Species from Threatened and/or Endangered List 9.410

1 We petition the Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife and the
2 Oregon Department of Forestry and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service to
3 remove the spotted owl from the threatened species list.

4 Efforts should be made to expedite the process of removing a
5 species from either or both the federal or state threatened or endangered
6 species list(s) when it is scientifically warranted. (09)

Marine Mammal Protection Act 9.450

1 We support amending the Marine Mammal Protection Act to allow
2 easier use of lethal control measures on seals and sea lions deemed
3 detrimental to protected fish species. Marine Mammal Protection Act
4 should not apply to fresh water in the Western states. (11), (Referred to
5 AFBF, 11)

Grazing Fee Formula **9.500**

1 We support retaining the present federal grazing fee formula, which
2 is tied to cattle prices and other factors. We do not oppose reasonable
3 grazing fee increases calculated within the formula.
4 Research of other types of grazing fee programs should be
5 continued as an appropriate option for the benefit of the grazing industry.
6 Any alternative to the Public Rangeland Improvement Act (PRIA) formula
7 must consider all factors affecting a permit holder's ability to use the
8 resource.
9 We encourage the establishment of a grazing fee formula on the
10 basis of economics and scientific principles for federal lands. We oppose
11 an administrative approach to reform federal land management without
12 congressional approval. We support the continuation of permit holder
13 advisory groups with the possible addition of two local members from the
14 general public in the affected areas.

Grazing Permit Applications **9.510**

1 A federal or state land management agency should respond with an
2 affirmative or negative answer within 30 days after a grazing permit
3 application is filed. If a successful applicant suffers economic loss due to
4 delays on the part of a federal or state agency, the federal or state
5 agency should be held financially liable.

Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative **9.520**

1 We endorse the national Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative for
2 private grazing lands and recommend that the American Farm Bureau
3 Federation also endorse the initiative. (05)

Range Improvement **9.530**

1 We support appropriating sufficient funds to implement the Range
2 Improvement Act. We support government agencies' efforts to increase
3 range productivity.
4 We recognize the value of prescribed burning as a tool of forest
5 (woodlands) and range management. (05)

Weed and Brush Control **9.600**

1 We support aggressive legislation and management that will result in
2 more effective noxious weed and brush control.
3 State and local weed enforcement rules should be enforced.
4 We recommend public financing for control of priority noxious weeds
5 as determined by the Oregon Department of Agriculture.
6 We support research by the State of Oregon and/or Oregon State
7 University and chemical companies for biological and chemical control of
8 declared noxious weeds. The control of all noxious weeds should be

9 mandatory for each individual or government agency holding land within
10 the state.

11 It should be the physical and financial responsibility of the holders or
12 owners of confiscated and foreclosed property to maintain adequate
13 weed control and levels of activity to prevent reversion to non-managed
14 classification for wetland delineation.

15 However, we favor the ability of operator/landowners to commercially
16 cultivate and harvest plants normally considered to be noxious weeds.
17 Such persons should be responsible for containment of the plants. If the
18 plant is an "A" Class weed, the operator/landowners must work with the
19 local and/or state weed board. At the point when such commercial
20 cultivation ceases, the landowner should be financially responsible for
21 the eradication of the noxious weed on such land.

22 Weed and brush control provisions should be extended to artificially
23 created wetlands.

24 If the state of Oregon grants an easement across state lands, the
25 grantee shall maintain a noxious weed abatement program (along with a
26 performance bond) for noxious weeds within the easement as defined by
27 the Oregon Department of Agriculture and the County Weed Board,
28 where the easement resides.

29 All state and county road right-of-ways must be managed to prevent
30 the spread of weeds that cause economic problems for agriculture.

31 Before the state, a county, and/or a municipality purchases any
32 additional land, money must be budgeted and designated to maintain in
33 perpetuity the acquired land free of weeds that can move off site. (07),
34 (09)

35 Any federal or state entity who funds a conservation program near
36 agriculture lands will be required to ensure that the land enrolled in the
37 conservation program has noxious weed control program ensuring that
38 weeds do not spread onto privately owned farm or ranch land.

39 We support rules that would require the use of only Oregon
40 Department of Agriculture Certified Weed Free Forage on all state lands,
41 including forests and parks.

42 An adequate percentage of gas tax be dedicated to road right of way
43 noxious weed control. (15)

Wild Bird Seed

9.605

1 Wild bird seed should not contain noxious weed seeds, unless the
2 seed is sterilized. Sterilization should be verified through germination
3 testing by the Oregon Department of Agriculture.

No Spray Signs

9.610

1 When a landowner places a "NO SPRAY" sign on a road or right of
2 way, the landowner should be responsible for controlling the weeds and
3 brush or for the damage they cause.

1

1 Crop Pollinators

9.615

2 We support and encourage the scientific community including
3 Oregon State University search for solutions to Pollinator Population
4 decline. We recognize the general benefit to agriculture of a healthy
5 pollinator population. We encourage all farms to enhance pollinator
6 habitat.

Fencing

9.700

1 We support legislation to require cooperative cost sharing on fencing
2 or the value thereof of a legal fence between adjoining land owners and
3 between land owners and federal or state land only when necessary for
4 control of livestock in an open range that becomes a closed range
5 livestock district. Fencing required because of a change to a closed
6 range livestock district, should be funded and maintained by the
7 enforcing government agenda.

X. COMMODITIES

General Commodities Statement 10.005

1 The state commodity laws and rules must be built on a sound basis
2 that recognizes the general benefit to agriculture and encourages
3 individual enterprise. (07)

Fair Market Competition 10.006

1 We oppose any legislation and or regulations that impose any
2 burden, financial or otherwise, on agriculture producers that is not
3 required of all competitors, foreign or domestic, unless the cost of that
4 burden is reimbursed.

5 In addition, we seek repeal of, or reimbursement for, all existing
6 regulations that burdens agriculture producers, financial or otherwise, for
7 costs not required of all competitors, foreign or domestic. (07)

Agricultural Research 10.010

1 Agricultural research is essential for reducing costs, improving the
2 quality of products, expanding markets and for more efficient processing
3 and marketing methods, which benefits both producers and consumers.
4 It is high priority for an expanded program of research projects to
5 accomplish these purposes.

6 We oppose closure or major change of any research station branch
7 until people in the affected area have a chance to evaluate the
8 performance of the station and express their opinion. Funds for research
9 stations and their related work should have first priority.

10 We support the agricultural education and research program carried
11 out by Oregon State University and support expansion and funding of
12 those programs.

13 We request vigorous research to define and solve the problems of
14 agriculture. Each county Farm Bureau should be prepared to present
15 facts and figures to substantiate this need before the legislature,
16 administrators and the general public.

Animal Health and Plant Disease 10.020

1 We continue our support for accelerated livestock and plant disease
2 research. Emphasis should be placed on related human disease
3 problems and on methods to prevent the spread of livestock, poultry, and
4 orchard and crop diseases from farm to farm. Livestock, poultry,
5 orchards and crops which are destroyed because of disease should
6 receive full indemnification.

Brucellosis Programs **10.030**

1 We support the findings of the National Brucellosis Technical
2 Association in regard to strengthening a National Brucellosis Program.

Use of Antibiotics **10.035**

1 —We support protection of the ability to judiciously use approved
2 antibiotics on meat animals. We support allowing producers to work
3 cooperatively with their local veterinarian to ensure appropriate and
4 responsible use of antibiotics and to determine which antibiotics can be
5 used and their recommended doses. (16)

6 We support an exemption for beekeepers from Veterinarian Feed
7 Directive requirements.(16)

Veterinary Services **10.040**

1 A program should be established to certify individuals qualified to
2 perform embryo transfers and pregnancy, sterility or fertility evaluations.

Animal Welfare **10.050**

1 Proper care and welfare of livestock and poultry are essential to the
2 efficient and profitable production of food and fiber. No segment of
3 society has more concern for the well being of poultry and livestock than
4 the producer. This is best exemplified by the high levels of production
5 and low mortality rates being achieved in modern livestock and poultry
6 operations.

7 We oppose any legislation or regulatory actions which would tend to
8 prohibit good poultry and livestock husbandry practices and increase the
9 cost of food to consumers.

10 We support legislation that would make it illegal to secretly record
11 and distribute videos of farms and punish those who take jobs on farms
12 only to gain access to record how the animals are handled. (11)

13 It is the livestock owner's discretion if they want to have a vet treat
14 damaged livestock. If the authorities cannot reach the owner of the
15 livestock, then they may require a vet. (15)

Service Animals at Farm Stands **10.055**

1
2 Farm stands or any other entities selling food items to the public
3 should not be required to accommodate service animals other than
4 seeing-eye dogs. (14)

Theft of Farm Property **10.060**

1 Prevention of crime, including theft, is a public responsibility. Law
2 enforcement agencies must give more recognition and increased
3 surveillance to increasing losses of farm property from theft. We urge
4 that complaints be more vigorously investigated.

5 The taking of any agricultural item of less than a \$500 value from
6 privately held farm lands without permission should be prosecuted under
7 the shoplifting law, in addition to any possible criminal penalties.

8 We support legislation encouraging scrap dealers to keep
9 transaction records which include the identification of sellers, in order to
10 facilitate law enforcement efforts.

Illegal Killing of Domestic Livestock 10.070

1 The penalty for illegal killing of domestic livestock should be equal to
2 or greater than the penalty for illegal killing of wildlife. We urge stronger
3 measures to insure the enforcement of federal, state and local laws
4 concerning the theft, molestation, or illegal killing of any type of domestic
5 livestock and poultry. We further recommend that not less than the
6 minimum penalties be given in cases of conviction. We urge that statutes
7 providing penalties for livestock larceny be amended to include a higher
8 minimum as well as maximum fines and sentences. We urge stiffer
9 penalties for illegal killing of livestock.

Environmental Terrorism 10.075

1 We support full prosecution of persons involved in acts of terrorism
2 against the natural resource industry on public or private land.

Pest Control Districts 10.080

1 We urge the establishment of pest control districts (e.g., fruit fly,
2 apple maggot) in agricultural production areas, particularly when
3 noncommercial producers are near commercial operations.

Truth in Menu 10.090

1 We support adoption of a truth in menu law.

Irradiation 10.095

1 The use of irradiation should be allowed in the food processing
2 industry.

USDA Forecasting 10.100

1 We oppose the forecasting of all agricultural prices by the USDA.

Export Ban 10.110

1 We oppose any legislation that restricts the export of raw agricultural
2 and forest commodities from private lands.

Market Negotiations 10.120

1 Strong marketing programs should be implemented to strengthen
2 farmers' bargaining positions. Statute changes should be made to protect
3 growers' associations from unfair business practices.

Third Party Grading **10.130**

1 We support "third party" grading for agricultural commodities when it
2 is requested by a majority of the producers delivering the commodity to a
3 buyer or processing facility. The option for producers to reject state
4 grading should be maintained.

5 We favor inspection of all potatoes and onions for human
6 consumption in interstate and intrastate commerce. We request that
7 potatoes and onions for fresh market be inspected at delivery for
8 calculating payments to growers.

Marketing Orders **10.150**

1 We support enabling legislation to formulate guidelines to allow
2 commodity groups to establish state marketing orders.

3 For an agricultural commodity referendum to be valid, at least 50
4 percent of the registered producers should have voted with more than 50
5 percent casting an affirmative vote.

6 We support deletion of the acreage control part of marketing orders.

7 We do not support a federal tart cherry marketing order.

Agricultural Commodity Quotas **10.155**

1 We oppose federal agricultural production commodity quotas. (01)

Marketing Development **10.160**

1 We support market development programs to expand export sales of
2 Oregon farm products.

Trade with the ASEAN Countries **10.170**

1 We favor the State of Oregon maintaining a Trade Counselor in
2 Northern Asia and a Trade Counselor in the Association of Southeast
3 Asian Nations (ASEAN) countries to promote Oregon products and
4 services.

Product Labeling **10.180**

1 We aggressively support country-of-origin labeling for both domestic
2 and imported agricultural commodities and an education program that
3 helps consumers understand the difference in the quality and safety of
4 foreign and domestic commodities.

5 Labeling must state in large, bold letters whether this exporting
6 country does or does not have a safety and health inspection system
7 comparable to the United States' inspection system for its own
8 agricultural commodities. (00)

Imported Agriculture Products 10.190

1 Any commodity imported into this country, when the same
2 commodity is under a price support by the exporting country, should not
3 be sold for less than the market price in this country.
4 We support the legal action taken by the ranchers' and cattlemen's
5 legal foundation related to fair trade violations by Canada.

Federal Farm Programs 10.200

1 The federal regulations governing the review and establishment of
2 actively engaged Federal Farm Program determinations and personal
3 determinations for those producers applying for Federal Farm Program
4 benefits should be revised to require that such determinations be made
5 only when a producer's projected benefits exceed \$25,000.

6 We support requiring cross compliance in all government farm
7 programs.

8 When the US Secretary of Agriculture, the USDA, or supporting
9 agencies declare that an emergency or shortage exists in a program
10 commodity (as defined in the 1985 Farm Act), farms with established
11 bases for the short commodity should be allowed to increase their
12 production acreage for that commodity and retain cross compliance on
13 other program crops.

Establishing Wheat Yields for Government Wheat Programs 10.210

1 Wheat and/or feed grain yields for each farm should be updated
2 each year. Support programs should be calculated on the actual
3 production records from the past three to five years, or the county
4 production average.

Catastrophic Crop Insurance 10.220

1 The purchase of Catastrophic Crop Insurance should be voluntary
2 and not a requirement to receive crop deficiency payments.

Department of Agriculture 10.300

1 We recommend maintaining the Department of Agriculture as a
2 principal agency of state government.

3 We support retaining the Agricultural Development and Marketing
4 Division within the Department of Agriculture. As exports are vital to the
5 economic well being of agriculture in the state, we believe it is essential
6 that this activity be maintained and identified with the Department of
7 Agriculture.

8 We support any action that would limit the legislature from overriding
9 a crop production decision made by the Oregon Department of
10 Agriculture or crop production decision made by the Oregon State
11 University Extension Service. (13)

Grass Seed Use **10.305**

1 Federal & State agencies should continue to allow the use of non-
2 native grass seed varieties. (06), (09)

Product Liability **10.310**

1 Consumers should be held responsible for their actions and
2 decisions, as well the producers and sellers of legal agricultural products.
3 (02)

Policy No. 10.320, Product Promotion was added to Policy No. 10.325 in 2011

Farmers Markets **10.325**

1 We support the concept of Farmers Markets and other opportunities
2 for farmers to increase the marketability and profitability of their crops.
3 To protect commercial food producers from the spread of
4 undesirable disease and pests, a grower outside a special district
5 desiring to market produce inside a special district, should follow state
6 ordinance recommendation spray guide for that area. (02), (11)

Internet Commodity Marketing **10.330**

1 We support efforts of all agricultural commodity producers to market
2 their goods over the internet.

Commodity Commissions **10.340**

1 We favor product promotion by commodity commissions and
2 other organized commodity groups. We approve the use of grower
3 assessments by commodity commissions for only the promotion,
4 production and marketing research and protection of the commodity
5 being assessed.

6 Commodity Commission money belongs to the growers and should
7 only be used for the purposes for which it is collected.

8 We support the State of Oregon and the state universities
9 recognizing that commodity commissions, cooperatives, and agricultural
10 associations have legal rights to the intellectual properties that result
11 from research funded largely by commodity commissions, cooperatives,
12 or agricultural associations.

13 Commodity commission budgets and assessment rates should be
14 developed and adopted prior to the growing season so a maximum
15 number of producers would have opportunity to participate.

16 If assessment rates do not generate sufficient funds to meet the
17 budget, the commission should adjust the budget accordingly.

18 We believe a commodity commission should be able to take action to
19 raise the assessment cap for that commodity only after notification has
20 been given to all of the producers currently being assessed.

21 A vote of the producers should determine increases in commodity
22 assessments. The commission should send a ballot to all eligible
23 producers and a majority vote, as defined by the commodity
24 commission's bylaws, should prevail.

25 We oppose the use of Commodity Commission funds for political
26 candidate contributions.

27 We oppose Commodity Commission legislation that increases the
28 authority of any government agency with regard to the way commodity
29 commissions operate or function. We support a provision that would
30 allow individual producers, under specified provisions in the
31 commission's bylaws, to receive a refund of all assessments paid in the
32 current calendar year. (02), (08), (10), (11)

Oregon Agricultural Income 10.350

1 We request that Oregon State University and the Department of
2 Agriculture research and determine the total added value derived from all
3 manufactured or processed agricultural commodities produced and sold
4 in this state. This total should be publicized as the agricultural
5 contribution to the Oregon economy.

Milk Usage Audit Law 10.400

1 Although the Milk Audit and Stabilization Law has been terminated,
2 we favor keeping the law in case the need arises to administer it again.

3 We support the transfer of milk stabilization funds to the Nutritional
4 Educational Services/Oregon Dairy Council for educational purposes
5 throughout Oregon.

Raw Milk Sales 10.410

1 Raw milk production for sale should be regulated for food safety
2 registration and inspection requirements. We oppose proposals that
3 would require the pasteurization of all milk to be used in fluid or
4 processed form. (11) (12)

Dairy Products Minimum Standard 10.420

1 We support an increase in the minimum level of nonfat solids in fluid
2 milk from 8.25 to 8.75 percent.

FDA Standards 10.430

1 We support regulations that do not allow nondairy substitutes to be
2 labeled as dairy products.

3 We oppose any law and/or legislation that allow processors to add
4 thickeners or any other nondairy products to low fat and nonfat fluid milk.
5 (11)

Beef Check-off **10.500**

1 We support the National Beef Check-off as it is presently (2014)
2 administered. Any increase must be through the present program. We do
3 not support increases to the Beef Check-off that would be administered
4 separately under another program with its own administration cost. We
5 continue to support beef Check-off charge exemption for calves selling
6 for \$25.00 or less. (14), (Referred to AFBF, 14)

Meat Inspection **10.510**

1 It is desirable to retain small commercial meat packing facilities in
2 rural communities.

3 We urge a return to a state meat inspection program under contract
4 with the federal government. We recommend the use of lay inspectors
5 under the supervision of licensed veterinarians, and that these lay
6 inspectors also act as brand inspectors.

7 All foreign agricultural imported products should be produced and
8 transported according to US inspection standards.

9 Countervailing duty laws should be strictly enforced. (08)

10 We support actions that would encourage Indian Nations, located in
11 Oregon, to construct and operate meat processing facilities for the
12 benefit of both Oregon livestock producers and the Indian Nations. (13)

Horse Import Permit **10.514**

1 We support horses to be classified as livestock or tools of husbandry
2 and oppose being classified as pets or companion animals. (11)

Slaughter Houses **10.515**

1 The application of federal and state meat and poultry inspection
2 programs to custom slaughtering plants, locker plants and producer
3 slaughters can have a serious and adverse effect on farmers. State law
4 should provide for small-scale and portable slaughter houses for custom
5 livestock processing and retail sales. We urge that the regulations
6 governing these operations be reasonable so as not to limit the
7 processing of meat for home use.

8 We favor investigating the possibility of dual federal meat inspection
9 standards which would tend to alleviate problems encountered by the
10 smaller packing facilities.

11 We recommend there be state and federal regulations requiring the
12 use of humane stunning methods before bleeding in all livestock and
13 poultry slaughtering facilities. (08)

Livestock Auction Markets **10.520**

1 Livestock auction markets are an essential part of our marketing
2 system and must remain a part of our competitive free enterprise system.
3 Standards and regulations, other than for safety, sanitation and animal

4 health, should be reasonable so they do not create a hardship on small
5 yards that perform a needed service to local communities. We oppose
6 proposals to "franchise" auction markets that would limit or restrict the
7 opportunity for an individual, organization or corporation to establish and
8 operate livestock auction markets.

Identification of Livestock **10.530**

1 We support the continuance of the existing hot iron branding, bangs
2 and scrapie ID systems registered to the owner of the livestock. There
3 should be flexibility in how animals are identified. Conventional ear tags,
4 RFID ear tags and ownership brands should all be allowed.

5 We support the establishment and implementation of an animal
6 identification system provided:

- 7 1. It is voluntary and market driven and enables participants in
8 marketing organizations to take advantage of international
9 markets without requiring the whole industry to individually
10 identify their livestock;
- 11 2. It includes financial and technical support for animal disease
12 control and eradication;
- 13 3. It can respond rapidly and effectively to animal health
14 emergencies such as foreign animal disease outbreaks or
15 emerging domestic diseases;
- 16 4. It protects producers from liability for acts of others after the
17 livestock leaves the producer's ownership;
- 18 5. The program is economically feasible having an adequate cost-
19 share among government, industry, and producers. Total cost of
20 an individual animal's identification and recording should not
21 exceed \$5;
- 22 6. The premise ID number is only registered to the owner of the
23 livestock, and is the same for all of the species that the owner
24 must ID; and
- 25 7. It has a well-run, well managed database that will benefit the
26 industry and is controlled by the industry, not government. In
27 addition, it is important that this database is not considered part
28 of the public domain. A Premise ID database should not be
29 subject to Freedom of Information Act. (04), (05), (06)

Country of Origin Labeling (COOL) **10.531**

1 If Animal ID becomes mandatory it should be incorporated into a
2 COOL meat labeling program. (06)

3 If a producer is in favor of promoting their product as locally grown,
4 they should be able to do so, but it should not be mandatory.(16)

Brand Inspection **10.540**

1 We support continuation of the brand and ownership inspection
2 programs to be administered by the Oregon Department of Agriculture.

3 The Brand Inspection Department should develop a concise
4 coherent plan designed to establish fiscal stability of the program.

5 We support a reciprocal agreement between states honoring the
6 state of origin's brand inspection on cattle destined for a sale yard in a
7 neighboring state for the period of time that the inspection papers are
8 valid.

Loose Livestock

10.545

1 Livestock owners should not be cited under criminal law for animals
2 that are loose through no fault of the owner. This should not relieve the
3 livestock owner from paying for property damage done by the livestock.
4 (11)

Payments to Growers

10.600

1 We support the licensing of dealers, priority liens, and bonding the
2 act of merchandising to guarantee payments to growers for raw product
3 deliveries. Legislation should take into consideration the uniqueness of
4 participation contracts between growers and purchasers.

5 Oregon law should give agricultural producers immediate protection
6 for the amount they are owed for their products. That protection should
7 extend until they are paid in full. Protection should be simple and
8 inexpensive to maintain.

9 It should be clear that a commodity covered by the grain producer's
10 lien continues to be covered by that lien regardless of whether the
11 commodity is a proprietary variety grown under contract or not and not
12 as an agricultural services lien.

13 Growers should be paid no later than 30-days from the time of
14 shipment or in case of non-shipment within 10-months following the
15 harvest year. (02)

16 This protection should apply to producers of all varieties and species
17 of seed.(16)

Disaster Payments

10.620

1 We support changing the natural disaster provisions to provide that
2 the amount received by the producer reflects the loss of income due to
3 lower crop quality and/or volume.

Bonding of Produce Wholesalers

10.630

1 We support the establishment of a prorated scale for the bonding of
2 produce wholesalers.

Central Filing of Commodity Liens

10.650

1 If central filing is implemented, information released must only
2 pertain to whether a lien is filed on a particular commodity and the name
3 of the lien holder. Information released should only be available to a
4 lender or a purchaser of the commodity.

Cannabis

10.660

1 More restrictive cannabis laws should not be expanded to adversely
2 impact other agriculture. (15)

3 Cannabis, including both Marijuana and Industrial Hemp, is
4 considered to be a legal agricultural crop under Oregon laws and as
5 such should be afforded equal protections under state and county Right
6 to Farm provisions. (16)

Certification of Field Crops 10.700

9 We are opposed to the use of any other criteria than varietal purity,
10 mechanical purity, varietal integrity, or germination in the granting of
11 certification to alfalfa and clover seeds grown in Oregon.

12 Any seed company offering seed for sale should have to include the
13 year the seed was produced, along with the other seed specification
14 information on the container.

15 OSU seed certification should strengthen its standards for the
16 modified land history program for perennial crops to require an additional
17 field inspection in the second year following establishment to maintain
18 varietal purity standards.” (09)

Oil Seed Crops

10.705

1 We support the ability of the Oregon Department of Agriculture to
2 regulate the production of oilseed crops to protect other seed production
3 from cross-pollination, disease, and insects. We are opposed to an
4 outright ban on oilseed production. (05)

Cross Pollination

10.706

1 Rules and guidelines in the Certified Seed Production Handbook
2 developed by Oregon State University that are used to prevent the cross
3 pollination of crops should be considered in any legislation adopted by
4 the Oregon Legislature that would govern the planting of crops.

Seed Trade Rules

10.710

1 We support legislation that recognizes the NORAMSEED Rules as
2 the regulatory standard for the trade of seed for planting in Oregon.

3 Failure of a seed dealer to comply with these rules should result in
4 the loss of their Oregon Department of Agriculture’s Oregon Seed
5 Dealer’s License. (00)

Technology in Agriculture

10.716

1 We support the development and utilization of new and existing
2 practices and technologies to benefit agriculture. These should include
3 but not be limited to biological, chemical, mechanical, genetic and
4 organic. We recognize the need for coexistence of diverse production
5 practices and methods and that all are important.

6 Biotechnology has been widely used for decades and is an important
7 component of production agriculture. New research for drought
8 tolerance, yield, disease control, insect tolerance and edible oil quality
9 are but a few examples of traits for a wide range of commodities
10 including fruits and vegetables and will be even more important in a
11 world looking for more food.

12 Biotechnology products should be approved for agricultural use only
13 after thorough research and deregulation. USDA should retain the
14 authority over this process.

15 We encourage all agriculture industries to distribute to all media
16 sources more understandable and visible information about the safety of
17 all approved agricultural products produced in Oregon and the United
18 States. (14)

Plant Breeding Programs 10.720

1 We favor a continued and expanded program of public plant
2 breeding at both the state and national level with the release of
3 foundation seed of the best new plant varieties to the general agricultural
4 industry.

5 The USDA Research Service should reinstate their plant breeding
6 program and release their varieties on a schedule to prevent
7 monopolization of plant varieties.

Release of Public Seed Varieties 10.730

1 We seek a change in USDA policy to ensure releases of public seed
2 varieties and that allow for the development of a check off system at the
3 foundation project level for seed advertising and promotion.

Agricultural Burning 10.750

1 We support the continuation of necessary burning at the highest
2 acreage level possible. We are opposed to any legislation or the
3 adoption of any state agency administrative rule that would further
4 restrict the accepted farm practice of "agricultural burning." However if
5 any agency attempts to restrict any form of agricultural burning, an
6 approved effective alternative practice must be in place. (14)

7 We support stack burning for grass seed and cereal grain straw
8 disposal. Stack burning should be considered ag burning when done
9 during the approved agriculture burning times as determined by the local
10 fire marshal. All propane flaming should be considered an agricultural
11 burn. (14)

12 We also support allowing farmer's permission to clean and clear land
13 for agricultural production without government interference.

14 Because weather conditions are extremely local, agricultural burning
15 must be managed at the local fire district level. A briefing should be held
16 between growers and the county or fire district prior to setting the dates
17 so that agriculture producers can share their expertise and concerns,

18 rather than setting arbitrary dates. Local fire chiefs should not be allowed
19 to prohibit agricultural burning unless extreme fire safety conditions exist
20 in the agricultural area. We support using the state criteria of humidity,
21 temperature and wind to determine when agricultural burning should be
22 allowed.

23 We oppose any additional regulation of agricultural burning that
24 might affect wilderness areas, when temporarily affected by smoke
25 intrusions caused by agricultural burning which is already regulated
26 under the direction of the DEQ and the industry's own smoke
27 management programs or local smoke management districts.

Field Burning

10.755

1 We are opposed to extending the provisions of the Department of
2 Environmental Quality (DEQ) ag and field burning regulations to any
3 community which already has successful programs of its own.

4 We support legislation that would identify limited field burning as a
5 tool to manage weed, pest & disease issues (including invasive species)
6 on all agricultural land. Such legislation should give sole authority to the
7 Oregon Department of Agriculture to allocate the location and quantity of
8 the acreage.

9 We support the continuation of a reasonable grower fee for support
10 of the Smoke Management Program.

11 When any government agency's actions include controlled burning
12 they should be under the same regulations applicable to private industry
13 in that location. (13), (14)

Field Burning Hotline

10.760

1 Oregon Department of Agriculture's burning hotline should separate
2 calls that are made on non-field burning days from those made on
3 approved burning days. It should be noted that the cause is not from
4 field burning. Calls made from the same phone number should also be
5 identified. (08)

Field Burning Liability

10.775

1 We support legislation declaring that field burning is not an ultra-
2 hazardous activity and that negligence must be proven before "liability"
3 can be imposed.

Farming by Corporations

10.800

1 We support the implementation of policies to:

- 2 1. Continue to study the effects of the entry of huge nonagricultural
3 firms into farming.
- 4 2. Avoid creating tax advantages which encourage such entry.
- 5 3. Strengthen the ability of farmers to bargain with an integrator and
6 other handlers.

7 4. Strengthen the economic position of farmers by encouraging
8 more effective group action in buying and selling.
9 We support presentation of proposals for bargaining association
10 legislation to the Legislative Committee on Trade and Economic
11 Development.
12 We support legislation requiring corporations to report their
13 involvement in farming.

Sustainability

10.900

1 Any definition of sustainability must balance economic, ecological
2 and social benefits of agriculture and recognize agriculture's ability to
3 sustain for generations. (08) (Referred to AFBF, 08)

XI. LABOR

Labor Laws and Regulations **11.010**

1 The overall guiding force influencing commodity prices is the
2 economic law of supply and demand. It is imperative that government
3 recognize the volatility of this force when adopting, amending or
4 repealing statutes and administrative rules. In general we are opposed to
5 statutes and administrative rules that ignore this force and reduce our
6 ability to compete in the local, national and international market place.

7 We support those statutes and administrative rules which assist
8 Oregon producers to become more competitive. (07)

Statewide Labor Policy **11.015**

1 Farming operations are often times in multiple cities, counties and
2 other municipalities in the State of Oregon. To ensure a farm can
3 successfully employ workers in multiple jurisdictions around the state,
4 farmers need to have a consistent set of laws regulating their employees.
5 We support uniform statewide labor laws that farmers can enforce to all
6 employees regardless of where their field of operations is located. (14)

Labor Regulations **11.020**

1 Agricultural employers are encouraged to give special attention to
2 improving employee/employer relations within the following areas:

- 3 1. Improve the earnings of workers by proper training, supervision
4 and continuity of employment.
- 5 2. Improve conditions where necessary for field sanitation, hazard
6 communication, pesticide labeling procedures and payroll
7 practices.
- 8 3. Defend the rights of the workers in the community by conducting
9 a public relations program among civic, business and church
10 organizations.
- 11 4. Improve worker relations by expressing appreciation of a job well
12 done.
- 13 5. Promote such projects that will improve the general welfare and
14 well being of the workers.
- 15 6. Improvement of labor management skills including:
 - 16 • The use of handbooks or written policies;
 - 17 • Providing training sessions and workshops related to current
18 labor issues;
 - 19 • Keeping informed of changes in laws that affect the
20 agricultural labor supply; and
 - 21 • Emphasis should be placed on the proper approaches to
22 prepare for agency hearings.

23 We encourage an accelerated program which provides information
24 related to the rights and responsibilities of workers and employers during

25 inspections by agencies and during labor disputes or any organized labor
26 activity.

27 We are opposed to any effort to allow criminal penalties or civil suits
28 against employers for workplace safety violations which occur without
29 criminal intent.

Family Leave

11.021

1 We oppose the development and or expansion of the Family and
2 Medical Leave Act for agricultural operations. (07) We oppose legislation
3 that would require employers to pay a family leave benefit for farm
4 workers. Farmers operate on extremely thin margins and would be
5 unduly burdened by a requirement to pay for family leave or track unpaid
6 family leave for seasonal farm workers. (16)

Mandatory Paid Sick Leave

11.022

1 We oppose mandating paid sick leave for farm workers. There are
2 times on a farm when everybody's presence is critical to a farm
3 operation. Food safety is not jeopardized when a worker can move to a
4 job where there is no direct food handling. Mandating farm operators to
5 pay someone's sick time wages and their replacement wages, places an
6 undue hardship on the farm owner. (14)

7 We oppose the requirement to track, record and report hours of
8 unpaid sick time that have been accrued and used. The allowance of
9 unpaid sick time was provided to lessen the burden on employers, but
10 requiring onerous tracking and adherence to all provisions of the paid
11 sick time law, puts an unfair burden on farmers and ranchers.(16).

1

Mandatory Predictable Scheduling

11.025

2 We oppose mandating predictable schedules. Weather, livestock,
3 and crop maturity are uncontrollable, and perishable crops must be
4 picked in a timely manner. Farmers need a reliable and available
5 workforce during the harvest period and require "all hands on deck."
6 Mandating predictable schedules does not recognize the unique needs
7 of agriculture and burdens the farm owner. Agriculture should be exempt
8 from any statewide or local policy that mandates predictable schedules.
9 (15)

Mandatory Flexible Schedules

11.026

1 We oppose mandating flexible scheduling. Requirements for crop
2 and livestock work vary daily with weather conditions, life cycles, and
3 markets. Thus, farmers have a "bona fide" business reason for not
4 providing every employee with a flexible schedule at their request.
5 Agriculture should be exempt from any statewide or local policy
6 mandating flexible schedules. (15)

Labor Poster

11.030

1 We request state agencies to coordinate and consolidate, into one
2 poster size sheet, all of the posters that employers are required to
3 display. (07)

Head Start Services

11.040

1 We support expansion of the Migrant Head Start programs to include
2 families of seasonal agricultural workers who do not currently receive
3 Migrant Head Start Services solely because of their failure to meet
4 migrant eligibility requirements. (08)

Farm Labor Housing

11.050

1 We favor continuing the property tax exemption for nonprofit
2 corporate seasonal farm labor housing.

3 We favor the uniformity of regulations, enforcement and reporting
4 regarding farm labor housing standards. It must also be recognized by
5 regulatory agencies that farm labor housing often meets all legal
6 requirements at the beginning of the season, but wear and tear may take
7 it out of compliance from one day to another.

8 State health and sanitation standards should not be more restrictive
9 than federal standards.

10 It is our position that migrant labor housing is not a part of the work
11 place and thus should not be subject to regulation under the Oregon
12 State Employment Act (OSEA).

13 A labor camp should be defined to include only housing that is
14 occupied by migrant seasonal workers.

15 All temporary seasonal farm labor housing should be classified as
16 agricultural buildings, not dwellings, for the purposes of construction or
17 occupational permits.

18 State and County Building Codes should make provision for
19 reasonable standards for temporary seasonal migrant labor housing.
20 Items such as insulation for summer housing and handicap provisions
21 should not be required.

22 Enforcement activities and construction controls of farm labor camps
23 should be coordinated through one agency. The agency should also
24 have the responsibility for educational programs and technical
25 assistance. These programs should be implemented before any fines
26 and penalties are levied.

27 So long as the state inspects and approves electrical and plumbing
28 installations in order that they meet code in farm labor housing, Oregon
29 law should be amended to delete the requirement that licensed
30 electricians and plumbers must be used during the construction or
31 rehabilitation of farm labor housing. (07), (11)

1

Policy No. 11.080, Farm Labor Recruitment, was deleted in 2008

Seasonal Labor Force 11.100

1 We support an adequate supply of authorized temporary seasonal
2 agricultural workers. We favor a temporary seasonal worker program
3 that:
4 1. Insures the supply of authorized temporary seasonal agricultural
5 workers;
6 2. Respects self determination on the part of the worker; and
7 3. Has an advisory commission with representation from the
8 employment sector of Northwest agriculture community.
9 4. Develops and implements guest worker programs that provide a
10 streamlined easy access of foreign workers which allows for a
11 special status to come and go but not stay, where the H2A
12 program isn't viable. (11), (Referred to AFBF, 11)

13
14 It is our position that the State of Oregon Employment Department (state
15 workforce agency) and other state agencies should assist and not inhibit
16 Oregon agriculture efforts to acquire and use legal guest workers.
17 Housing inspection for guest worker programs should only be
18 administered through one state agency. (16)

I 9 Verification 11.120

1 The Employment Department should complete the I-9 verification
2 process for all agricultural employees, including special agricultural
3 workers and replacement workers, before referring them to a job. (11)

Illegal Immigration 11.150

1 Agriculture should not be required to be an enforcement arm of
2 immigration policy. Known undocumented workers should be reported to
3 those responsible for enforcing those laws. (07)

Workers' Compensation Insurance 11.200

1 We support remedial legislation and administrative changes that will
2 reduce the cost of workers compensation insurance. We support
3 voluntary safety programs to reduce accidents. We request that
4 information being used to establish Workers' Compensation rates for the
5 State Accident Insurance Fund be made available to the public.

6 Employees should be responsible for fifty percent of the premium for
7 their coverage under workers' compensation insurance. The appropriate
8 amount should be deducted from each paycheck and transmitted in the
9 same manner as money withheld for Oregon income tax.

10 We request that standards developed under the Oregon Safe
11 Employment Act be reasonable and practical. Employers should exercise
12 their rights to participate in establishing or modifying these standards.

13 The state standards should be modified to include exemptions or
14 changes made in the federal act or standards.

15 An employee who refuses to follow his employer's instructions with
16 respect to compliance with safety standards, and who thus jeopardizes
17 himself or his fellow employees, should be subject to citation. In such
18 cases, any penalty that might be imposed on the employer should be
19 assessed against the negligent employee.

20 Workers' compensation risk should be based on the number of hours
21 worked in each work classification, not the amount of wage in each work
22 classification. Therefore, Workers' Compensation premiums should be
23 computed on a per-hour basis, much the same as the Workers' Benefit
24 Fund. The formula for determining the workers' compensation benefit's of
25 injured workers should reflect the historical wage level of the worker and
26 the fact that most agricultural employees do not work 12 months a year.

27 Workers should have a maximum 48 hours time limit for reporting an
28 on the job injury accident to the employer.

29 In order to help eliminate fraudulent claims, we recommend that
30 injuries claimed later than 30 days after employment termination be
31 confirmed by at least two or more physicians.

32 Workers on permanent total disability should be transferred to state
33 and federal disability programs rather than being paid by workers'
34 compensation.

35 An injured employee, after a doctor's work-release, should not be
36 required to return to the same employer and the exact same job in order
37 for wage loss compensation to be discontinued.

38 We support ongoing education programs provided by workers'
39 compensation service providers to minimize industrial accidents.

40 We oppose a state monopoly in compensation insurance (state and
41 self insured coverage only). (05)

Workers' Compensation Rates 11.220

1 The Workers' Compensation Classification Guide for reporting wages
2 should be changed to more accurately reflect the risk, and to provide that
3 the same premium rate is applied to the reported activity regardless of
4 the end use of the commodity being produced. (07)

OR-OSHA 11.250

1 We support state and federal exemptions from regular occupational
2 safety and health inspections of farming operations employing 10 or
3 fewer employees, unless a labor camp is maintained by such an
4 operation.

5 We support the mandatory inspection of farming operations only in
6 the event of a:

- 7 1. Serious and disabling accident;
- 8 2. Fatality; and/or
- 9 3. Valid complaint signed and revealed to the operator.

10 This inspection should be conducted only in the accident or
11 complaint area of the farm.

12 If an OR-OSHA inspector finds a safety violation on a piece of
13 equipment that is being operated as it was delivered from the factory, the
14 employer should not be subject to a fine for the violation but should be
15 required to fix the violation. OR-OSHA should also be required to notify
16 the equipment manufacturer of the safety violation. The equipment
17 manufacturer and OR-OSHA should work together to best solve the
18 safety violation on the manufacturer's equipment. Employers should then
19 be notified of the potential safety violation and how to correct the
20 problem. (08)

Hazard Communication Rules Applied to Employers 11.275

1 Application of hazard communication rules to agriculture must be
2 limited to permanent employees and seasonal employees involved in
3 pesticide application. Individual written programs detailing how an
4 employer is going to comply with the law must not be required of
5 agricultural employers for employees who are not exposed to pesticides.

Re Entry Standards for Pesticide Application 11.290

1 We support using the EPA registered label recommendation, as the
2 legal re entry standard for farm workers. Enforcement of the standard
3 should be uniform and coordinated by one agency.

Unemployment Insurance 11.300

1 The salaries of family held agriculture corporation's corporate officers
2 and their family members should be exempt from unemployment tax
3 assessments.

4 We recommend the farm gross quarterly threshold for the payroll tax
5 limit be raised from \$20,000.00 to \$80,000.00 immediately and be
6 indexed for inflation and for minimum wage. (07), (10), (11)

Unemployment Benefits 11.320

1 Unemployment benefits should only be for workers who are
2 unemployed through no fault of the worker. (07)

Seasonal Unemployment Compensation 11.340

1 We oppose implementing the unemployment compensation program
2 for seasonal agricultural workers and any notification requirements
3 regarding program coverage.

4 We support efforts to restrict benefits to those who have no
5 employment or assurance of returning to employment after a layoff and
6 to require recipients to work in any available position. We support efforts
7 to strengthen incentives for recipients to seek employment and reduce
8 fraud in the program. (07)

Contractor Liability 11.400

1 We seek legislation providing that when the terms of a contract
2 delegates responsibilities to a labor contractor for providing all or any
3 part of the required field sanitation facilities for workers including any
4 responsibilities regarding hazard communication rules, I 9 forms and
5 housing facilities, the licensed labor contractor, not the producer, should
6 be held liable under the law for any penalty or lawsuit resulting from
7 noncompliance.

8 When a producer contracts with a licensed labor contractor for
9 seasonal employment, the licensed labor contractor should be held
10 solely liable under the law for any penalty or lawsuit resulting from
11 noncompliance with state wage and hour law and state sick time law. If a
12 joint employment relationship exists, we seek clarification that licensed
13 labor contractors are required to share wage and hour and paid sick time
14 records at the request of the secondary employer.(16)

15 We support legislation to exempt from state regulation associations
16 and co-op's who act as farm labor contractors to recruit a workforce for
17 themselves or their members' entirely through federally regulated guest
18 worker programs. (00)

Farm Labor Contractor Bonding 11.425

1 We support a maximum bond of \$10,000 for labor contractors
2 employing 20 or fewer workers and a bond not to exceed \$30,000 for
3 labor contractors without experience hiring more than 20 workers, and a
4 bond not to exceed \$20,000 for labor contractors with five years of good
5 performance, if hiring more than 20 workers.

6 An individual who co-signs for the bond of a labor contractor should
7 not be held to be a joint employer because of the co-sign.

Harvest Picketing 11.500

1 We recommend that legislation be maintained which limits an
2 employee of a crop owner from picketing during the harvest of a
3 perishable crop.

Policy No. 11.520, Cannery Strikes, was deleted in 2003.

Secondary Boycott 11.530

1 We oppose the use of secondary boycotts. Every means possible
2 should be used to stop an illegal secondary boycott including criminal
3 penalties, fines and/or imprisonment.

Collective Bargaining 11.550

1 We support collective bargaining legislation that would establish
2 guidelines to protect workers and employers from unfair labor practices
3 during union organizing and bargaining efforts.

4 We support secret ballot elections as the means to determine
5 whether employees want to be represented by a union.

6 We support the right of employers to communicate freely with
7 employees, without coercion or threats, what the effect of unionization
8 would be in the workplace. (99), (04), (08)

Minimum Wage Indexing 11.580

1 "While we oppose a minimum wage, we support legislative action
2 that would mitigate the financial effects for agriculture employers of the
3 annual indexing of the state minimum wage.

4 We believe that minimum wage increases based on Consumer Price
5 Index (CPI) growth should also be subject to minimum wage decreases
6 during periods of negative CPI downturns.

7 If Oregon's minimum wage index is not adjusted downward during
8 periods of negative CPI growth, any subsequent increase in the
9 minimum wage calculation should not include growth in CPI that is only
10 recovery from a prior rate. In years following negative CPI growth, for
11 purposes of calculating the minimum wage, only CPI growth above the
12 preceding highest rate should be included in the calculation. (06), (09),
13 (11)

Minimum Wage Increase 11.590

1 While we do not support a minimum wage, if there is one it should be
2 no greater than the federal minimum wage. (01), (11)

Minimum Wage Averaging 11.600

1 We support the principle that earnings from farm work should be
2 determined by the productivity of the worker and not based on a
3 minimum wage.

4 We support the concept of piecework which offers wholesome
5 summer employment in the fields for so many of Oregon's youth and
6 which is responsible for many of the jobs in Oregon's processing plants.

7 We favor legislation to provide that, where workers are employed on
8 a piece-rate basis, the employer should be considered to be in compliance if
9 the crew average meets or exceeds the minimum hourly wage required
10 to be paid. (05), (11)

Farm Worker Meal and Rest Periods 11.605

1 We support allowing agricultural workers to choose if and when they
2 want to take a rest period or a meal period. (04)

Wage Penalty Claims 11.610

1 We support legislation that would eliminate wage penalties that allow
2 employees to benefit financially from failing to report an underpayment to
3 their employer. However, we realize that there must be an adequate
4 penalty for those employers who willfully underpay employees. (00)

Prevailing Wage Rate **11.625**

1 We oppose the Davis Bacon Act and any other law that would
2 establish a "prevailing wage rate" for labor in either the public or private
3 work force.

Health Insurance **11.630**

1 We oppose proposals that require employers to provide mandatory
2 health insurance coverage for employees.

3 We support health savings accounts. (06), (10), (11), (Referred to
4 AFBF, 09)

Christmas Trees **11.650**

1 The growing, tending and cutting of Christmas trees should be
2 defined as an agricultural activity under the Fair Labor Standards Act.
3 (08)

Overtime **11.675**

1 We support including the nursery industry in the definition of
2 agriculture for purposes of labor laws and overtime. (07)

Right-to-Work **11.700**

1 No person should be deprived of his right-to-work because of
2 membership or lack of membership in any organization. We support
3 Section 14(b) of the Taft Hartley Act.

4 We support right to work legislation that would eliminate closed union
5 shops. (02)

Farmer's Right to Manage **11.725**

1 We support the farmer's right to produce, harvest, process and
2 distribute their commodity in the manner most economically
3 advantageous to their particular operation.

At-Will Employment **11.730**

1 It should be the policy of the State of Oregon to provide for the right
2 of an agricultural employer to both hire and discharge employees as it
3 suits the overall needs of the farm operation.

4 An at-will employment policy should recognize the right of an
5 employee to discuss working conditions without fear of reprisal.

Political Contributions **11.740**

1 We support legislation to prohibit any employer or union from
2 exacting any dues, contributions or services of any kind from employees
3 for contribution to political candidates.

Youth Employment**11.800**

1 There is a social need to develop a sense of economic responsibility
2 among youth. It is equally important that youth have a beneficial means
3 of utilizing their time. We support provisions which maintain and increase
4 young people's opportunity for employment. Such employment
5 opportunities, however, should be in productive enterprises and not
6 "make work" relief schemes.

7 We support labor legislation which would set a special lower
8 minimum wage for employees under age 18.

9 We support repealing the federal labor laws which restrict the
10 opportunity for our nation's youth to be employed in agricultural related
11 work. (05)

Legal Aid Services**11.820**

1 When Legal Aid Services brings a suit, the cost of the defendant's
2 legal and court costs should be paid by Legal Aid Services if the
3 defendant is cleared of a majority of the charges.

U.S. DOL Due Process for Investigations 11.900

1 We support increased transparency of the investigation practices by
2 the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL). When DOL notifies a grower of
3 apparent wage and hour violations, the department must inform the
4 grower that its requests are strictly voluntary, must accurately represent
5 its legal authority and the rights of the grower, and must provide to the
6 grower all information it relied on to determine the alleged violations.
7 DOL should cite the grower only for violations that investigators actually
8 observed, not ones based on the department's belief or conjecture. DOL
9 should seek hot goods orders only when a grower has demonstrated
10 repeated and willful violations and lack of cooperation with DOL. In such
11 cases, the federal government must not contact the grower's customers
12 unless the department has already secured the necessary court orders.
13 (Refer to AFBF 2012) (12)

XII. ENERGY

Fuel and Energy **12.300**

1 Production, harvesting, processing and distribution of food should be
2 granted primary priorities for use of fuel and power.
3 Research should be accelerated for the development and
4 conservation of all available sources of energy.
5 We support a renewable economically viable energy policy.
6 Fuel standards need to be economically feasible to agriculture. and
7 timber.
8 Due to the changes of types of fuels and fuel products that are
9 available we do not support mandatory regulations and/or standards for
10 use for equipment, vehicles, storage tanks, etc that were built prior to the
11 enactment of the new regulations or standards. Due to the affects of bio-
12 fuels on existing equipment and storage tanks, the natural resource
13 industry shall be provided an exemption to be able to purchase fuels that
14 are not harmful to the equipment, including bio-fuels.
15 We support the repeal of the state biofuel mandate requirement.
16 (08), (10)

Green Power **12.305**

1 We support the concept of green power. We define green power as
2 any source of power that uses a renewable and safe energy sources
3 such as small and large water facilities, wave or tidal energies, solar, bio-
4 fuels, geothermal and wind.
5 The use of green power must be beneficial to the local area, highly
6 efficient and be environmentally safe. Green power generation must be
7 considered on a regional basis with weighted emphasis on local
8 stakeholder input and economically feasible.
9 We oppose the taxing of energy that is used on the farm or business
10 that has produced it. (08), (10), (11)
11

Electrical Costs **12.310**

1 We believe that electric utilities should review and revise pump
2 irrigation rates so as to enable the agriculture industry to raise the
3 necessary food and fiber, which benefits all society. Low cost
4 uninterruptible power should be made available for irrigation pumping.
5 (10)

Electrical Power Generation **12.315**

1 We support establishing a "Net Metering" system. Such a system
2 should provide that when a producer creates electricity on site the
3 producer should only be charged for the power used from the electric

4 company. If enough power is produced that the meter runs backwards
5 the electric company should pay the producer for the excess power at
6 the same rate that the power company charges for electricity, less the
7 delivery cost.

8 We also support "Remote Metering," which would allow an individual
9 or entities to produce power at a remote site to use on the farm or ranch
10 if owned by another person. The cost of the power to the user should be
11 the same rate that the power company charges for electricity, less the
12 delivery cost.

13 A third party similar to the Public Utility Commission should be
14 established to set the fees that a power company could charge for line
15 fees for net metering and remote metering. (08)

16 We also support aggregate metering, which would allow an individual
17 or entities to produce power without being limited to meter location. (10)

18 We believe power companies should be required to allow net
19 metering of multiple meters owned by the same customer rather than
20 strictly per meter. (15)

Electric Utility Deregulation 12.320

1 Prior to changing Oregon laws, we urge the legislature to study the
2 consequences of deregulating the retail electricity industry. (05), (10)

Policy No. 12.330, Power Development, was deleted in 2004

Rights of Power Generation 12.350

1 We support eliminating local ordinances or state law that prohibits
2 the construction and use of power generating facilities using water
3 flowing through or along a person's property. (10), (16).

Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) 12.360

1 While we recognize the value of liquefied natural gas to our industry,
2 we are opposed to the placement of pipelines and terminals that will
3 negatively impact agriculture producers and their management practices
4 in Oregon. (08), (10)

Policy No. 12.360, Life Line Rates, was deleted in 2004

Policy No. 12.370, Telephone Solicitation, was deleted in 2004

Policy No. 12.380, Limited Telephone Access, was deleted in 2007

Bulk Purchase of Fuel for Agricultural Buyers 12.400

1 We support the creation of a law to allow the bulk purchase of fuel to
2 be resold to no more than five additional agricultural buyers for economic
3 benefit. (09), (10)

Testing of Motor Fuel

12.410

- 1 We support the state testing of motor fuel at the final point of sale for
- 2 accurate quantity, quality and contamination. (05), (10)

XIII. PUBLIC AFFAIRS

Agriculture Policy for Oregon 13.005

- 1 An agricultural policy for the State of Oregon needs to be adopted
2 that would:
- 3 1. Acknowledge the importance of agriculture to the state's
4 economy and to the well being of all of its residents;
 - 5 2. Promote agriculture in positive actions that result in a vibrant and
6 healthy agriculture in Oregon; and
 - 7 3. Provide that any and all proposed rules and regulations or
8 legislation affecting agriculture should enhance the importance of
9 and the operation of agricultural enterprises in Oregon. (00)

Policy No. 12.010, Voice of Agriculture, was deleted in 2005.

Communication with other Organizations 13.015

- 1 We encourage greater communication and cooperation with other
2 agricultural and natural resource organizations at the national, state and
3 county levels. (07)

Action by Farmers 13.020

- 1 Farmers and agricultural organizations must become more
2 aggressive and involved in community, state, and national affairs.

Freedom to Farm 13.030

- 1 Increasing demand for agricultural lands and increasing concerns
2 about agricultural practices, have created severe restrictions on the
3 freedom of farmers. It is important, therefore, that farmers take an active
4 role in securing reasonable laws and regulations, in areas such as:
- 5 1. Land use;
 - 6 2. Agricultural chemicals;
 - 7 3. Food processing;
 - 8 4. Packaging;
 - 9 5. Marketing;
 - 10 6. Noise, dust, air pollution;
 - 11 7. Water use; and
 - 12 8. Biotechnology. (14)
- 13 Farmers should retain the right to use accepted agricultural
14 practices.
- 15 We oppose any legislation or regulations that restrict or mandate
16 farm practices. Accepted farming practices should be exempt from state
17 laws and regulations, local ordinances and suits related to dust, odor,
18 noise, etc. and other conditions not appreciated by the public. The right
19 to farm laws should be strengthened to require that a plaintiff has the

20 burden of proving that the farming practice endangers public health or
21 safety. Unsuccessful plaintiffs should be responsible for the attorney
22 fees, court costs and compensation for other expenses of the defendant.
23 (07)

Beginning Farmer Assistance 13.040

1 We oppose the concept of the state acquiring farm land in a
2 beginning farmer program. The State of Oregon should encourage
3 retiring farmers through tax incentives to rent or sell their land to
4 beginning farmers. Farm Credit Services should be encouraged to work
5 with beginning farmers.

Encouragement of New Industry 13.050

1 We propose that the state encourage new industries to locate in
2 Oregon which have the ability to use the abundance of raw agricultural
3 products that are produced here.

Policy No. 12.060, Exposition Center, was deleted in 2005

Policy No. 12.100, Citizenship, was deleted in 2008

Jury Duty 13.110

1 We support adequate juror compensation that would enable all
2 adults to participate in this very important service to their fellow citizens.
3 Key personnel should have the option to delay jury duty until the next
4 available session.

Publications of Government Proceedings 13.115

1 We favor maintenance of the statutes which require county courts
2 and boards of county commissioners to publish monthly proceedings and
3 expenditures.

Government Surplus Properties 13.117

1 We propose altering the existing practice of disposal of federal real
2 property that has been declared surplus to federal needs. No new
3 government entities, such as Native American Tribes, should take
4 precedence over other government entities in bidding for surplus federal
5 real property. This prohibition extends to the Bureau of Indian Affairs
6 from acquiring surplus federal real property on behalf of any Native
7 American Tribe. (03)

Policy No. 12.120, Federal State and County Projects, was deleted in 2005

Qualifications for Public Office 13.125

1 We believe the voters should decide whether or not a candidate is
2 qualified for public office. We oppose establishment of any new
3 qualifications not already prescribed by law.

4 Any salary increases for an elected official should not become
5 effective until after the next general election. (05)

Policy No. 12.127, Judicial Elections was deleted in 2005

Voters Pamphlet Information 13.126

1 The state should develop a system that enables persons to submit
2 voter pamphlet information at each county clerk's office or online instead
3 of in Salem at the Secretary of State's Office. (04), (05)

Oregon Constitution 13.130

1 Article II, Section 16 of the Oregon Constitution should be amended
2 to require a majority vote for the election of all public officials.

Initiative Process 13.135

1 We support the initiative and referendum process that has been part
2 of the Oregon Constitution since the turn of the century. We are
3 concerned that this historic citizen check and balance of state
4 government in recent times is being misused.

5 The initiative and referendum process should require:

- 6 1. The prohibition of signature gatherers being paid for each
7 signature obtained;
- 8 2. That qualifying signatures be required to be gathered equally
9 from each congressional district or similar regions of the state;
10 and
- 11 3. Constitutional amendments not referred to the voters by the
12 legislature should require a 2/3 majority vote of the voters for
13 adoption. (07)

Oregon Public Employees in Legislature 13.140

1 We support action to repeal Section 8 of Article XV of the Oregon
2 Constitution which allows public employees to serve in the legislature.

3 Because it is the responsibility of government agencies to implement
4 public policy, not to formulate public policy, no state or federal agency
5 should publicly take sides on any issue or policy concerning the general
6 public.

7 We oppose any effort of any government agency in attempting to
8 formulate farm thinking for presentation in support of any legislative farm
9 programs. (05)

Public Employee Strikes 13.141

1 We are opposed to strikes by public employees. We recommend that
2 all public employee contracts contain a no-strike clause or where union
3 contracts do not exist, the public employees should sign an agreement
4 waiving a strike action as a condition of employment. When binding
5 arbitration is imposed, both parties should submit their final offer to a
6 three member arbitration board which would accept one final offer from
7 the disputing parties.

8 More attention needs to be given to providing efficient delivery of
9 government services including education. Productivity and performance
10 need to be considerations for salary increases. (04)

Voter Registration 13.150

1 We support the state election laws which require voters to be
2 registered at least thirty days prior to election. (05)

Religious Life 13.200

1 Our national life is founded on spiritual faith and belief in God. We
2 favor leaving "In God We Trust" on coins and "Under God" in the Pledge
3 of Allegiance. We believe it to be an inalienable right to worship God, to
4 offer prayers, to read the Bible in private or public places, including
5 schoolrooms. This perpetuates the principles on which this nation was
6 founded.

7 Solutions to problems arising from social and economic change
8 involve recognition of spiritual and moral values. The proper role of
9 churches and organizations of churches in this field is to provide
10 guidance on the moral and spiritual aspects of social and economic
11 problems, rather than to lobby for or otherwise promote specific
12 governmental actions. If churches or church organizations continue to
13 intrude into political action programs, that portion of their resources used
14 for such activities should not be given preferential property tax treatment.
15 (05)

Rural Health 13.400

1 We urge continued interest and education of our membership in
2 health and disease control programs.

3 We support incentives to encourage rural hospitals, emergency
4 medical services and clinics.

5 We oppose employer mandated health insurance. (09)

Policy No. 12.410, Aids Testing, was deleted in 2004

Foods and Nutrition 13.420

1 We recommend continued nutritional improvement of school lunch
2 programs.
3 We recommend that county Farm Bureaus promote the use of
4 domestic and locally produced farm products on school premises.
5 Dietary goals should be based upon documented scientific proof.
6 We oppose any committee, agency or persons dictating the foods
7 that should or should not be eaten. We deplore the spending of the
8 taxpayers' money for controlling or attempting to control the diets of the
9 American people in any way. (04), (08)

Social Services 13.430

1 The present Social Services program tends to perpetuate rather than
2 cure the problem. The program should be redesigned to make it more
3 attractive to work than be a recipient of social services. Acceptance of
4 work training should be mandatory for able bodied persons. Incentives
5 should be provided for those taking jobs or work training. Primary
6 emphasis should be placed on the problems and needs of families with
7 children. Aid to the blind, elderly and the totally or permanently disabled
8 individuals must be adequate. We support requiring proof of citizenship
9 and testing for illegal substances for social service recipients.
10 We support community representation on public social service
11 boards. (08)

Emergency Medical Service 13.440

1 We support state-wide emergency medical and trauma services.

Volunteer Emergency Personnel 13.441

1 We support modifications of OSHA rules and Oregon State
2 regulations to recognize and give credit for the expertise of an
3 emergency volunteer's occupation.

Volunteer Fire Fighters 13.442

1 Oregon should adopt training standards appropriate to the needs of
2 rural fire districts and their volunteers. (03)

Confidential Financial Records 13.500

1 Any information exchanged between borrower and lender should be
2 considered confidential and privileged. The release of any information
3 should occur only upon the mutual agreement of both parties.
4 We support legislation to make the unauthorized release of
5 confidential and privileged information between borrower and lender a
6 criminal offense.

Personal Property Rights **13.504**

1 Before the State of Oregon adopts laws, rules, and/or regulations,
2 agencies should first take into account the economic and non-economic
3 impact they would have on private property rights.

4 We urge legislation protecting the private property interests of
5 farmers and ranchers, for their livestock, including those who choose to
6 raise nontraditional species. (03), (06)

Trespassing **13.505**

1 We recognize that the vast majority of citizens are responsible
2 people who recognize and respect rights of others and the need for
3 protection of their property.

4 We urge cooperation with organizations of sportsmen and other
5 interested citizens who wish to gain understanding of the trespass
6 problems.

7 Heavy penalties for acts of vandalism are encouraged.

8 Violation of the hunting with permission statute in the game laws
9 should be subject to the penalty for trespassing in the criminal code.

10 A landowner is subject to loss of time and considerable cost when a
11 suit or injury is initiated by a trespasser. We support legislation to require
12 the plaintiff to pay legal and other costs incurred by the landowner.

13 We support legislation relieving property owners of liability for injury
14 or death sustained by a trespasser regardless of age.

15 We recognize the need for property owners to have a cost efficient
16 and long lasting way to post property for no trespassing.

17 We support the statewide use of painting the tops of fence posts as a
18 legal means of posting ones' property for "No Trespassing." (00), (05),
19 (07), (08)

Drone Technology **13.506**

1 We embrace drone technology for production agriculture. We
2 support laws that protect private property owners from the trespass of
3 drones. (15)

Right to Farm **13.508**

4 Accepted farming practices utilized in the production of food and
5 fiber should be protected by "Right to Farm" provisions in the Oregon
6 statutes. (See also policy 3.030) (06)

Nuisances **13.510**

1 Persons or jurisdictions initiating an unsuccessful nuisance suit
2 should be liable for the loss of any economic value pre-existing business
3 and facilities of the defendant.

Legal Action **13.515**

- 1 We must use the courts to test unreasonable laws or regulations.
- 2 This should be the last resort after all other approaches have been
- 3 explored and exhausted.
- 4 We support a policy that requires any filing party of a government
- 5 suit to post a bond to cover the legal costs of the prevailing party.

Probate Fee **13.520**

- 1 Special priority should be given to the revision of probate fees so
- 2 they are commensurate with the amount of legal work required.

Small Claims Court Judgments **13.525**

- 1 We support forcing the payment of judgments won in small claims
- 2 courts.

Judicial Reform **13.530**

- 1 We support the maintenance of Justice of Peace Courts. The
- 2 present system for election of judges should be retained. A maximum
- 3 limit should be retained for each class of lawsuit. When a defendant
- 4 prevails, the plaintiff should be liable for all attorney fees and the court
- 5 costs. (07)

Liability Suits **13.535**

- 1 We support legislation to limit excessive liability judgments.
- 2 Judgments should be based more closely on the actual economic loss
- 3 incurred.
- 4 We support legislation to:
 - 5 1. Strengthen the legal concept of "fault" as a basis to determine
 - 6 damages. "Strict liability" concepts that hold parties liable for
 - 7 losses when no fault exists are unfair,
 - 8 2. Control expert testimony,
 - 9 3. Eliminate "joint and several liability,"
 - 10 4. Allow for the recovery of medical expenses, property damage
 - 11 and lost wages, pain and punitive damages should be
 - 12 commensurate to company size and financial status,
 - 13 5. Allow the payment of large awards for future damages to be
 - 14 made in installments rather than a lump sum,
 - 15 6. Eliminate double recovery. Court awards should be reduced by
 - 16 the amount of other financial sources such as medical insurance
 - 17 and wage continuation plans, and
 - 18 7. Encourage alternatives to lawsuits such as binding arbitration
 - 19 and mediation. (08)

SLAPP Strategic Lawsuit Against Public Participation 13.536

1 Citizens currently are granted immunity in testifying during the
2 legislative process. We support legislation which would grant citizens
3 similar immunity when testifying at the state or local level. (00)

Third Party Lawsuits-Harassment 13.537

1 When a citizen accuses a landowner of violating agency rules and
2 the agency pursues the accusation, the accusing person should have to
3 testify at any hearing or court proceeding so that the accused may face
4 their accuser. (00)

**Policy No. 12.540, Enforcement-Rules and Regulations, was deleted
in 2005**

Truth in Real Estate Sales 13.550

1 We support the concept that all mineral, water and timber rights,
2 easements, zoning constraints and deed restrictions be included in a
3 land sale contract.

Takings of Property 13.560

1 We believe that any action by government that restricts or diminishes
2 an owner/operator's right to conduct farming or forest practices on the
3 property constitutes a taking of property.

4 Therefore, government should provide due process and
5 compensation to the exact degree that an owner/operator's right to use
6 the property has been diminished by government action. All claims for
7 loss of value should be filed within two years on new actions. Partial
8 takings of property should be prohibited unless compensation is made
9 for reduction in the value of the total property.

10 We are opposed to removing the applicability of land use laws as an
11 alternative to financial compensation for loss of property value due to any
12 action by government.

13 Further, government should acquire property or easements through
14 negotiated mutual agreement. The loss of undeveloped property value
15 should be calculated by comparing the value of the property one year
16 before the zoning law was enacted compared to the value one year after
17 the new zoning law was put into effect and the lost value should be
18 indexed for inflation. (00), (04), (07)

Compensation 13.570

1 When a governmental action results in an economic decrease to the
2 owner because it restricts or adversely affects a farming or forest
3 practice and upon providing proof of the economic decrease, the owner
4 shall be compensated by the public entity both for the amount of the
5 economic decrease and the cost of professional assistance paid by the

6 owner to establish the economic decrease including attorney fees and
7 court costs. (04)

Compensation for Losses 13.575

1 When an action by government results in an economic loss to the
2 agriculture producer, by limiting or prohibiting the use of real and
3 personal property, including but not limited to, chemicals, water or
4 equipment, the producer should be able to file a claim and be
5 compensated by the regulating government entity.

6 We are opposed to any government action that results in an
7 economic loss that does not provide appropriate compensation. (06)

Compensation Revenue Sources 13.577

1 When Measure 37 or Measure 49 claims are approved for the loss of
2 property value the appropriate method of compensation from the
3 following list of options should be employed:

- 4 1. State income tax credit for state actions;
- 5 2. County or city property tax credit for local government actions.

6 A county compensation fund should be established for future
7 compensation claims and revenue continuously appropriated from the
8 following list of options:

- 9 1. Farm and forest use assessment tax penalties when land use is
10 changed to a non-farm or non-forest use;
- 11 2. A real estate transfer tax on Measure 37 or Measure 49 claims
12 when the land is sold.

13 Installment payments for the loss of property value should be made
14 when the state or local government entity has adequate funding. (07),
15 (08)

Condemnation 13.580

1 Any government or utility with condemnation authority should be
2 prohibited from exercising that authority on land zoned for farm or forest
3 use without the approval of the county governing body.

4 If condemnation authority is exercised on land zoned for farm or
5 forest use, the land should be valued as though it were inside an urban
6 growth boundary and zoned for the intended use.

7 Any public agency or utility that has condemnation powers should be
8 required to pay all legal costs to the private property owner whose
9 property the agency has plans to take for a public use. The agency's or
10 utility's liability should begin with the first notice to the property owner
11 and continue until the final conclusion. (01), (08)

Historic Preservation of the Oregon Trail 13.585

1 Historic preservation should not conflict with existing and or accepted
2 farming practices. (07)

Parole Eligibility **13.600**

1 We urge that parole requirements for repeat offenders be
2 strengthened to a degree which will insure more protection of lives and
3 property from the depredations of habitual criminals.

Policy No. 12.610, Juvenile Delinquency, was deleted in 2005

Capital Punishment **13.620**

1 We support capital punishment for specified crimes.

Illegal Drugs **13.625**

1 Greatly increased penalties should be imposed on those convicted of
2 producing, transporting, or selling illegal drugs or recruiting others to do
3 the same. Bail requirements should be maximized. (14)

4 Additional funds should be appropriated for the education and
5 treatment of our youth. A reward program for informants should be
6 instituted.

7 We support the right of private employers and government agencies
8 to test employees for drug use. Prospective employees should be
9 informed that drug tests will be given.

10 We support Law enforcement shall be notified right to know that a
11 marijuana operation is legally sanctioned a mechanism to determine if a
12 marijuana growing operation is actually sanctioned as a medical grow.
13 Necessary information should be subject to the public disclosure laws
14 available seven days a week, 24 hours a day, to local police agencies so
15 they can determine if a grow operation is legal. (06), (10)

Crime Prevention **13.630**

1 We support legislation that will declare the removal or alteration of
2 serial numbers from personal property and owner identification numbers
3 an illegal act.

4 We support the establishment and continuation of crime prevention
5 programs throughout the state.

Policy No. 12.635, Insanity Plea, was deleted in 2005

REDDI Program **13.640**

1 We support the "Report Every Drunk Driver Immediately" (REDDI)
2 program.

Policy No. 12.700, Racing Funds for County Fairs was deleted in 2004.

State Board of Agriculture **13.705**

1 We support maintaining the advisory role of the State Board of
2 Agriculture. (03), (04)

Government Agency Lobbying **13.710**

1 We support legislation prohibiting state and local agencies financed
2 by tax money from engaging a lobbyist for hire. The agency's
3 involvement should be limited to only testimony invited by or information
4 requested by a legislator.

5 State agencies should be limited to one representative. Their
6 testimony should be limited to the factual effects of the proposed
7 legislation. Additionally, all lobbyists working for organizations receiving
8 taxpayer money should be clearly identified by a name tag which
9 discloses their name and organization when they are within the Capitol
10 building. These requirements should not be considered to apply in any
11 way to elected officials of any jurisdiction of the state.

Administrative Agencies **13.720**

1 The legislature should not delegate legislative authority to
2 administrative agencies, or in cases of emergencies, the appropriate
3 interim committee.

4 At least a majority of the regulatory body committee members should
5 be present throughout the legislative hearings.

6 Administrative agencies should not be allowed to impose fines
7 without action by a court. Right of appeal by agencies should be denied
8 after a court decision.

9 Any state agency charged with enforcing federal laws should not
10 adopt rules more restrictive than the federal rules or apply the rules in a
11 more restrictive manner than the rules are when enforced by the federal
12 government.

13 Statutory language should be adopted which defines the purpose of
14 each current agency and any new agency when it is created.

15 State agencies should be permitted to enact administrative rules only
16 to the extent that such rules are necessary to accomplish the purpose for
17 which the agency was formed and only to the extent that such rules do
18 not diminish or detract from the vested private property rights of citizens.

19 All previously enacted administrative rules which exceed the
20 legislatively defined purpose of the agency should be declared null and
21 void.

22 Any person or persons attempting to enforce rules which exceed the
23 purpose for which the agency was formed should be subject to criminal
24 penalties for abuse of authority.

25 Any proposed rule should require legislative approval prior to
26 implementation.

27 All government agencies or their employees, while working in their
28 professional capacity, should be held to the same standard as individuals
29 or businesses in complying with the laws of the land.

30 Government regulatory agencies should not be allowed to levy fines
31 upon issuance of a citation for noncompliance of regulations.

32 Civil penalties collected by any regulatory agency should go into the
33 general fund.

Agency Liability 13.725

1 When an agency charges a landowner with a violation of an agency
2 regulation, and forces the landowner to stop an activity, and in an
3 ensuing investigation and/or court proceeding the land owner is found to
4 be innocent of the charge, the agency should be held responsible for
5 reimbursing any revenue losses and legal fees suffered by the
6 landowner due to the cessation of the activity. (00)

Government Growth 13.730

1 We oppose the establishment of additional departments and
2 divisions in state government unless it can be proven that such an
3 addition will reduce the cost of goals or services to the public and the
4 new department or division will be subject to the "Sunset Law."

Service Fees 13.740

1 We support legislation to change service fees charged by public
2 agencies so they are commensurate with the cost of providing the
3 service.

Required Local Government Programs 13.750

1 We support legislation to require the State of Oregon to appropriate
2 funds for local governments to finance programs required by new
3 legislation or administrative orders.

Funding for Enacted Legislation 13.760

1 No law or legislation should be passed by Congress or the State
2 Legislature unless it is also funded by that body.

State Boards and Commissions 13.770

1 We request that the Governor appoint at least two farmers or
2 ranchers to state boards or commissions which have authority over land,
3 water and other natural resources.

4 A majority of persons who are appointed to state boards and
5 commissions should have practical production experience or use of the
6 resource that the board or commission oversees.

7 We support a uniform system for state agency (commission and
8 director) appointments.

9 All state agency commission members not elected should be
10 appointed by the Governor in a timely manner, approved by the Senate,
11 and should not be changed by the Governor.

12 Any director of an agency governed by a state agency commission
13 should be appointed by that state agency commission.

14 All agency directors should be governed by a state agency
15 commission. We support that any forms needed by a state agency
16 and/or commission or board are also offered hard copy and may be
17 faxed, mailed or scanned and emailed to the appropriate
18 agency/commission,etc.(16)

Publishing Legal Notices 13.771

1 We support continuation, by public agencies, of the existing practice
2 of publishing legal notices in newspapers. (10)

Limiting Legislative Sessions 13.780

1 We support limiting regular sessions of the legislature to a maximum
2 120 day session every other year. The Oregon legislature should pass a
3 budget in 75 days after the opening of session. If this is not
4 accomplished, the session should close, the Governor should call a
5 special session and only budget bills should be allowed to be introduced.

Legislative Emergency Clause 13.785

1 Any legislation with emergency clauses should be approved by a
2 super majority of both houses and shall not disallow a referral by the
3 people in the form of an Initiative. (15)

4 The Legislative Emergency Clause shall be used exclusively during
5 Special sessions of the Legislature for the purpose of balancing the
6 budget or for responding to natural disasters.(16).

Acquisition of Public Lands for Tribal Reservations 13.790

7 We oppose any acquisition of public lands by Native American
8 Tribes for either creating new or enlarging existing reservations. (03)

Internet Access 13.800

1 Access to high speed internet should be available statewide, similar
2 to telephone service. (08)

Single Subjects for Congressional Legislation 13.900

1 Be it resolved that just like the Oregon ballot initiative, all bills must
2 be limited to one issue.

XIV. NATIONAL AFFAIRS

Gun Control

14.010

1 We are strongly opposed to any additional gun and ammunition
2 control legislation and / or tax.

3 Any entity, be it governmental or private, that restricts private citizens
4 from their Second Amendment right to self-defense, shall be held liable
5 for the safety and well-being of anyone on their premises. (15)

6 We recommend that swift, prompt and adequate punishment be
7 administered to persons unlawfully using firearms. (08) (Referred to
8 AFBF, 08)

9

Individual Rights

14.020

1 Any individual should have the right to take such action as is
2 necessary to protect his life and property.

Federal Government Responsibility

14.025

1 We urge Congress to return to protecting the basic rights of
2 employers and allow private enterprise to use market forces to compete
3 free of excess government regulations.

4 Trade agreements generally require that producers are neither
5 subsidized by their governments nor disadvantaged with the burden of
6 standards not met by foreign competitors, unless they are reimbursed for
7 those costs.

8 We oppose the removal of existing subsidies until they can be
9 replaced with reimbursements to domestic producers for the costs of
10 environmental and labor laws that imported products are not subject to.

11 All trade agreements should allow any country to reimburse its
12 domestic producers for the higher standards required by its own citizens.
13 (08) (Referred to AFBF, 08)

Eminent Domain

14.030

1 We support legislation requiring the federal, state or county or any
2 subdivision therein or any utility, to pay all costs incurred by the land
3 owner for eminent domain proceedings including appeals.

4 We believe the eminent domain law should provide for
5 compensation, replacement and relocation where necessary, taking into
6 consideration the specific needs and requirements of the damaged
7 property.

8 We oppose the use of eminent domain for the purpose of
9 redistribution of land.

10 Condemnation of private land by any government agency should be
11 permitted only when the condemned land would be used for public health

12 requirements or the improvement of the entire community, and remains
13 in public ownership.

14 We believe that eminent domain should be utilized only as a last
15 resort. (05)

Columbia Gorge

14.040

1 We oppose federal control of the Columbia Gorge and support
2 continued challenges to the constitutionality of the seizure of private
3 property in the Columbia Gorge.

Antiquities Act

14.050

1 We believe that the Antiquities Act has been implemented contrary to
2 the original intent of the legislation. We support Antiquities Act reform to
3 require Congressional and state approval of national monument
4 designations, and to prevent the president from unilaterally designating
5 national monuments. Any reform should also require 1) that all existing
6 natural resource uses are protected in such designations, 2) that the Act
7 should only be used to protect Native American artifacts and other
8 archaeological sites, 3) that the acreage is limited to the minimum which
9 contains the artifacts or archeological sites, and 4) that the Act can only
10 be used on contiguously owned federal land and may not be used where
11 a tract of private land will be surrounded by a designated national
12 monument.(16)(Referred-to-AFBF-16)

Federal Land Planning Coordination

14.051

1 The Oregon Farm Bureau Federation supports 1) the coordination and
2 cooperation between BLM, Forest Service, US Fish and Wildlife,
3 National Marine Fisheries Services and other federal agencies with
4 states, counties, and other local governments in making land
5 management plans and decisions; 2) providing assistance to states,
6 counties, and local governments in coordination and cooperating agency
7 status, 3) ensuring that the local natural resources community has a
8 strong voice in land management and that decisions are made which
9 benefit the natural resources community, and 4) ensuring that local
10 district offices have the ability to make decisions about land management
11 and engage with local communities in the development of plans.
12 Decision making should not be top down. Oregon Farm Bureau
13 vigorously opposes any changes to existing statutes or rules that reduce
14 the ability of the BLM, Forest Service, US Fish and Wildlife, National
15 Marine Fisheries Services and other federal agencies to coordinate with
16 states, counties, and other local governments in making land
17 management plans and decisions. (16) (Referred to AFBF 2016)

Executive Orders **14.055**

18 We support the passage of federal legislation that would limit the
19 effective life of executive orders to two full years unless ratified by
20 congress to extend the life of the order. (01)

Citizen Suits **14.060**

1 We support reform of the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA) to prevent
2 creation of incentives to “sue and settle,” including limitations related to
3 the value of the assets of non-profit organizations who seek attorney
4 fees under the act, a cap on the amount of fees and hourly rate an entity
5 may receive, and parity between non-profit organizations and individuals
6 under EAJA. We also support the creation of legislation that requires
7 those seeking attorneys’ fees to win on each claim prior to eligibility for
8 EAJA funds for any lawsuit. We also support the creation of legislation
9 that requires individuals or groups to post a bond if their lawsuit will have
10 an effect on producers. We support continuing to keep pressure on
11 agencies and the DOJ regarding misuse of EAJA. (16) (Referred to
12 AFBF 16)

Regulatory Reform **14.100**

13 We support a regulatory reform act which would restrict regulatory
14 agencies and commissions from arbitrarily imposing rules and
15 regulations that are contrary to and/or beyond the intent of the
16 legislation.

Policy 13.130, Federal Reserve System, was deleted in 2005

Policy No. 13.140, Monetary and Spending Policies, was deleted in 2005

Presidential Elections **14.145**

17 We emphatically support the Electoral College for presidential
18 elections.

19 Electors should be required to vote for the candidates on the ballots
20 to which they were committed.

21 We are opposed to making the popular vote the only determination
22 of electing the President of the United States. (01)

Congressional Salaries **14.150**

1 We oppose congressional salary raises until such time as Congress
2 balances the federal budget.

Policy No. 13.160, Grant Monies, was deleted in 2005

Senate Apportionment **14.170**

1 We support the reversal of the Baker vs. Carr decision so that one
2 house in each state legislature can be apportioned on an area basis.

Food Bill **14.200**

1 We urge the words "Food Bill" to be used instead of "Farm Bill" to
2 illustrate that the majority of dollars go to food assistance programs, not
3 producers. The Food Bill would have two sections; 1. Agriculture
4 Production Ag. and 2. Food and Nutrition Non Production Ag. (11),
5 (Referred to AFBF, 11)

Policy No. 13.200, U.H.F. Stations, was deleted in 2005

Policy No. 13.220, T.V. Scrambling, was deleted in 2005

National Weather Service **14.230**

1 We oppose the sale of the National Weather Service to private
2 enterprise.

Farm Credit **14.300**

1 The federal government should guarantee the bonds issued by the
2 Federal Credit Administration, and if necessary, increase the limit on the
3 volume of their issuance in order to reducing the interest rate.

4 We support the concept of debt restructuring if debt is restructured
5 only for farmers who run a viable economic operation. Debt should be
6 restructured so that farmers who qualify can pay off their debt.

7 We support restructuring short term and intermediate term debt into
8 long term debt to give qualified borrowers additional time to repay
9 principal and reduce their annual debt and service obligation.

Management of Lands Under Foreclosure **14.320**

1 When a government agency forecloses on farms or ranches, it
2 should take responsibility to maintain the agricultural integrity of those
3 lands and facilities. We believe the best way to do this is to rent, lease,
4 or sell the land to other farmers or ranchers.

Farm Service Agency (FSA) Foreclosures **14.330**

1 Land foreclosed on, by FSA, should be sold to private interests
2 without special consideration for ethnic origin, age, gender or religion
3 and should not be held by or converted to government use.

**Farm Service Agency (FSA) and Natural Resource Conservation
Service (NRCS) Office Co-Location** **14.340**

1 To make it easier for producers to access government farm
2 programs, we support co-locating the local NRCS offices and the local
3 FSA offices. (07)

State of Columbia **14.400**

1 We oppose the creation of a State of Columbia from the District of
2 Columbia.

Foreign Investment 14.500

1 Except for ownership by resident aliens, we oppose the foreign
2 ownership of U.S. lands. (04)

Policy No. 13.525, Indian Policies, was deleted in 2005

English the Official National Language 14.550

1 English should be the sole official language of the United States of
2 America, for its states; commonwealths; territories; for all documents,
3 public and private; in all courts of law; in all teaching; and in all business
4 dealings and transactions.

Anti-Trust 14.600

1 We support action at the federal level to insure that the U.S.
2 Department of Justice strongly enforces the anti-trust provisions when
3 reviewing and acting on requests by agricultural processors.

Producer Purchase 14.610

1 We shall work to include the same tax advantages for producer-
2 purchased companies transitioned into co-ops as are presently available
3 for employee-purchased companies. (05)

Trade Negotiations 14.700

1 While we support free trade through the reduction of tariffs,
2 Congress and the Administration must at the same time vigorously work
3 to eliminate "reverse tariffs" which are systematically destroying
4 agriculture's and other U.S. business' ability to compete in the world
5 market.

6 Reverse tariffs impose costs on U.S. producers that are not equally
7 imposed on other countries.

8 Reverse tariffs are imposed in the form of ever increasing minimum
9 wage laws; Social Security taxes; Medicare; Unemployment Insurance;
10 Workers' Compensation Insurance; OSHA and EPA regulations; other
11 taxes and fees; bureaucratic red tape and numerous other regulations.

12 Through Congress and the adoption of agency rules the American
13 people have established high standards for businesses and the products
14 we produce. Those standards should not be selectively imposed on just
15 American producers and products.

16 Any country should have the right of free trade with America when
17 they have the same production standards that we have.

18 We support placing a moratorium on any new regulation of business
19 until the responsibility of the Department of Commerce is changed to:

- 20 1. Standardizing all current government regulations;
- 21 2. Require all products imported into the U.S. to meet the same
22 standards;

- 23 3. Determine compliance of imports with U.S. standards;
24 4. Determine the cost to U.S. producers of current and any
25 proposed future regulations; and either compensate producers
26 for these costs or impose import fees equal to the cost of the
27 regulations to the American producers. (04), (05)

Export Enhancement Program 14.705

1 The Export Enhancement Program should be made available to all
2 countries and all commodities where the United States faces unfair or
3 subsidized competition from foreign suppliers. The USDA should be
4 required to use the money set aside to enhance U.S. farmer's
5 competitiveness in foreign markets as intended by Congress. (00)

Trade Agreements 14.710

1 The AFBF and OFBF should continue to encourage an investigation
2 into whether all segments of agriculture are being treated fairly under
3 trade agreements and to seek corrective action where indicated.

4 Until such time as the support given by participating governments
5 allow a level economic playing-field for all agricultural producers' and
6 their intellectual property rights and ensures that all patent laws are
7 respected, and until such time as the rules set down in both agreements
8 are enforced by all cooperating governments, and until such time as
9 those violating the rules are penalized for breaking those rules, the
10 portions of the trade agreements that deal with the agriculture industry
11 should be suspended. (05)

Invasive Species 14.715

1 The land grant colleges and universities (Agricultural Research
2 Service (ARS) & Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Plant
3 Materials Laboratory) should continue to search for and develop plant
4 material for forage production, conservation and wildlife uses.

5 Universities (ARS & ES) and federal agencies should promote the
6 use of domestically-developed, imported and native plant species for
7 forage production, conservation and wildlife activities.

8 Public agencies should be prohibited from planting or allowing to
9 grow any invasive species for landscaping or any other purposes.

10 The best plant species available, native or non-native should be used
11 for forage production, conservation or wildlife purposes.

12 Further NRCS should continue support and allow the use of
13 domestically developed and/or imported plant species in their cost share
14 programs.

15 Government units that own public rights-of-way land should
16 undertake proactive control programs to destroy and prevent the spread
17 of those plants that are classified as invasive species onto adjacent farm,
18 ranch, or forest lands. (00), (04)

Secure Rural Schools **14.720**

1 So long as timber harvesting is not permitted and/or limited on
2 federal land, we support the National Secure Rural Schools Program to
3 replace the revenues lost. These revenues should be earmarked for the
4 counties where timber revenues were lost. (07)

REAL ID **14.725**

1 The State of Oregon should comply with the federal government to
2 ensure that personal identification in Oregon is an acceptable form of ID
3 at the federal level.

4 This should be accomplished with minimal adverse effect on the
5 ability of agriculture employees to drive vehicles (see Policy No. 4.180).
6 (07)

New Hires **14.730**

1 We support the repeal of the requirement for reporting of new hires.
2 (07)

Social Security Payments for Refugees **14.735**

1 Foreign refugees should only qualify for social security benefits if
2 they have worked the required number of quarters. (08) (Referred to
3 AFBF, 08)

Social Security Trust Fund **14.800**

1 We support action now to eliminate the projected deficit in the Social
2 Security Trust Fund. We oppose the so-called "Social Security
3 Totalization" agreements with foreign countries that would award Social
4 Security benefits to foreign workers who use invalid, fake or forged
5 Social Security numbers to work illegally. (09), (11), (Referred to AFBF,
6 11)

Balanced Federal Budget **14.900**

1 Except during recessions, the federal budget should be balanced.
2 Every effort should be made to use spending cuts to eliminate a deficit.
3 (09)

Federal Reserve **14.910**

1 Be it resolved that the Federal Reserve be fully audited. (Referred to
2 AFBF 2012) (12)

Gold and Silver Currency **14.920**

1 Be it resolved that the U.S.A. and the State of Oregon allow gold and
2 silver to be legal tender and exempt all transactions in Gold and silver
3 from any capital gains taxes. (Referred to AFBF 2012) (12)

Farm Bill Nutrition 14.930

- 1 We support keeping traditional farm bill programs with the nutrition title in
- 2 one legislative package.
- 3 (16) (Referred to AFBF 16)

Farm Bill Specialty Crop Allocation 14.940

- 1 We support collaborating with USDA on how funding can be better
- 2 spread among numerous entities and an appeal process by which an
- 3 entity can navigate in cases of one sided grants being awarded.
- 4 (16)(Referred to AFBF 16)

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program 14.950

- 1 We support incorporating all types of fruits and vegetables (fresh, frozen,
- 2 canned and dried) into the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program (FFVP)
- 3 providing an affordable option for increasing the variety available year-
- 4 round for low income school children and more market opportunity for
- 5 producers.(16) (Referred to AFBF 16)

Farm Bill Specialty Crop Dollars and FSMA 14.960

- 1 We oppose the use of Specialty Crop Block Grant Fund (SCBGF) to be
- 2 used for Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) implementation. This
- 3 congressional mandate must be funded through the Health and Human
- 4 Services (HHS) Federal Drug Administration budget. (16) (Referred to
- 5 AFBF 16)

INDEX

1099 Reporting	20
Acquisition of Public Lands for Tribal Reservations	135
Action by Farmers	123
Additional Farm Dwellings	28
Administrative Agencies	133
Agency Liability	134
Aggregate	35
Agricultural and Forest Chemicals	73
Agricultural Burning	106
Agricultural Chemical Liability	73
Agricultural Commodity Quotas	98
Agricultural Produce Signs	45
Agricultural Research	95
Agriculture Policy for Oregon	123
Agriculture Working Lands Conservation Easements	33
Air and Water Pollution	80
All Terrain Vehicles	46
Allocation of Water	67
Animal Damage Control	86
Animal Health and Plant Disease	95
Animal Unit Months Allocation Ratio and Game Numbers	87
Animal Welfare	96
Antiquities Act	138
Anti-Trust	140
Appeals on Assessment of Appraisals	14
Application of Byproducts to Agricultural and Forestry Land	30
At-Will Employment	117
Balanced Federal Budget	142
Basic Education	9
Beef Check-off	102
Beginning Farmer Assistance	124
Bonding of Produce Wholesalers	104
Bottle Bill Law	80
Brand Inspection	103
Brucellosis Programs	96
Budgets-Local Government	18
Budget-State	19
Bulk Purchase of Fuel for Agricultural Buyers	47, 120
Cannabis	104
Capital Gains Tax	19
Capital Punishment	132

Catastrophic Crop Insurance	99
Catherine Creek Dam	72
Caution Signs	44
Central Filing of Commodity Liens	104
Certification and Education	76
Certification of Field Crops	105
Channel Management	70
Charter Schools	12
Chemical Use Criteria	73
Chlorofluorocarbon Exemption	78
Christmas Trees	116
Citizen Suits	139
Climate Change/ Cap and Trade	56
Closure of Hunting Season	84
Collective Bargaining	115
College Terms	10
Columbia Gorge	138
Commercial Activities in EFU Zones	30
Commodity Commissions	100
Communication with other Organizations	123
Community Colleges and Higher Education	10
Compensation	130
Compensation for Losses	131
Compensation Revenue Sources	131
Condemnation	131
Condemnation or Acq. of Land by Public or Private Utilities	25
Confidential Financial Records	127
Confined Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO)	67
Congressional Salaries	138
Construction Tax Exemption	18
Contractor Liability	114
Cougar Hunting	86
Country of Origin Labeling (COOL)	103
Crime Prevention	132
Criminalization of Environmental Law	54
Crop Pollinators	93
Cross Pollination	105
Dairy Products Minimum Standard	101
Dam Removal	72
Deed Recording	35
Definition of Agricultural Land	23
Department of Agriculture	99
Department of State Lands	54
Deregulation	42
Destination Resorts	37

Disaster Payments	104
DLCD Staffing East of the Cascades	26
Dock Strikes	46
Domestic Sheep	84
Domestic Water Well Permits	63
Double Majority	17
Drainage Districts	70
Drivers License	41
Drivers License Classification	41
Drone Technology	128
Drug Testing	44
Eastside Ecosystem Plan	59
Economic Impact	53
Education Service Districts	12
Educational Vouchers	12
Electric Utility Deregulation	120
Electrical Costs	119
Electrical Power Generation	119
Elementary School Agricultural Education	10
Elk Creek Dam	71
Elk Production and Sales	83
Emergency Medical Service	127
Eminent Domain	137
Encouragement of New Industry	124
Endangered Species Act	88
Energy Tax Credits	22
English the Official National Language	140
Environmental Program Funding	53
Environmental Terrorism	97
Establishing Wheat Yields for Government Wheat Programs	99
Estimated Tax	20
Executive Orders	138
Export Ban	97
Export Enhancement Program	141
Farm Bill Nutrition	144
Farm Bill Specialty Crop Allocation	144
Farm Bill Specialty Crop Dollars and FSMA	144
Fair Market Competition	95
Falling Water Charge	67
Family Leave	110
Farm Building Assessed Values	15
Farm Bureau Philosophy	7
Farm Contract Carrier Provisions	40
Farm Credit	139
Farm Dwellings	27

Farm Labor Contractor Bonding	114
Farm Labor Housing	28, 111
Farm Related Uses in EFU Zones	29
Farm Service Agency (FSA) and Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Office Co-Location	140
Farm Service Agency (FSA) Foreclosures	139
Farm Use	29
Farm Use Assessment Criteria Land	15
Farm Use Assessment Principles	14
Farm Worker Meal and Rest Periods	116
Farmers Markets	100
Farmer's Right to Manage	117
Farming by Corporations	107
Farmland Oversight Authority	24
FDA Standards	101
Federal Capital Gains Tax	20
Federal Farm Programs	99
Federal Land Planning Coordination	138
Federal Government Responsibility	137
Federal Section 319 Fund Advisory Committee	80
Fee Increases	19
Fencing	93
Feral Pigs	88
Field Burning	107
Field Burning Hotline	107
Field Burning Liability	105
Fill and Removal Permit Requirements	69
Financing Highways	39
Fire Protection	50
Fish Hatcheries	83
Fish Screens	70
Food Bill	139
Food Quality	79
Foods and Nutrition	127
Foreign Investment	140
Forest Practices Act	50
Forest Service Timber Harvest Policy	51
Forestry	49
Forestry Programs	50
Freedom to Farm	123
Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	144
Fuel and Energy	119
Funding for Enacted Legislation	134
Game Animal Numbers	84
Game Animal Transplanting	83

Gas Tax	40
General Commodities Statement	95
General Education Statement	9
General Environment Statement	53
General Fish & Wildlife Statement	83
General Forestry Statement	49
General Taxation Statement	13
General Transportation Statement	39
General Water Statement	59
Goose Depredation Plan	85
Government Accountability	53
Government Agency Lobbying	133
Government and Other Ownership Property Taxes	13
Government Growth	134
Government Ownership of Farm or Forest Land	34
Government Surplus Properties	124
Grass Seed Use	100
Gray Wolf	87
Grazing Fee Formula	91
Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative	92
Grazing Permit Applications	91
Green Power	119
Groundwater Priority Allocation	68
Guard Dogs Under Right to Farm	24
Gun Control	137
Hand Held Communication Devices	41
Harvest Picketing	115
Hazard Communication Rules Applied to Employers	113
Head Start Services	110
Health Insurance	116
High Value Farmland	27
Highway Funding Decisions	39
Highway Signage for Roadside Stands	44
Highway Signs	43
Historic Preservation of the Oregon Trail	131
Homestead Exemptions	14
Horse and Burro Management	88
Horse Import Permit	102
Hours of Service Exemption	42
Hunting and Fishing License Cost	84
Hydrological Connection	63
I 9 Verification	112
I. EDUCATION	9
Identification of Livestock	103
II. TAXATION	13

III. LAND USE	23
Illegal Drugs	132
Illegal Immigration	112
Illegal Killing of Domestic Livestock	97
Imported Agriculture Products	99
INDEX	145
Indexing	20
Individual Rights	137
Industrial and Municipal Waste	80
Inheritance Taxes	20
Initiative Process	125
Internet Access	135
Internet Commodity Marketing	100
Interstate Commerce	42
Invasive Species	141
Irradiation	97
Irrigation District Elections	64
IV. TRANSPORTATION	39
IX. FISH & WILDLIFE	83
Judicial Reform	129
Jury Duty	124
Labor Laws and Regulations	109
Labor Poster	110
Labor Regulations	109
Land Use Action Notification	29
Land Use Planning	23
Land Use Planning Authority	23
Landfill Impact on Water Quality	67
Landfills in EFU Zones	31
LCDC Commission	27
LCDC Goals and Guidelines	26
Leaky or Sifting Loads	44
Leasing of Water Rights	64
Legal Action	129
Legal Aid Services	117
Legislative Emergency Clause	135
Liability Exemption for Public Access	25
Liability Suits	129
Limiting Legislative Sessions	135
Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG)	120
Livestock Auction Markets	102
Local District Property Taxes	17
Local Government Gas Tax	40
Local Involvement	12
Loose Livestock	104

Loss of Private Property	33
Lot-of-Record	29
LUBA Appeals	24
Management of Lands Under Foreclosure	139
Management of Public Lands	33
Mandated Public Access	25
Mandatory Flexible Schedules	110
Mandatory Paid Sick Leave	110
Mandatory Predictable Scheduling	110
Marine Mammal Protection Act	91
Market Negotiations	97
Marketing Development	98
Marketing Orders	98
Mass Transit	18
Maximum Speed	43
Measure 37 and Measure 49 Right to Farm	38
Measurement of Water Use	60
Meat Inspection	102
Medical History and Pesticides	79
Metro and the RCVOG Urban Rural Reserves	37
Milk Usage Audit Law	101
Minimum Corporate Tax	19
Minimum Lot Sizes	27
Minimum Stream Flows	70
Minimum Wage Averaging	116
Minimum Wage Increase	115
Minimum Wage Indexing	115
Minor Aged Vehicle Drivers	41
Mitigation for Aggregate Projects	36
Multiple Use	54
Municipal and Industrial Waste Water Reuse	69
National Forest Receipts	49
National Weather Service	139
Natural Resources Revenues	54
Navigable Determination	59
Navigable Rivers	59
New Hires	142
No Spray Signs	93
Non Farm Activities	30
Non Farm Dwellings	28
Non-Resource Lands Zoning	31
Notification Requirements	68
Nuisances	128
Oil Seed Crops	105
Oregon Agricultural Income	101

Oregon Constitution	125
Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife (ODF&W)	83
Oregon Department of Forestry Jurisdiction on Rangelands	50
Oregon Public Employees in Legislature	125
Oregon State University	10
OR-OSHA	113
Overtime	116
Pacific Northwest Water	68
Parole Eligibility	132
Payments to Growers	104
Peak and Ecological Flows	70
Periodic Review	37
Personal Property Rights	128
Pest Control Districts	97
Pesticide Labels	77
Pesticide Sales and Use Reporting	76
Pesticides	75
Plant Breeding Programs	106
Political Contributions	117
Pollution Control Tax Credits	21
Population Allocation	37
Port Bonds	18
Preamble to Land Use Policies	23
Predator Management	85
Presidential Elections	138
Prevailing Wage Rate	116
Private Property Rights	24
Probate Fee	129
Producer Purchase	140
Product Labeling	98
Product Liability	100
Property Tax Administration	14
Public Employee Strikes	126
Public Lands	54
Public Roads Safety	42
Public Utility Commission (PUC) Truck Permits	41
Public/Private Land Exchange	56
Publications of Government Proceedings	124
Publishing Legal Notices	135
Purple Loosestrife Control Research	78
Purpose of Farm Bureau	5
Qualifications for Public Office	125
Railroad Abandonment	45
Rails to Trails	45
Range Improvement	92

Raw Milk Sales	101
Re Entry Standards for Pesticide Application	114
REAL ID	142
Rebuttable Presumption Against Registration (RPAR)	78
Reclamation Law	55
Recreation	54
Recreation Cooperation	55
Recycling of Pesticide Containers	80
REDDI Program	132
Regulatory Reform	138
Release of Impounded Water	68
Release of Public Seed Varieties	106
Religious Life	126
Removal of Acreage from Production	33
Removal of Species from Threatened and/or Endangered List	91
Replacement Dwellings	28
Required Local Government Programs	134
Reserve Fund	19
Resource Management	53
Restricted Chemicals	78
Restructure of LCDC	27
Right to Farm	24, 128
Rights of Power Generation	120
Right-to-Work	116
Riparian Management Zones	60
Road Access	44
Road Access Policy	55
Road Development	34
Road Rights of Way	34
Roadside Signs	43
ROTC	11
Rural Community Stability Plans	24
Rural Health	126
Rural Road Maintenance	43
Sabbaticals	11
Safety Restraints	44
Sale or Lease of Public Lands	56
Sales Tax	13
Salvage Logging	51
Scenic Rivers	59
School District Reorganization	12
School District Transportation Fee	12
School Finance	22
School Zone Lights	44
Seasonal Labor Force	111

Seasonal Unemployment Compensation	114
Secondary Boycott	115
Section 208 of the Clean Water Act	66
Secure Rural Schools	142
Seed Trade Rules	105
Senate Apportionment	139
Service Animals at Farm Stands	96
Service Fees	134
Single Subjects for Congressional Legislation	135
SLAPP Strategic Lawsuit Against Public Participation	130
Slaughter Houses	102
Slow-Moving Vehicle Signs	43
Small Claims Court Judgments	129
Social Security Payments for Refugees	142
Social Security Taxes	21
Social Security Trust Fund	142
Social Services	127
Soil and Water Conservation	68
Soil and Water Conservation Districts	68
Solar Siting	33
Special Designation	88
State Board of Agriculture	132
State Boards and Commissions	134
State Forests	51
State Income Tax	19
State of Columbia	140
Statewide Labor Policy	109
Stewardship	55
Stop Lights	44
Storage of Willamette Basin Reservoir Water	60
Stored Water Application Process	64
Studded Tires	44
Student Work Experience	11
Subsurface Property Rights	54
Supersiting	36
Surveyor's Access	35
Sustainability	108
System Development Charges	18, 37
Takings of Property	130
Tax Court	17
Tax Exemption-Condemnation	14
Tax Exemption-Personal Property	14
Tax Exemption-Real Property	14
Tax on Interest Savings	20
Tax Penalties	18

Teacher Agricultural Education	10
Teacher Continuing Education	11
Teacher Tenure	11
Technology in Agriculture	105
Testing of Motor Fuel	121
The Food Quality Protection Act	77
Theft of Farm Property	96
Third Party Grading	98
Third Party Lawsuits-Harassment	130
Three Axle Trucks	40
Timber Product Export Restrictions	51
Timber Taxes	21
Titling Fees	41
Tow Trucks	47
Trade Agreements	141
Trade Negotiations	140
Trade with the ASEAN Countries	98
Traffic Impacts Due to EFU Land Conversions	34
Transportation Emergency Powers	46
Trap Lines	88
Trespassing	128
Truck Transponders	41
Truth in Menu	97
Truth in Real Estate Sales	130
Two Percent Kicker Program	20
U.S. DOL Due Process for Investigations	117
Underground Storage Tanks	80
Unemployment Benefits	114
Unemployment Insurance	114
Uniform Truck Loads	42
Urban Growth Boundaries	36
USDA Forecasting	97
Use of Antibiotics	96
User Fees	18
Utility Access	32
Utility Siting	31
V. FORESTRY	49
Value Added Tax	13
Vehicle Insurance Tax	40
Vehicle Registration	40
Vehicle Trip Permits	41
Veterinary Services	96
VI. ENVIRONMENT	53
VII. WATER	59
VIII. CHEMICALS	73

Vocational Education	11
Volunteer Emergency Personnel	127
Volunteer Fire Fighters	127
Voter Registration	126
Voters Pamphlet Information	125
Wage Penalty Claims	116
Water Adjudication	61
Water Conservation	60
Water Development	65
Water Laws	60
Water Quality	66
Water Resources Commission	65
Water Right Applications	63
Water Rights	61
Water Transfer	64
Water Use Fees	67
Water Withdrawal from the Columbia River	71
Weed and Brush Control	92
Weight Mile Tax	40
Wetlands	71
Wetlands in EFU	34
Wild Bird Seed	93
Wilderness Areas	55
Wildlife Fecal Coli form Study	67
Wildlife Refuges	84
Withdrawn Land Transfers	55
Wolf Hybrid Registration	87
Woodland Assessments	21
Workers' Compensation Insurance	112
Workers' Compensation Rates	113
X. COMMODITIES	95
XI. LABOR	109
XII. ENERGY	119
XIII. PUBLIC AFFAIRS	123
XIV. NATIONAL AFFAIRS	137
Youth Employment	114